



Inside Track

Antifa Planning Communist Revolution for America on November 4

The communists in the Antifa crowd are planning to begin a revolution in America on Saturday, November 4 — almost exactly 100 years after the Bolshevik Revolution that took Russia out of the frying pan of tsarist rule and into the fire of communist totalitarianism.

On Tuesday morning, September 26, the Antifa group Refuse Fascism blocked rush-hour traffic on the 110 freeway in Los Angeles for about a half an hour. Stretched out across the highway, holding signs spelling out, “NOV 4 IT BEGINS,” eight people chanted slogans such as “No Trump, no KKK, no fascist U.S.A.” and “Whose streets? Our streets!” Many others lined the areas above the freeway, holding banners demanding an end to the “Trump/Pence Regime” and shooting video of the blockade.

A “press release,” which accompanied the video and was also made available on the website [refusefascism.org](#), makes it clear that this particular piece of criminal behavior was part of a plan to promote November 4 as a day to “Take To The Streets And Public Squares in cities and towns across the country continuing day after day and night after night — not stopping — until our DEMAND is met: This Nightmare Must End: The Trump/ Pence Regime Must Go!”

During Tuesday’s episode, California Highway Patrol officers arrived on the scene within minutes and arrested all eight who were blocking traffic. Their arrests, of course, were predicted by the “activists” and are being used as a promotional tool to raise funds for the “revolution.”

Just what the significance of November 4 is to the communists in Antifa is not known outside their circles. This date is just three days prior to the 100th anniversary of the Bolshevik Revolution’s November 7, 1917 overthrow of the provisional government in Russia. Perhaps Antifa chose November 4 because it is on a Saturday and less likely to interfere with the capitalist jobs of some of the revolutionaries. At any rate, police departments all over the country should be preparing for November 4.

Ethanol Supporters Fight to Keep Government Regulations

In the 2016 Iowa Republican caucuses, then-candidate Donald Trump promised to “protect” ethanol. In fact, he vowed to increase production of ethanol. Now, ethanol backers are angry with Trump for the action of his Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) director, Scott Pruitt, whom they contend is breaking the president’s promises to increase government support for ethanol. The two senators from Iowa, both Republicans, are among those who contend the government should continue to intervene in support of ethanol.

Chuck Grassley, Iowa’s senior senator, said on the Senate floor September 26, “This seems like a bait-and-switch. Big Oil and oil refineries are prevailing, despite assurances to the contrary.” The junior senator, Joni Ernst, who ran as a free-market conservative in winning her own election last year, shared Grassley’s disappointment, telling Trump in a September 27 letter, “It is my hope that your EPA has not forgotten about the pledges that were made to my constituents and to farmers across the country.”

The ethanol lobby contends that Scott Pruitt is helping oil producers at the expense of farmers. Brooke



Written by [Staff](#) on October 23, 2017

Published in the October 23, 2017 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 33, No. 20

Coleman, executive director of the Advanced Biofuels Business Council, stated September 28, “The White House needs to rein in the EPA before the agency tramples the president’s rural base — and his promises to voters. I would be surprised if those in the White House realize the depth of [Pruitt’s] attacks on the Renewable Fuels Standard.”

Some of Pruitt’s critics insinuated that his motivation was to obtain backing from refiners and oil producers in his home state of Oklahoma (where he was twice elected attorney general) if he were to run for the U.S. Senate in 2020, when incumbent Senator Jim Inhofe is expected to retire. But before Pruitt was selected by Trump to take over the EPA, he had already challenged the ethanol quotas as “unworkable” and part of a “flawed program.”

Perhaps the best practice for the government would be to refrain from deciding between the ethanol producers and the oil industry, and just let consumers decide which fuel they prefer. In other words, let the free market decide.

In Blow to Establishment, Alabama’s Judge Moore Defeats Strange

In what is being widely described as a harbinger of more upcoming victories for anti-establishment conservatives, Christians, and constitutionalists, the enormously popular Judge Roy Moore dealt a crushing blow to the establishment and its chosen candidate in the race to represent Alabama in the U.S. Senate. With about half of the votes counted, Moore won the September 26 Republican primary runoff election by massive margins. “Because of you, tonight, the establishment has been DEFEATED in Alabama!” Moore tweeted. His opponent, Senator Luther Strange, conceded the race before 9 p.m., sparking celebrations by patriots and conservatives all across America.

While establishment candidate and incumbent Luther Strange had the full backing of the “swamp” in Washington, D.C. — and many millions of swamp dollars to prop up his campaign and demonize Moore — the people of Alabama ultimately sided with Moore. The victory was not unexpected, with every poll showing the anti-establishment Moore with a significant lead.

President Donald Trump halfheartedly waded into the race to back Strange. It began with a tweet endorsing Strange. Under enormous pressure from GOP leaders in Congress and especially Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-Ky.), Trump even visited Alabama to campaign for the incumbent. However, even the president himself, worried that his candidate might lose, admitted on stage that he “might have made a mistake” by endorsing Strange. If Moore won the runoff, Trump said, he would support Moore’s campaign in the upcoming general election against the Democrat candidate.

With the primary and the runoff out of the way, the next step is the general election. Moore will face off against Democrat Doug Jones, a former U.S. attorney during President Bill Clinton’s time in the White House. Alabama is among the most conservative states in America, though, and the winner of the GOP runoff has been almost universally regarded as a shoo-in for the general election. The final vote to decide who will represent Alabama in the U.S. Senate will take place on December 12.

Spanish Government Uses Brutality to Crush Secession Bid

Spanish authorities have been using violence and intimidation to crush a powerful secession movement and what they call an illegal referendum that took place October 1 in the Catalonia region of northeast



Written by [Staff](#) on October 23, 2017

Published in the October 23, 2017 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 33, No. 20

Spain. Video footage of Spain's national police force beating firefighters and even elderly voters, while trying to sabotage the election by seizing ballots, has gone viral worldwide. But despite the global outcry, and despite estimates suggesting that nine out of 10 voters supported secession, the Spanish government has made clear that it has no intention of allowing the Catalan people to declare independence. Now transnational authorities are getting involved.

The Catalan independence movement, with its centuries-old roots, has been growing in strength for many years. And with the people of Catalonia going to the polls on October 1 to vote on independence, the Spanish government apparently realized that, without firm action, its tax base was about to shrink dramatically.

As the referendum was under way, national police, many wearing masks and riot gear, fired tear gas and rubber bullets at Catalan citizens. Even with TV cameras rolling, Spanish police could be seen beating voters with batons as they waited to cast their ballots. Almost a thousand people were said to be injured in the central government's crackdown. In addition to the violence, national authorities did everything possible to disrupt voting, even barging into polling places to steal ballot boxes and intimidate citizens.

Assuming Catalonia does indeed become an independent state, the move is likely to have significant political effects. For one, the region is among the most left-leaning in Spain. That means Spain's politics are likely to become more conservative, while Catalonia's are likely to become more liberal. Because Catalonia is almost certain to seek EU membership, it will add another vote in favor of Big Government and EU power in Brussels. Either way, the emerging showdown between Spain and Catalonia does not concern America, so the U.S. government should stay out.



Written by [Staff](#) on October 23, 2017

Published in the October 23, 2017 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 33, No. 20

Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.