





Inside Track

UN Whistleblower Skeptical of Reform

Anders Kompass, the UN whistleblower who exposed international "peacekeeping" troops raping and exploiting young children in Africa, is now skeptical that ethical behavior can be resurrected at the organization, he admitted in a June 17 piece for IRIN News, which focuses on international humanitarian issues. And while Kompass said he still believes a "universal organization" is needed to improve the chances of world peace, his experience of being persecuted for trying to stop the savage abuse of children by "peace" troops has left him skeptical that the necessary changes at the UN can be successful.

According to Kompass, the situation with the UN is getting worse, not better. "Sadly, we seem to be witnessing more and more UN staff less concerned with abiding by the ethical standards of the international civil service than with doing whatever is most convenient — or least likely to cause problems — for themselves or for member states," he explained.

The reason, Kompass said, is mostly because the cost of behaving ethically is perceived as being too high by UN staffers. "Put another way, the benefit to the individual of not behaving ethically is perceived as greater than the cost of taking an ethical stance," he added, pointing out that UN staff members are "afraid" of doing what is right — and for good reason. As this magazine and even U.S. congressional hearings have documented, while it claims to be unable to rein in rapists, killers, and child molesters among its "peace" armies, the UN has become very proficient at one thing: destroying whistleblowers who try to expose the crimes of their superiors.

"I still believe in the defense of human rights," Kompass concluded. "I still believe that a universal organization is needed to improve the chances of world peace and progress. But I also believe that without great changes aimed at resurrecting ethical behavior within the UN, the organization will not be able to successfully address the challenges of today and of tomorrow. And, on that last point, my experience has unfortunately left me skeptical."

UN Demands Bigger, Stronger UN "Police" Force

With its so-called peacekeeping military troops facing outrage worldwide for raping and exploiting children with impunity, the United Nations is launching a major push to expand and further empower its UN "police" force known as UNPOL. At the same time, the UN is working to usurp more influence over national police forces, with the UN bringing together more than 100 national police chiefs on June 3 at its first-ever UN "Chiefs of Police Summit" (UN COPS).

At the center of the UN's efforts to empower its "police" force is a new report commissioned by the UN that claims the UN "police" need more money, power, and prestige. The report also called for a "paradigm shift" in how the UN "polices" people around the world. In addition to the new report calling for a more powerful UN police force, the UN is also working hard to expand its influence over national police forces in the nations that have them.

According to the UN, the global body has more than 12,500 UN "police" officers deployed in 18 different UN "peace" missions around the world. Many of those UN "peace missions" have been noteworthy primarily for the horrifying abuses of women and children by UN forces. But you would







never know it from UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon's glowing comments about UN "police" in "peace" missions around the world. "They protect communities. They bring stability. They restore confidence.... UN COPS is a tremendous opportunity.... Together, let us work for a safer and more secure world for all. United Nations Police are your police."

The dangers of such scheming are clear. Congress should take urgent action, including approving the American Sovereignty Restoration Act to withdraw the U.S. government from the UN. Without action, the UN has shown that it will continue to run wild and usurp more illegitimate power — with already struggling U.S. taxpayers serving as the piggy bank for it all.

Homeland Security Chief: Gun Control Is Now "National Security"

Homeland Security boss Jeh Johnson now claims Americans must submit to much more gun control—this time, for "national security" reasons. One of the key demands being pushed is that Americans be deprived of their constitutionally protected gun rights based on a bureaucrat's secret "suspicion," without even a semblance of due process of law.

In a June 14 interview on *CBS This Morning*, Johnson leveled a full-blown attack at the U.S. Constitution and the God-given rights enshrined in it: "We have to face the fact that meaningful, responsible gun control has to be part of homeland security as well, given the prospect of homegrown, home-born violent extremism in this country.... We need to do something to minimize the opportunity for terrorists to get a gun in this country, and this is now something that is critical to homeland security as well as public safety." That something includes open attacks on fundamental rights.

However, it was unclear why a person willing to violate terrorism and murder laws would be expected by the administration to obey gun-control regulations. Also not made clear was why or how gun control would stop terrorists from acquiring guns any better than it has in the past, here or in other nations.

Traditionally, as stated clearly in the Second Amendment, a well-armed populace and militia were considered essential to the security of a free state. It also makes the prospect of foreign invasion sound ludicrous — with many tens of millions of Americans armed with hundreds of millions of guns, no military or combination of militaries in the world could hope to subjugate the American people using ground forces. Under Obama, however, the tables have been flipped. Now, disarming Americans is proclaimed to be essential for national security, supposedly due to the unprecedented influx of Islamic immigrants brought in in recent years who might become radicalized.

The Second Amendment is national security. It is also the supreme law of the land. Anyone who says otherwise has no business pretending to serve the American people in government.

Will Brexit Trigger a "Domino Effect" Among EU Members?

Within hours after Brexit became the new reality for the EU and U.K. alike, Euroskeptics in other EU countries announced their intention to follow Britain's lead. From the Netherlands to Scandinavia, European countries whose citizens have tired of the EU's yoke are considering voting with their feet.

Dutch politician Geert Wilders wants his nation to follow the British example. "I congratulate the British people for beating the political elite in both London and Brussels and I think we can do the same,"







Wilders told Reuters on June 24. "We should have a referendum about a 'Nexit' [Netherlands Exit] as soon as possible. There is no future any more [for the EU]."

Marine Le Pen, leader of France's National Front Party and current front-runner in the polls leading up to next year's presidential election, expressed similar sentiments. Displaying a picture of the British flag on her Twitter page, Le Pen proclaimed the Brexit a "victory for freedom" and called for a "Frexit" as soon as possible.

In Italy, the "5 Star Movement" called for a referendum on EU membership in the wake of a debilitating economic crisis that Italy has yet to extricate itself from. The numbers in a poll on EU membership conducted in May by global marketing research firm IPSOS are sobering to Europhiles: 58 percent of Italians want a referendum on continued EU membership, and 55 percent of French want the same for their country. In Scandinavia, both Denmark and Sweden are mulling similar referenda.

More than anything else, Brexit is the first significant blow struck against the internationalists and their goal of a one-world government in many years. Since the foundation of the United Nations, the globalists have seen slow but steady progress in their program. No nation has withdrawn from the UN or from any regional government bloc — until now. Intentionally or not, Brexit was a body blow to the New World Order, and ought to be applauded by all Americans interested in preserving and revitalizing our own sovereignty.







Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.