



Written by <u>Staff</u> on September 16, 2019 Published in the September 16, 2019 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 35, No. 18

Letters to the Editor

Going Globalist

There has been a renewal of interest in globalism recently. Many people, even ones who are fairly savvy, feel that there will be benefits to all by moving toward global economic and foreign policy.

The socialist statists (including the Democrats) are even calling for "open borders," with the thinking being that anyone should be able to live anywhere they want in the world, and should not be limited by their birthplace. The "New World Order" that George H. W. Bush espoused is an example of this modern thinking.

But though it all might sound fine and good, and many would say, "What's wrong with that?" there is a catch: a profound loss of freedom and safety.

In the USA we have a system of government that has checks and balances throughout it. The Founders recognized (by Divine inspiration) that the worst impulses of man must be reined in at every level.

These checks and balances facilitate that reining in. The checks prevent bad people from going beyond certain limits in control over their fellow man.

Under our present system, we have the freedom of people to vote with their feet. That is, if some local political leader or system becomes onerous enough, folks can pack up and leave, going somewhere else that may be more compatible with their desired life preferences.

If one state's taxes are so burdensome that it becomes difficult to maintain a desired lifestyle, a person can move to another state with more reasonable taxation. My own step-brother did that.

If one doesn't like it here in America, he can move somewhere else — within immigration limitations.

The problem with globalization is that those valuable checks and balances are removed. Once we have reached that pinnacle of globalism — global governance — there *are no more* checks and balances. Who would impose them even if there were? In other words, we will have reached the "final" level of world domination.

At that point whoever is in charge will have ultimate power to impose any system upon us they choose. And since people will then likely not be able to vote with their feet, they will become slaves of the world government, with no recourse but the sword to try and overcome the enslavement.

That is the reason we must constantly attempt to push governance to the lowest level possible, where there is more accountability and transparency.

The United Nations very much wants to become "the" world government. In fact, they salivate over the possibility constantly. The UNFPA, which is the population arm of the UN, is part of that plan, monitoring movements of people worldwide and demanding that countries allow masses of "refugees" in, supposedly for the refugees' safety and to redistribute wealth and balance the standard of living for everyone. But it is really about voting out the checks and balances, and will not end up beneficial for them or us.

We are witnessing foreign powers trying to have an influence on our local politics in order to gain an edge up in future economic and political gamesmanship. This is a dangerous trend and must be fought





Written by **Staff** on September 16, 2019 Published in the September 16, 2019 issue of the New American magazine. Vol. 35, No. 18

in a significant way.

Globalism is not a good thing! Yet the dark side wants it very badly. Only evil can come from moving governance to the highest possible international level.

We need to educate our very uninformed young people today that this trend may eventually make them subservient to leaders they did not elect and over which they will have no power.

The end path of communism and socialism is to make people equally miserable and atheistic. We must not let it happen!

James Green Heber City, Utah


Conveniently Late?

Why didn't Trump push his immigration agenda (the wall) when he first entered the White House, when the Republicans controlled the House, the Senate, and the presidency? Could it have been bad (CFR) advisors? Just askin'.

David Hammer Bronx, New York



Written by **Staff** on September 16, 2019





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.