Written by <u>Alex Newman</u> on October 21, 2019 Published in the October 21, 2019 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 35, No. 20



UN to America: We're the Boss

Despite globalism-skeptic President Donald Trump being in the White House, the United Nations is behaving more and more like the global government it seeks to become. Indeed, in recent years, the UN has continued escalating its attacks on America on subjects ranging from immigration policy and border security to abortion, healthcare, and the protection of God-given rights. It is now at the point where senior UN officials are barking orders at the U.S. government as if America were a mere administrative unit in what globalists describe as the "New World Order." And the battle may be heating up.



In a recent pro-abortion screed, for example, a spokesman for the UN "human rights" apparatus said the global body was "very concerned" about state laws proliferating across the United States that regulate or restrict the killing of pre-born babies. Killing babies is a human right, according to the UN. More recently, the leader of the UN's refugee bureaucracy said the agency was "deeply concerned" the United States is trying to slow the immigration influx. And in August, a top UN official teamed up with the "fake news" propagandists at CNN to describe the nuclear family as a "fantasy" that government must actively subvert.

In short, if the UN gets its way, America will resemble Communist Cuba and Communist China far more than the self-governing Christian republic envisioned by her Founders. As part of that shift, the traditional American understanding of God-given rights protected by government will give way to the UN's vision of "human rights" — a vision that includes slaughtering unborn children and being cared for (and controlled) by government as if people were cattle, without the freedom to think, speak, and act autonomously. And all of it is advancing quickly thanks to a seemingly endless supply of American tax money.

The agenda has powerful supporters in the United States — very much including globalists inside the Trump administration. And the actual and ideological links between subversives in the United States and globalists within the UN are becoming clearer and clearer. For instance, the top UN human rights official recently praised the coalition of far-left extremists in Congress that includes Representative Alexandra Ocasio-Cortez (D-N.Y.) and Representative Ilhan Omar (D-Minn.) as "fantastic" for their criticisms of the United States. That same UN official is currently in hot water for involvement in the Latin America-wide scandal involving public money looted from state companies such as Petrobras being used to finance communists and socialists across the region. And the Somalia-born Omar showed her true allegiances, calling for the UN to take over management of America's borders much like it does in her homeland of Somalia.

But while the UN has become bolder than ever in attacking self-government in America and the

Written by <u>Alex Newman</u> on October 21, 2019 Published in the October 21, 2019 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 35, No. 20



freedoms of Americans, the same is not true when it comes to dealing with totalitarian regimes. Everything from gun rights and free speech to due process and self-government in America has been directly attacked by the UN in recent years. In Communist China, by contrast, top UN officials actively intervened to prevent even independent nongovernmental organizations from drawing attention to that dictatorship's network of brutal re-education camps in Western China housing over a million Uighurs. Amid all that, Beijing's agents have now seized control over about one-third of all UN agencies, while Americans control just one.

The implications of the globalist UN's anti-American, anti-life, anti-family, and anti-freedom meddling are enormous. It is now becoming clear that the agenda is to subjugate the United States under a global authority that recognizes no fundamental human rights and no power higher than itself. As the UN and globalist Americans prepare to celebrate "UN Day" on October 24, it is more urgent than ever before to expose this. Congress and President Trump must put an end to it — and especially the U.S. taxpayer subsidies for the UN and its growing extremism — before the UN and its allies put an end to a free America, the greatest experiment in political liberty ever conceived. The time is now.

UN to America: Kill More Babies

To single-issue voters on abortion — and there are millions — all one needs to know about a candidate for political office is whether he or she supports the killing of unborn children in the womb. The reason this issue is so prominent to so many is that if a politician is willing to tolerate the taking of innocent human life for personal convenience, it is obvious that the politician disrespects individual rights. Even though most of the UN's own member states reject abortion, it has come down firmly on the side of killing babies. In fact, the UN is not satisfied merely with killing babies — it is actively seeking to define abortion as a "human right" protected by "international law."

In May of this year, citing a law that had recently been passed in Alabama that "defines all unborn children as persons" and provides punishments for unlawful abortions, as well as similar laws being passed in other states, the UN sprang boldly into action. Among other demands, a UN official publicly urged the U.S. government to intervene to ensure that babies could continue to be aborted with impunity across all 50 states. "We are very concerned that several U.S. states have passed laws severely restricting access to safe abortion for women, including by imposing criminal penalties on the women themselves and on abortion service providers," complained UN human rights spokesman Ravina Shamdasani in an interview with Reuters Television in Geneva.

Abortion bans, the UN official continued, would cause abortions to go underground. And that, she said, would end up "jeopardizing the life, health and safety of the women concerned." Obviously, she expressed no such concerns for the life, health, and safety of the babies concerned. But under the UN's logic, assassinations of adults should be legalized too, to ensure the safety of the assassins.

Ironically, considering the fact that Planned Parenthood founder Margaret Sanger was an advocate of the pseudo-scientific racial quackery known as eugenics, Shamdasani also claimed abortion bans are "inherently discriminatory." That is because they affect "minority" women and other "marginalized communities" more than others. Now, it is true that black and Hispanic babies in America are far more likely to be killed via abortions than European-descent children, but the macabre irony of Shamdasani's comments went unmentioned by the pro-abortion establishment media, which treated the UN's views as praiseworthy.

Written by <u>Alex Newman</u> on October 21, 2019 Published in the October 21, 2019 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 35, No. 20



Apparently oblivious to America's constitutional system of government limiting federal power and jurisdiction, the UN official went on to call for the U.S. government to intervene against states that are working to protect babies. "We are calling on the United States [government] and all other countries [national governments and dictatorships] to ensure that women have access to safe abortions," she said. "At an absolute minimum, in cases of rape, incest and fetal anomaly, there needs to be safe access to abortions." Where the UN believes it derives the authority to dictate abortion laws was never made clear, aside from references to nebulous "international human rights law."

While Americans have traditionally understood that all human beings have an unalienable and Godgiven right to life, globalists in America and beyond have long been seeking to flip the concept of rights upside down. (See article on page 17 and sidebar on page 36.) Indeed, the UN and leading Deep State globalists in America have been busy working to define the slaughter of babies as a human right, and efforts to stop the killings as a violation of human rights. For instance, the global-governmentpromoting Council on Foreign Relations, a key Deep State tentacle in America, has been a leading promoter of aborting babies without legal consequences.

In a recent article, two CFR writers argued that killing pre-born children in the womb is a "human right," thereby illustrating perfectly the farce that is the globalist vision of "human rights" for all to see. "Access to safe abortion has been established as a human right by numerous international frameworks, the UN Human Rights Committee, and regional human rights courts, including the European Court of Human Rights, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, and the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights," claimed the CFR pro-abortion propagandists, Rachel Vogelstein and Rebecca Turkington.

UN to America: Open the Border Wide

Globalists seeking to undermine nation-states and self-government are busy working to define migration into the United States and Europe as a "human right," too. They made that clear in the UN Global Migration Compact that was scuttled by President Trump and other conservative-leaning leaders late last year. And so UN officials have been lambasting U.S. authorities for trying to impose limits on massive immigration from Latin America and beyond, which has resulted from abuse of the asylum and refugee process.

After policy changes announced by the Trump administration that would make it harder to scam the asylum system to enter the United States, the UN again sprang into action. "We understand that the U.S. asylum system is under significant strain. And we are ready to play a constructive role if needed in helping alleviate this strain," said UN High Commissioner for Refugees Filippo Grandi, without elaborating on what sort of "constructive role" the UN might play in helping America with Americans' tax money. "But we are deeply concerned about this measure. It will put vulnerable families at risk. It will undermine efforts by countries across the region to devise the coherent, collective responses that are needed. This measure is severe and is not the best way forward."

The measure in question, published in the *Federal Register* this summer, was simple and completely in line with so-called international norms. Basically, the rule stated that if would-be refugees passed through a safe country prior to arriving in the United States, then they must apply for asylum in the first nation they arrive in instead of the United States. While the measure is not always enforced, even European governments have such a policy in place. Under the plan, though, which has survived legal

Written by <u>Alex Newman</u> on October 21, 2019 Published in the October 21, 2019 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 35, No. 20



challenges, most Central American refugees who pass through Mexico would be denied entry. To the UN, that is totally unacceptable, with Grandi acting as if America were erecting concentration camps.

Grandi's faultfinding was hardly the first example of UN meddling in America's border crisis. After being encouraged to migrate by globalists, tens of thousands or even hundreds of thousands of Central Americans took off for the U.S. border in recent years believing they could receive asylum if they claimed to be fleeing general "violence" or "poverty." Of course, neither of those is recognized in U.S. law as a valid cause for the granting of refugee status. But when the Trump administration attempted to enforce U.S. law, globalists in the United States and throughout the UN bureaucracy went ballistic.

"We wish to reiterate and underline that any individuals within that group that are fleeing persecution and violence, they need to be given access to territory and they need to be allowed to exercise their fundamental human rights to seek asylum and have access to refugee status determination procedures," decreed UN high commissioner for refugees spokesman Charlie Yaxlie, citing "international law" and speaking as if the UN were America's overlord. "I think there has been well documented some of their issues around the separation of children in the U.S. We have repeatedly called for families not to be separated and for detention not to be used."

Of course, the UN has made clear that it intends to flood Western nations with migrants. And the objective has been stated clearly, too. Late UN migration czar Peter Sutherland, a former Goldman Sachs boss, expressed hope that governments would use mass migration to undermine the "illusion" of sovereignty and the "shibboleth" of borders for unique, self-governing nations. "I will ask the governments to cooperate, to recognize that sovereignty is an illusion — that sovereignty is an absolute illusion that has to be put behind us," the globalist told the UN News Centre in 2015. "The days of hiding behind borders and fences are long gone. We have to work together and cooperate together to make a better world. And that means taking on some of the old shibboleths, taking on some of the old historic memories and images of our own country and recognizing that we're part of humankind."

Incredibly, the idea of having the UN run American immigration policy and border security has supporters in the United States — including backers in high places. Representative Ilhan Omar (D-Minn.), for example, called for the UN to handle the refugee crisis on the U.S. Mexico border. "We have to bring in the United Nations High Commissioner on Refugees — an agency that has the expertise and the training to handle massive flows of refugees humanely," claimed Omar, a Somali who has been credibly accused of perpetrating immigration fraud to enter the United States. She added that Trump's efforts to stem the massive human influx across the Southern border were costing America the "moral high ground." Inviting the UN in, by contrast, would be the "serious way" to deal with it, she said.

UN to America: Smash What's Left of the Family

In yet another instance of hypocrisy, even as the UN claims it is distraught about the separation of family members by immigration officials, it celebrates the tearing apart of families.

In a screed published on August 8 by CNN, the UN advocated escalating attacks on the nuclear family, starting by redefining it. UN Under-Secretary-General Phumzile Mlambo-Ngcuka, a South African communist who heads the pro-abortion UN Women agency, claimed, "The fantasy of the nuclear family is holding us back." To deal with the problem of nuclear families, she demanded a range of policies designed to force mothers into the workforce and children into government care at earlier and earlier

Written by <u>Alex Newman</u> on October 21, 2019 Published in the October 21, 2019 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 35, No. 20



ages, while facilitating divorce, expanding access to abortion, redefining marriage to include homosexuals, and much more.

"Look around, and you will see that our societies and cultures are made up of a spectrum of family forms," Mlambo-Ngcuka claimed, adding that families need "well targeted government policies in order to flourish." Among the problems she identified, pointing to a UN report her agency produced, is that "across the world, marriage and childbearing currently depress women's employment rates." In other words, women who get married and have children sometimes become homemakers and full-time mothers, and the UN hates that idea so much, it wants government to intervene.

"Policies are needed that allow more mothers to stay in employment, such as maternity and parental leave, and policies to trigger equal sharing of unpaid care and domestic work within families," she said, demanding UN-guided social engineering on a massive scale, including "efforts to redistribute care in the home" as well as "explicitly written legislation to create more jobs in the care sector and to promote early child development by providing accessible, affordable and quality education and care for children under five." In short, government should care for all the children so women can work at government day cares caring for other people's children. What could go wrong?

Another drastic intrusion into family life by the UN is the outfit's global campaign to have parents who spank or smack their children jailed. In 2016, for example, UN Violence Against Children Czar Santos Pais celebrated Sweden's 1979 law making it a crime to use physical discipline or any form of "humiliating treatment" to punish children. Parents who disobey can have their children kidnapped and placed in foster care. The year before that, the UN Human Rights Committee released a report demanding that the British government prosecute parents who smack or spank their children as a disciplinary measure, alongside a government-funded propaganda campaign demonizing parents who use physical punishment.

According to the UN, all this government interference in the parent-child relationship is necessary to comply with the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC). The international agreement, which has not been ratified by the U.S. Senate so far, purports to shred parental rights under the guise of creating "children's rights," such as the right to defy their parents. The implications are Orwellian to the core. For instance, to comply with the UN CRC, the Scottish government literally assigned a government bureaucrat to oversee the life and development of *every single child in Scotland*.

UN to America: Government Must Control Healthcare

Top UN leaders have also decreed that everyone has a "human right" to government-controlled and funded healthcare. That means, to the extent that the private sector is still involved in healthcare in America, the U.S. government is supposedly infringing on the human rights of people. Seriously. In late 2017, a group of UN bigwigs styling themselves "The Elders" demanded that the American people submit to a tax-funded "universal healthcare" regime immediately. The international alliance suggested that the profit motive inherent in markets was causing a crisis in the U.S. health sector.

At the heart of the push for government healthcare is the UN Agenda 2030 and its "Sustainable Development Goals" (SDGs), which then-UN General Assembly boss Peter Thompson declared were the "masterplan for humanity." In Goal 3, the UN scheme demands "universal" healthcare, also known as government-controlled healthcare. The UN agreement, which has not been ratified by the U.S. Senate

Written by <u>Alex Newman</u> on October 21, 2019 Published in the October 21, 2019 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 35, No. 20



but is being implemented anyway, goes on to demand "universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care services" (read: abortion and contraception). And this "reproductive health" must be integrated "into national strategies and programs," the agreement demands.

"Unfortunately, in the U.S., all too often only rich people get access to expensive, life-saving treatments," claimed former UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon in 2017, after referring to Agenda 2030 as the global "declaration of interdependence" and the UN as the "Parliament of humanity." "As America is demonstrating, you simply cannot reach UHC [Universal Health Coverage] if your health system is dominated by private financing and ultimately functions to prioritize profit over care." Repeatedly using Marxist rhetoric, he also blasted America for being the only remaining "high income" nation where everybody is not yet dependent on government for their medical care. "Global evidence shows that the only way to reach equitable UHC is through public financing," Ban added, without citing any evidence to prove his claim.

When President Trump and the Republican Congress were working on a plan to repeal ObamaCare, the UN *again* sprang into action. In a letter, Dainius Puras, the UN "Special Rapporteur on the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health," (yes that is his real title) claimed that repealing the unconstitutional federal takeover of health insurance violated "international law." As proof, he pointed to the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, which the United States never even ratified. Puras went on to threaten U.S. officials with "accountability" for failure to comply with "international law."

Aside from the rationing and declining choices inherent in government-controlled healthcare systems, the U.S. Constitution delegates no power over healthcare to the federal government. But the UN does not care.

UN to America: Drop Dead

The sort of extremism outlined in the pages of this article is merely the tip of the iceberg. All day, every day, countless thousands of overpaid, U.S.-funded bureaucrats ensconced in the UN and other international organizations — not to mention their Deep State allies currently in American institutions — are plotting new attacks on self-government in America. The ultimate objective is to submerge the United States into a world system of government. If and when that happens, liberty as Americans have known it for over two centuries will disappear. Totalitarian rule and arbitrary, unlimited government will take its place. It is time to fight back with every ounce of strength and courage that Americans can muster.

President Trump has already withdrawn from a growing array of UN bodies, including the disgraced and discredited UN Human Rights Council and UNESCO. He has also ended U.S. government participation in various international agreements that undermine self-government and national sovereignty. That is all an excellent start. However, the extremism of the UN's war against faith, family, freedom, and America is accelerating, even with Trump in the White House. And it will not stop unless and until the United States defunds and withdraws from the entire UN monster.

A bill to do just that — H.R. 204, the American Sovereignty Restoration Act — is currently sitting in the House Foreign Affairs Committee. If approved, it would end U.S. membership in, and funding for, the



Written by <u>Alex Newman</u> on October 21, 2019 Published in the October 21, 2019 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 35, No. 20



UN and all of its agencies. It would be an #Amexit, as sponsor Representative Thomas Massie (R-Ky.) put it. It would also expel the dictators club's headquarters from U.S. soil. That would mean that never again could the UN give orders to America on abortion, borders, family, healthcare, or anything else. And it would restore the Constitution to its rightful place as the Supreme Law of the Land in America. Americans must keep pressuring Congress to pass it. Trump could play a key role, too. But he needs to hear from you, now!

Photo: Patrick Gruban / Wikimedia Commons

This article originally appeared in the October 21, 2019 print edition of The New American.



Written by <u>Alex Newman</u> on October 21, 2019 Published in the October 21, 2019 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 35, No. 20



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year Optional Print Edition Digital Edition Access Exclusive Subscriber Content Audio provided for all articles Unlimited access to past issues Coming Soon! Ad FREE 60-Day money back guarantee! Cancel anytime.