





Inside Track

Hungary Seeks Alliance to Oppose Migration and Support Christianity



AP Images

Move over EU, there may be another alliance in town. While on our side of the Atlantic one Democratic presidential candidate promises to import 700-percent more "refugees" and another impugns Christianity, Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orbán is seeking a Baltic-state alliance to combat migration and support Christian culture.

This was the substance of a meeting this week between Orbán and Estonian Interior Minister Mart Helme, as Breitbart outlined July 10. "The talks occurred in Budapest and saw the Hungarian leader and Mr Helme, who also serves as deputy prime minister in Estonia, agree that protecting Christian culture and supporting effective control of the European Union external borders were priorities, Hungarian broadcaster MTVA reports."

Fertility rates are below replacement level (2.1 children per woman) in virtually every Western/European nation and in the better part of 100 countries worldwide; Hungary's and Estonia's rates are, respectively, 1.46 and 1.60. The European Union's average is 1.58.

This has been used as a pretext for allowing the recent years' Third World (largely Muslim) wave migration into Europe, a phenomenon that has led to burgeoning crime, terrorist attacks, sexual assaults on women and children, and the rise of Islamic no-go zones. The pitch, issued by leaders such as German Chancellor Angela Merkel, has been "We need workers!"

There's been no stauncher opponent of the globalist, anti-sovereignty, immigrationist Machiavellians than Orbán. After his Fidesz party won a massive victory in European Parliament elections in May on a platform of thwarting mass (im)migration, his government vowed to "keep Hungary Hungarian."

But Western Europe's immigrationist default is that once outsiders enter your country — whether as workers or refugees — they eventually get citizenship. This exposes immigrationists' true motivations.

Yet even if we accept the economic immigrationist argument, Hungary has an answer. "Healthy families







are more important than economic growth judged purely on figures on a spreadsheet," the Hungarian government told Breitbart June 7 while explaining newly implemented pro-child policies.

By Selwyn Duke

Study: Psychiatric Diagnoses Worthless and "Scientifically Meaningless



PavelIvanov/GettyImagesPlus

A new study, published July 5 in *Psychiatry Research*, "concluded that psychiatric diagnoses are scientifically worthless as tools to identify discrete mental health disorders," reported NeuroscienceNews.com on July 8.

"The study, led by researchers from the University of Liverpool, involved a detailed analysis of five key chapters of the latest edition of the widely used *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual* (DSM), on 'schizophrenia', 'bipolar disorder', 'depressive disorders', 'anxiety disorders' and 'trauma-related disorders'," the site continues.

The DSM (currently in its fifth edition) is considered the "psychiatrist's bible" and was "created to provide a common diagnostic language for mental health professionals and attempt to provide a definitive list of mental health problems, including their symptoms," NeuroscienceNews.com also informs. Unfortunately, far from being infallible, the researchers warn that the book's diagnostic labeling represents "a disingenuous categorical system."

Lead researcher Dr. Kate Allsopp of the University of Liverpool said, "Although diagnostic labels create the illusion of an explanation they are scientifically meaningless and can create stigma and prejudice."

A colleague of hers at the university, Professor Peter Kinderman, chimed in, "The diagnostic system wrongly assumes that all distress results from disorder." He can say that again, and this explains something noted at StudyFinds.org's July 9 report on the research. To wit: "More people are being diagnosed with mental illnesses than ever before."

StudyFinds theorizes that this could be owing to screen addiction and heavy social-media use and people's greater willingness to acknowledge mental-health problems.

StudyFinds also quotes University of East London Professor John Read, who rendered his prescription.







"Perhaps it is time we stopped pretending that medical-sounding labels contribute anything to our understanding of the complex causes of human distress or of what kind of help we need when distressed," he said. Or, perhaps, we should rediscover timeless wisdom and stop regarding psychology as any kind of science. Note that "psychology" was once not an "ology" but merely part of "philosophy." By Selwyn Duke

Aussie Politician Finally Tells Truth on "Global Warming"

Once a two-time deputy prime minister of Australia, Barnaby Joyce is now a "backbencher" only representing rural New South Wales. As such, he has finally told everyone what he really thinks about the man-made climate-change agenda: It's "barking" madness.

American Thinker's Thomas Lifson explained July 11 how Joyce became an "honest man," writing, "As deputy P.M. serving in coalition under the leadership of warmist true believer Malcolm Turnbull, Joyce could not speak his mind for fear of those interests. But Australia has a new liberal (conservative) government following federal elections last May 19, with the margin of victory attributed by most observers to the opposition by Scott Morrison, the new leader of the Liberals, to closing a major coal mine."

As Joyce, now a "backbencher," wrote on Facebook July 9:

One of the few graces of being on the backbench is you can be honest with what your views really are. I believe this is one of the greatest policy phantoms, the misguided and quite ludicrous proposition that Australia can have any affect [sic] on the climate....

You don't get the feeling when you listen to the political propaganda or the supporting lobbyists that there is any doubt about their capacity to "fix the climate problem" I do get the feeling that you will be tried for heresy if you dare question the zeitgeist so you basically have to lie about your honest assessment of what the hell we are doing to our economy, standard of living, our basic rights and the real future of our children.

Today, more than in the past, the political debate is set within a predetermined paradigm. Participants can not ague [sic] outside these preset boundaries....

I hate to say it but I doubt the majority of people on the planet, give a toss about the Paris Agreement. I would be amazed if one percent of the planet could competently explain it.

By Selwyn Duke

Veterans Say America's Wars Aren't Worth It, Poll Finds









army.mil

A majority of America's military veterans say the costs of the United States' seemingly endless wars have outweighed their benefits, and the general public agrees with them, according to two new Pew Research Center polls.

The surveys, conducted between May 14 and June 3, asked respondents about their views of three of America's longest ongoing foreign interventions: Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria. The Afghanistan War was launched in 2001, allegedly to avenge the 9/11 attacks and to prevent future ones. The Iraq War began less than two years later under false pretenses, and while most U.S. troops were withdrawn in 2011, about 5,000 remain, according to Secretary of State Mike Pompeo. The U.S. military has been involved in the Syrian Civil War since at least 2014. All three campaigns, of course, were preceded by years of both covert and overt U.S. intervention in these same countries.

Veterans, and Americans in general, are the most pessimistic about the Iraq invasion and its aftermath. A whopping 64 percent of veterans said the war was not worth its costs; 62 percent of all Americans agreed. Only 33 percent of veterans and 32 percent of the general public believe the war was worth it.

Respondents were slightly more optimistic when it came to Afghanistan. Fifty-eight percent of veterans and 59 percent of the public think U.S. intervention in the "graveyard of empires" has been a bust, while 38 percent of veterans and 36 percent of Americans consider it worthwhile.

The Syrian escapade, perhaps because of its shorter duration and lower profile, was viewed the most favorably, albeit still more negatively than positively. Fifty-five percent of veterans and 58 percent of Americans in general say its costs outweigh its benefits. Only 42 percent of veterans and 36 percent of the public hold the opposite opinion.

According to Pew, "Veterans who served in either Iraq or Afghanistan are no more supportive of those engagements than those who did not serve in these wars. And views do not differ based on rank or combat experience."

By Michael Tennant







Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.