



## Letters to the Editor

### Hillary's Crimes

Is anyone still wondering if Hillary Clinton should be prosecuted for her e-mail shenanigans — or if she committed a crime? If you are, you might want to take a look at the federal code of laws, which is readily available to anyone on the Internet.

In his testimony before Congress, former FBI Director James Comey testified that Clinton did not return all of the deleted e-mails; she lied when she said no e-mails were removed; and she was extremely careless — the definition of “gross negligence” by any standard.

Comey based his decision not to prosecute on Clinton’s lack of bad intent. There is nothing in the law about intent.

Below is the actual U.S. code:

US Code title 18, part 1, Chapter 101, Section 2071, Paragraphs a and b§ 2071.

Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally

... **(b)** Whoever, having the custody of any such record, proceeding, map, book, document, paper, or other thing [filed or deposited ... with any judicial or public officer of the United States], willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, falsifies, or destroys the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; and shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States.

And:

US Code title 18, part 1, Section 793, Paragraph f

**(f)** Whoever, being entrusted with or having lawful possession or control of any document, writing, code book, signal book, sketch, photograph, photographic negative, blueprint, plan, map, model, instrument, appliance, note, or information, relating to the national defense, (1) through gross negligence permits the same to be removed from its proper place of custody or delivered to anyone in violation of his trust, or to be lost, stolen, abstracted, or destroyed, or (2) having knowledge that the same has been illegally removed from its proper place of custody or delivered to anyone in violation of its trust, or lost, or stolen, abstracted, or destroyed, and fails to make prompt report of such loss, theft, abstraction, or destruction to his superior officer—

Shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

If you perhaps are wondering when our government will actually start protecting us from our government criminals, so am I!

Bill Hineser

Arvada, Colorado

### Dastardly Attack

Wasn't the 1941 sneak attack on Pearl Harbor, using 20th-century airdropped torpedoes, considered to be a somewhat infamous act of war, eventually leading to war-crimes trials for its



Written by [Staff](#) on July 10, 2017

Published in the July 10, 2017 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 33, No. 13

---

surviving perpetrators?

If so, shouldn't the same standard be applied to America's self-righteous war-hawks who, absent a formal declaration of war, launched a \$90 million pre-planned 21st-century airborne-torpedo attack on the sovereign nation of Syria, based solely on hearsay?

Unless checked, what nation will our so-called elites choose to bomb next (at American taxpayer expense, of course)?

Russell W. Haas

Golden, Colorado



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.