



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 25, 2014

Published in the August 25, 2014 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 30, No. 16

Inside Track

Globalists Push EU-style “Union” for Middle East

As if [globalist scheming had not yet caused enough death and destruction in the Middle East](#), the global government-promoting [Council on Foreign Relations](#) and various outfits associated with the [secretive Bilderberg](#) group are now pushing a radical new plot for the region: a [European Union-style regional regime](#) to rule over the Arab, Turkish, Kurdish, and other peoples who live there. The sought-after “Middle Eastern Union” would put populations ranging from Turkey and Jordan to Libya and Egypt under a single authority.



If the plot moves forward, [like in other areas](#), it would usurp from the peoples of the region their right to self-government and national sovereignty. It would also advance the longtime establishment goal of setting up regional regimes on the path to a more formal system of “global governance.” Already, the peoples of Europe, Africa, South America, Asia, and other regions have had self-styled regional “authorities” imposed on them against their will. In the Middle East, numerous similar efforts such as the Gulf Cooperation Council and the Arab League have been making progress, too.

A true “union” to rule over the broader Middle East and North Africa, though, would represent a major step forward in the ongoing regionalization of power around the world. Using a wide range of pretexts to advance the scheme, top globalist outfits and mouthpieces claim such a regional government would solve myriad real and imagined problems. However, with the plot being pushed hard by the CFR and various globalist propaganda organs such as the *Financial Times*, a U.K. newspaper that is always well represented at the shadowy Bilderberg summits, there is good reason to be skeptical at the very least.

“Just as a warring [European] continent found peace through unity by creating what became the EU, Arabs, Turks, Kurds and other groups in the region could find relative peace in ever closer union,” claimed Mohamed “Ed” Husain, an “adjunct senior fellow for Middle Eastern studies” at the CFR, in a piece published in the *Financial Times* and [on the CFR website](#) last month. “After all, most of its problems — terrorism, poverty, unemployment, sectarianism, refugee crises, water shortages — require regional answers. No country can solve its problems on its own.”

Of course, the notion that Europe “found peace through unity” — in reality it was globalists surreptitiously crushing national sovereignty and foisting an unaccountable regime on the peoples — is fashionable among establishment types. In truth, though, “peace” hardly requires giving up self-government. Plus, many of the wars in Europe over the last century were actually fomented by the very same forces that imposed the EU on the continent. Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, for example, [was a member of the National Socialist \(Nazi\) party](#) before going on to create Bilderberg, which



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 25, 2014

Published in the August 25, 2014 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 30, No. 16

attendees [openly boast](#) has played a crucial role in imposing the Brussels-based super-state that now dominates Europe.

Plenty of actual examples also refute Husain's claims about the supposed necessity of a regional regime to solve national problems. The Swiss, for instance, have had peace for centuries, yet they have consistently and overwhelmingly refused to surrender their sovereignty to the EU or any other outfit. Switzerland also has virtually no terrorism, poverty, unemployment, sectarianism, refugee crises, or water shortages, yet it never sought "regional answers." In fact, contrary to Husain's factually challenged argument, the Swiss have done better than virtually any other people in solving their problems on their own. Perhaps Husain views Middle Easterners as less capable, but more likely, he knows full well that a country could solve its problems on its own.

"Most in the Middle East no longer feel the dignity of their ancestors," continued the CFR's Husain without citing any data or surveys. "What Plato called thymos is desperately missing: the political desire for recognition and respect as dignified peoples. A Middle Eastern Union could recreate it." How being ruled by an unaccountable and autocratic EU-style leviathan would give the peoples of the Middle East "dignity" or "thymos" was not immediately clear. Plato, of course, like bigwigs at the CFR and their fellow travelers, believed the masses should be lorded over by their superiors — Plato called them "philosopher kings."

Rather than allowing Middle Easterners to create their own union, Husain makes clear that Western globalists should take the lead. "Will the west wait until Islamists and radicals are powerful enough to create their own Middle East, one opposed to us?" he asked, conveniently failing to mention the [gigantic role of the Western and globalist establishment in fomenting Islamic extremism and terror](#). "Or will we help our partners in government harness this momentum? This is the moment to create multilateral institutions that could implant pluralism across the region." Husain also called for the EU and the U.S. government to lend "bureaucratic experience" to "voices in the region who want greater integration."

"A complete change of psychology is needed," he added without elaborating on how such a transformation in people's views and beliefs would be achieved.

Of course, Husain at the CFR is not alone. In 2011, the Islamist president of Turkey, Abdullah Gül, [also called for an EU-style regime to rule the Middle East](#). Speaking in the United Kingdom, Gül claimed "an efficient regional economic cooperation and integration mechanism" was needed for the region. "We all saw the role played by the European Union in facilitating the democratic transition in central and Eastern Europe after the fall of the Berlin Wall," he claimed. Islamic Turkey is also working to join the EU.

Various Middle Eastern tyrants have echoed the calls for a regional regime, too — the kings of Saudi Arabia and Jordan, for example. As Husain pointed out, the radical Muslim Brotherhood and the terrorist group Hamas are also working to unify the Middle East under one single tyrannical government of gargantuan proportions.

Already, AstroTurf groups working toward such a union are popping up across the region, too. "We dream of a Middle East that is empowered, free, and governs for all it's [sic] peoples at the highest level of being in a new world where the Middle East Union is an important integral part of a greater global community that pledges its allegiance to the earth and every human on it," declares the newly created



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 25, 2014

Published in the August 25, 2014 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 30, No. 16

[“Middle East Union Congress”](#) on its website.

By 2050, the new Congress aims to shackle some 800 million people from Pakistan in Asia to Morocco in Northwest Africa under a single regime with a single euro-style currency. The outfit also wants to create a new capital city for the union named after [communist revolutionary Nelson Mandela](#), whom it described as “the 20th century’s greatest global citizen.” From “Nelson Mandela City,” the new regime would “eco-govern” all of the nations and peoples of the union as “a model for the new global paradigm that honors and respects mother earth.”

One of the primary selling points for the “union” plot is the notion it would help rein in radicals — most of whose organizations were either created, armed, trained, financed, or all of the above by Western governments and the Soviet Union. Ironically, though, just a few years ago, Husain was [touting al-Qaeda’s key role](#) in furthering the [globalist plan to oust Syrian dictator Bashar al Assad](#). “The influx of jihadis brings discipline, religious fervor, battle experience from Iraq, funding from Sunni sympathizers in the Gulf, and most importantly, deadly results,” gushed Husain, a Sunni Muslim, in a 2012 piece for the CFR. “In short, the [Obama/CFR/Bilderberg/Goldman Sachs-backed Free Syrian Army] needs al-Qaeda now.”

Before joining the CFR, meanwhile, Husain spent years working with Hizb ut-Tahrir, a radical Islamist group pushing for an Islamic Caliphate — a vast, totalitarian “Middle Eastern Union” of sorts — based on sharia law. The outfit also promotes the death penalty for apostates and has been accused by various governments of involvement in jihad terrorism. Husain, though, is hardly the only figure at the globalist outfit with a history of extremism. CFR Latin America boss and Castro apologist Julia Sweig [has even been identified by a senior U.S. intelligence officer as a probable “agent of influence” for the terror-sponsoring communist regime](#) enslaving Cuba.

All over the world, globalists are quietly but quickly foisting supranational regimes on hapless populations. In Africa, for instance, the African Union is now sending its troops all across the continent. In Latin America, the [socialist-dominated Union of South American Nations](#) (UNASUR) is working to “integrate” the region, alongside various other transnational outfits. Even in North America, top CFR and Bilderberg globalists are doing the same. “After America comes North America,” [boasted ex-general and CFR/Bilderberg bigwig David Petraeus](#) this year.

Of course, the Council on Foreign Relations, despite its operatives’ [anti-sovereignty extremism](#), remains immensely influential in terms of U.S. foreign policy. “We get a lot of advice from the Council, so this will mean I won’t have as far to go to be told what we should be doing and how we should think about the future,” then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton [told CFR bosses](#) in Washington. The CFR’s affiliates around the world hold similar influence. Bilderberg, meanwhile, [brings together many of the world’s top globalists, communists, government officials, media barons, and more](#).

For the sake of liberty, peace, self-government, national sovereignty, and prosperity, humanity should resist the globalist regionalization agenda from Europe to the Middle East and beyond. The alternative is literally global tyranny.



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 25, 2014

Published in the August 25, 2014 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 30, No. 16

Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.