





Inside Track

New UN Chief: Globalist, Socialist, Extremist

Globalism-loving socialist António Guterres of Portugal, infamous primarily for helping to engineer the massive tsunami of Islamic immigration into the West, has been officially selected as the next secretary-general of the United Nations. His prescription for what ails the world: more socialism at the planetary level, more power for the UN, more "global governance," and more mass migration from the Third World to Western countries. In other words, more of the same extremism that already has the world and the West on the brink.

The UN General Assembly, dominated by unfree governments and dictatorships, confirmed Guterres for the job on October 13. The Portuguese bureaucrat, 67, was the socialist prime minister of Portugal before becoming the UN "High Commissioner for Refugees" in 2005. He also led the global tyranny-promoting Socialist International.

The Portuguese politician and bureaucrat will assume office in January of 2017. In his capacity as chief administrative officer of the UN, he will oversee almost 50,000 UN bureaucrats and counting. He will also help manage more than 100,000 scandal-plagued UN "peacekeeping" troops, currently facing global criticism for raping children around the world, trafficking sex slaves, slaughtering unarmed protesters and Christians, killing tens of thousands of Haitians with cholera, persecuting whistleblowers, and more — all with total impunity. The scandals have become so horrifying that even the U.S. Congress has threatened to withhold funds.

With yet another extremist espousing deadly and fringe ideologies such as socialism, open borders, and globalism on the world stage, it is past time for the United States to officially withdraw from the UN dictators club. Legislation already in Congress, the American Sovereignty Restoration Act (HR 1205), would accomplish that in one fell swoop. Then the dictators of the world can be free to select nutty socialists and globalists as their leaders — but not at the expense of U.S. liberties and wealth.

Saudi Energy Minister: Crude Oil at \$60 Not "Unthinkable"

Saudi Arabia's energy minister, Khalid al-Falih, asserted at the World Petroleum Congress in Istanbul on October 10 that he is optimistic that members of the OPEC cartel will agree on production cuts at its meeting in late November, and that it isn't "unthinkable" that as a result crude oil prices could rise to \$60 a barrel by the end of the year.

Such a production cut, if it takes place (OPEC members are notoriously fickle about keeping solemnly pledged agreements), would be designed to push crude oil prices higher, but still low enough to keep "rivals from raising their output," according to OPEC's secretary-general following the September meeting in Algeria.

That's going to be a trick, according to a conversation The New American had with a top official at Sanchez Oil and Gas Corporation located in Houston. According to that official, new technology keeps driving break-even points ever lower — so low that even his conservative company is looking at restarting some rigs. He said that OPEC tried to play a game with American producers by flooding the market and driving prices down so low that many of them would leave the field. "They hurt us," he added, "but they also hurt themselves, perhaps more than they anticipated."





Published in the November 7, 2016 issue of the New American magazine. Vol. 32, No. 21

That's what caused the Saudis to miscalculate, according to the Sanchez oil executive: "They underestimated our ability not only to produce, but to produce profitably even at these lower levels. That caught them by surprise."

He added that he wouldn't be surprised to see oil back above \$100 a barrel, but not in the immediate future. There's just too much incentive for U.S. producers to put rigs back into operation at present levels. The problem then would be finding and hiring enough capable roughnecks to bring them back online.

Federal Agents Can Secretly Read Every E-mail of Every American

The federal government can read every e-mail of every American without leaving any trace, an article published by the *Observer* reported September 23.

Clark D. Cunningham, the author of the *Observer* article, argues that the FBI's case against Microsoft, Apple, and others "brought the founders' fight for liberty into the 21st Century." He used his experience in the law to read the file of the case and to analyze how the federal government's position was completely contrary to the protections placed in the Fourth Amendment by the framers of the Constitution, and he came to the conclusion that "our basic liberties are threatened" by the Obama administration.

Basically, the facts of the case reveal that the FBI (and other federal agencies) has usurped the power to gain access to entire e-mail accounts from citizens of the United States without the slightest scrap of due process and without a warrant, as mandated by the Fourth Amendment.

The record of the case between the federal government and Microsoft contains the following statement: "An application by a federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government requests the search of the following ... property located in the Western District of Washington, the premises known and described as the email account [REDACTED]@MSN.COM, which is controlled by Microsoft Corporation."

In other words, the e-mail is not considered the property of the account holder, but the premises of Microsoft, Inc. So your e-mail may be seized, searched, and catalogued by the federal government, and you will never know it happened, because according to the definitions of terms approved by the federal judges in the Microsoft case, you are not the owner of your e-mail; Microsoft is.

Americans jealous of the rights protected by the Constitution should be very interested in the proceedings in the Microsoft case. Should the federal government be permitted to continue collecting every e-mail from every American without even the slightest conformity to constitutional protections against tyranny, then every American will be at once converted from citizen to suspect.

UN Pushes Plan to Force Americans to Pay Reparations for Slavery

In a September 26 report, the United Nations criticized the United States for making "no real commitment to reparations and to truth and reconciliation for people of African descent" and laid out plans to access financial resources of the country to fix that purported failure.





Published in the November 7, 2016 issue of the New American magazine. Vol. 32, No. 21

Specifically, the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent has been tasked with implementing a UN mandate aimed at "establishing a working group or other mechanism of the United Nations to study the problems of racial discrimination faced by people of African descent." The group's progress is to be reported to the United Nations Human Rights Council and the General Assembly.

This particular mandate of the global government-in-waiting is divided up into six activities that should be accomplished by 2017, the last year of the Working Group's authority to investigate the plight of people of African descent.

Bottom line: The United Nations created this group in 2002 to "gather all relevant information" from governments and non-governmental organizations that will help them in eliminating "racial discrimination against Africans and people of African descent in all parts of the world."

On September 26, U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations Human Rights Council Keith Harper reported that the UN's Working Group "met with federal, state, and local government officials, judges and lawyers, members of Congress, police officers, academics, members of civil society, and hundreds of African Americans, in Washington, D.C.; Baltimore, Maryland; Jackson, Mississippi; Chicago, Illinois; and New York City."

In the 22-page report of its investigation into the plight of the African-American, the Working Group lays out a blueprint establishing how it could enforce a climate of acceptance and equality for the black population in America.

Should these plans be put into practice, the United Nations would insinuate itself into every aspect of life, from the grocery store to the gas station. There is no part of human activity that would not fall under the purview of the globalists. None of these policies, however, would serve to reduce racial animosity in America.







Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.