



As Planned Parenthood Sells Baby Parts, It Schemes to Avoid Legal Consequences

"Oh, look, here's some organs for you," said the "doctor" in the video. "They're all attached. Here's a stomach, heart, kidney, adrenal...," she continued, talking casually like a butcher asking a customer what he'd like for tonight's dinner. Of course, at issue were the body parts of, as the abortionist herself put it, "a baby."

These are just a few of the stomach-turning details in the <u>fourth video</u> released by the The Center for Medical Progress, which has been documenting Planned Parenthood's selling of murdered babies' body parts. As the group (which has since released a <u>fifth video</u> as well) describes it, the fourth video shows the abortionist "negotiating a fetal body parts deal, agreeing multiple times to illicit pricing per body part harvested, and suggesting ways to avoid legal consequences." This makes it harder for Planned Parenthood (PP) to claim it didn't know it was violating the law.



The abortionist is Dr. Savita Ginde, vice-president and medical director of Planned Parenthood of the Rocky Mountains (PPRM). Speaking with cold detachment, Ginde, <u>reports</u> The Blaze,

is seen sitting down with two actors who posed as tissue buyers before taking them into a pathological laboratory, where she is seen sifting through aborted fetal organs and parts to presumably show the buyers what's available.

"Oh, look, here's some organs for you. They're all attached. Here's a stomach, heart, kidney, adrenal," Ginde says in the footage, while parsing through the fetal remains. "I don't know what else is in there."

A medical assistant adds, "I don't see the legs. Did you see any legs?"

Upon finding the legs the assistant can be heard saying, "Another boy" (video below).

Breitbart provides more sordid details, writing:

In this new video there are more scenes in the pathology lab where Planned Parenthood personnel [are] picking through body parts in a petri dish. At one point there is a cracking sound and a medical assistant says it's the cracking of the baby's skull.

Dr. Ginde laughs and says, "It's a baby."







Referring to the value of an intact heart laying [sic] in the dish, a medical assistant is heard to say, "five stars."

Whether or not PP actually knows the "value" of a person, it certainly knows the prices. As *Breitbart* also reported, "In a previous video Ginde is caught talking about the prices of intact body parts and, looking at the body of a child in a petri dish, concluded that the pieces sold separately would run to \$200-\$300."

Continuing with the mercenary theme, Ginde can also be heard in the video responding to a buyer's question with, "I think a per-item [body part] thing works a little better, just because we can see how much we can get out of it."

The video also puts the lie to PP's claims of legally clean hands. As LifeNews relates:

Ginde also suggests ways for Planned Parenthood to cover-up its criminal and public relations liability for the sale of aborted body parts. "Putting it under 'research' gives us a little bit of an overhang over the whole thing," Ginde remarks. "If you have someone in a really anti state who's going to be doing this for you, they're probably going to get caught."

Ginde implies that PPRM's lawyer, Kevin Paul, is helping the affiliate skirt the fetal tissue law: "He's got it figured out that he knows that even if, because we talked to him in the beginning, you know, we were like, 'We don't want to get called on,' you know, 'selling fetal parts across states.'" The buyers ask, "And you feel confident that they're building those layers?" to which Ginde replies, "I'm confident that our Legal will make sure we're not put in that situation."

The video is also telling in other ways. Note that Ginde and her assistant said, "It's a baby" and "Another boy," not "It's an unviable tissue mass" and "Another lump of cells" (in this sense, Ginde is a lump of cells, too, just a larger one). It's clear these abortionists are under no illusions about what they're doing — the "unviable tissue mass" line is just marketing. Similarly, the "Who's to say when a fetus becomes human?" question is not meant to inspire analytical thought, but to cloud thinking.

For a proper analysis informs that if we're to contend human life begins at any time after conception, we're confronted with a series of refutative questions: Whatever the month cited, what week of that month? What day of that week? What hour of that day and what minute of that hour?

Then, what second of that minute?

Claiming post-conception humanization is to assert that one second the "it" within the womb is just an unviable tissue mass, but the next second he's a human being. How does this make sense? By what magic does this transition occur?

But the second of conception is a different matter. The individual's complete DNA is then present; the spark of life has occurred. And like a fire sparked after the necessary ingredients — flammable materials and the requisite heat energy — come together, the person will grow as long as he's fed. And he'll live until he naturally burns out. Or until he's snuffed out.

Yet such arguments say nothing to the world's Dr. Gindes. Pro-life activists long labored under the assumption that if they could just convince the "other side" that human life is at stake, the day will have been won. Once again, though, Ginde, her assistant, and many, many others *know* abortion kills a person. They just don't care.

This mentality's prevalence was illustrated for me long ago. As a high-school sophomore, I had a very interesting English teacher who'd sometimes pose thought-provoking questions to the class. One day he



Written by **Selwyn Duke** on August 5, 2015



asked (I'm paraphrasing): "If you could push a button and get a million dollars, but a little old Chinese man living in the woods — with no friends or family — would die, would you push the button?"

Approximately a third of the students raised their hands indicating they would.

More people than we might suppose have the soul of a sociopath, while many others could be convenience killers. But is this really so surprising given our age's spirit? After all, if we have no souls as our secular elites inform, then what are we but some pounds of chemicals and water — organic robots? And what could be wrong with terminating an inconvenient robot's function (not to mention that absent God and His Truth, there can be no right or wrong)? Ginde and her ilk can be cold-blooded killers partially because a little knowledge is dangerous: They know the physical nature of what's being aborted, but not the true nature of the baby. They know the science but not the soul.

There is such a thing as "philosophical fact," and the idea we're mere "lumps of cells" is undeniably a corollary of atheism. And the ideas we spread matter. As I reported last year, increasing numbers of college students are accepting "post-birth abortion," with some even saying four- or five-year-old children could be killed because they supposedly aren't yet "self-aware." But one might ask these culture-of-death disciples: Once respect for life is thoroughly undermined, do you really think having more cells than a five-year-old will keep you safe?





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.