



Written by [Staff](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

The Review

Why American Citizenship Is on the Wane

The Dying Citizen: How Progressive Elites, Tribalism, and Globalization Are Destroying the Idea of America, by Victor Davis Hanson, New York: Basic Books, 2021, 432 pages, hardcover.

The nation has been thrown into reverse, as Victor Davis Hanson discerns, going from celebrating independence, self-reliance, and liberty to the growing acquiescence of swelling government dependence. The author shows in this work, convincingly and thoughtfully, how the very nature of responsible American citizenship has been eroded.


A senior fellow in military history at the Hoover Institution at Stanford University, Hanson is professor emeritus of classics at California State University, Fresno. A columnist on world affairs, he is also the author of more than two dozen books (including *The Case for Trump*) ranging in topics from ancient Greece to modern America.

As has occurred for years, Hanson makes his arguments lucidly and in depth. His reasoning is based on his appreciation of what makes America (and by extension Western values) so special.

This is a familiar theme for him. For example, as co-author of the 1998 book *Who Killed Homer?*, Hanson expressed his dismay over the disappearance of classical education from high schools and universities when it had for two millennia been “acknowledged to be the perfect training for nearly every profession.” As a military historian, in his 2001 *Carnage and Culture: Landmark Battles in the Rise of Western Power*, Hanson hailed individualism, among other features. Cited, for instance, was the June 1942 Battle of Midway, in which “American air commanders ignored standard operational orders and thereby found the Japanese [fleet] through their own initiative.”

In the volume under review, the author emphasizes that citizenship is not an entitlement and requires work. Yet, as Hanson writes, “too many citizens of republics, ancient and modern, come to believe that they deserve rights without assuming responsibilities — and they don’t worry how or why or from whom they inherited their privileges.” He distinguishes between republics and democracies, as so many these days do not. The Founders certainly did. Alexander Hamilton and James Madison, for instance, as Hanson reminds us, “in drafting the Constitution, sought to avoid Athenian-style democracy and imperialism though federalism and the checks of a constitutional republic.”

This book is making positive waves. Not long ago, *The Dying Citizen* was named the winner of the Conservative Book of the Year Award for 2022 by the Intercollegiate Studies Institute. In addition, the





Written by [Staff](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

author has prepared an online eight-lecture course based on the volume with the help and under the auspices of Hillsdale College.

Residency Is Being Conflated With Citizenship

There are two major parts to the book, “Precitizens” and “Postcitizens.” Each half has three chapters. The chapters in the initial section are the “Peasants,” “Residents,” and “Tribes”; in the latter half we find the “Unelected,” “Evolutionaries,” and “Globalists.” Most of the volume, according to the author, was written between 2018 and early 2020. An epilogue updates events through early March of 2021.

And, yes, Hanson also discriminates between “residents” and “citizens.” His discussion in this regard largely centers on massive illegal (and legal) immigration — noting how this (among other ills) lowers wages, strains government resources, energizes tribalism, fuels identity politics, fosters racialism, and imports poverty. It’s a larger problem than many people recognize. As he writes:

In real numbers, by 2019 almost 50 million American residents had not been born in the United States. Perhaps nearly 40 percent of that number resided here illegally. The majority of them arrived from Central America and Mexico. Some resident estimates peg the non-native population as even larger, given the inability to ascertain accurately the number of foreign-born residents who are here illegally.

Meanwhile, by large margins, Americans do not support illegal immigration or giving illegal aliens the same rights as citizens. One poll in 2018, for instance, found that more than 70 percent of Americans opposed granting voting rights to both illegals and legal non-citizen residents. But “progressives” — the ones who claim to support the “people” — don’t want to “restrict” voter rights; in essence, they are favoring the government’s cancellation of the vote of citizens and replacing it with those of non-citizens.

“Globalists” also appear to have little problem with equating the rights of non-citizens with those of citizens, giving credence to the theory that the reason history repeats itself is that nobody was listening the first time.

Putting on his classical professorial garb, Hanson recalls that in A.D. 202, “money-hungry emperor Caracalla” issued an edict declaring that all free residents living within the Roman Empire were instant “(and thus now much-needed taxpaying) citizens of Rome.” However, as the author observes, simply declaring one a Roman citizen “did not ensure that he would come to speak Latin, adopt the original values of Italian republicanism, or shoulder the responsibilities of citizenship rather than just enjoying its rights.”

Federal Bureaucracy Has Absorbed Congressional Power

The deep or administrative state, including the hordes of unelected bureaucrats and regulators, also comes under scrutiny. Among these are the 2.7 million bureaucrats manning 450 federal agencies (as of 2019), with their 175,000 or so pages of codes, growing yearly (at least until the Trump administration). Members of this anointed class, as Hanson writes, all too often “exude disdain and condescension for what they see as transitory, mostly clueless officials who come and go in Washington — and the ill-informed citizens who put them in office.”


Looking across the years once more, the author recalls that this administrative state is not a new



Written by [Staff](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

development. “Even by the time of the latter fifth century [B.C.],” he writes, “the Athenian bureaucracy was a constant butt of the comic dramatist Aristophanes’s jokes for its graft, irrelevance, and self-importance. In the fourth century BC, some eleven hundred magistrates headed various boards and civil service organizations at Athens, whose citizen population numbered no more than thirty thousand adult males.”



Victor Davis Hanson

VDHanson/twitter

More recently, such deep-staters colluded right from the beginning against the Trump administration. This included the intelligence community. Former acting CIA chief John McLaughlin, as Hanson recalls, “proclaimed in a public forum, “Thank God for the deep state!”” He was not alone in expressing such views. The author continues:

“Deep state” was no longer a pejorative. It had become the brag of a Washington caste that felt itself more entitled to power and legitimacy than those elected through “democratic and constitutional politics.”

Even Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-N.Y.), a left-winger not in the president’s party, warned Donald Trump shortly before his inauguration, “Let me tell you: you take on the intelligence community — they have six ways from Sunday at getting back at you.”

Enlightened Moderns Seek to Dismantle Constitutional Citizenship

Also arrayed against the republican strictures of the foundational framework of the government — and augmented by the allied media — are political activists, judges, and politicians who see the U.S. Constitution as outdated. Amendments are too slow for such left-wing advocates. These are among the “evolutionaries” cited by the author. These elitists are sure they know better than the Framers — never mind that they are usually inferior people with superiority complexes.

As Hanson writes, rarely

in American history have so many powerful and influential Americans become so unhappy



Written by [Staff](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

with the US Constitution and its emphases on liberty and individual freedom rather than on government-mandated equality. Most critics see the need for a far more powerful presidency to ensure than an obstructionist Congress does not stymie progressive issues such as immigration expansion, climate change, and income redistribution.

It's a broad group. As one example, the author notes that the supposed protector of the First Amendment, the American Civil Liberties Union, now has different marching orders. As Hanson puts it, the "ACLU no longer believes in its past defense of the First Amendment (or Second Amendment), which it now sees as an obstacle to banning purported 'hate speech.'" Its eventual goal, in this case, seems to be "equity."

Such folks tend to think themselves above the hoi polloi. One collective term for a subset of globalists is "Davos Man" (as coined by Samuel Huntington); they meet in Davos, Switzerland, at the World Economic Forum. Essentially borderless, they look down upon the nativists who are not as cosmopolitan as they. Privileged, most are also against the "West," seeing themselves as citizens of the world.

Here is Hanson on this crew: The crowds of

... Davos are often insensitive to the effects of their policies and methods of global wealth creation on the middle classes and poor of their own countries. Instead, they feel nationalist efforts to retain regional and local traditions often impede superior transnational government organized by elites such as themselves and reflect a lack of education or awareness among the working classes of the world beyond their borders.

And, no, these alarmist-prone anti-"climate-change" advocates — think John Kerry, multiplied, and on steroids — don't give a whit about the carbon-emitting aircraft that brought them to the Alps. Such concerns are for the underlings, menials.



mj0007/iStock/GettyImagesPlus

Meanwhile, globalists are happy to gripe about domestic political matters (say, female public restrooms in North Carolina), but Communist China is held to a different standard. Hence the National Basketball Association's kowtowing to Beijing about, for example, cracking down on Hong Kong. Thus (in an account related in *The Dying Citizen*), the coach of the San Francisco Warriors — with an eye to the



Written by [Staff](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

communist regime's sponsorship of the NBA — made excuses about being mute over the communists' suppression. His reasoning: No one is perfect. Moreover, nobody in China, said the selectively tolerant Steve Kerr, asked him about people in this country "owning AR-15s and mowing each other down in a mall."

Hanson offers context — pointing (*inter alia*) to the 14,500 or so "murders by firearms" in the United States, where "just 373 people in a nation of nearly 330 million died in mass shootings and tragedies such as 'mowing each other down in a mall.'" (Emphasis in original.) In contrast, notes Hanson,

The Chinese communist government currently detains about 1.5 million to 3 million of its citizens in "reeducation camps" on the basis of unorthodox religion or thought. It is a communist government with a direct lineage to Mao Tse-tung's Communist Party, which was responsible for between 50 million and 70 million deaths of Chinese citizens. In Kerr's mind, the toll of 373 deaths due to supposed U.S. government laxity was the moral equivalent of 70 million deaths at the hands of the Chinese Communist Party.

Not surprisingly, there are places where this reviewer's views and those of the author are at variance. Here's one: As occurs so often when mentioning certain iconic figures who have been placed on undeserved public pedestals, Martin Luther King, Jr. gets a (partial) pass from Hanson. He terms MLK a "great civil rights leader." This, despite the fact that King was, in Hanson's words, "later found" to be a "serial adulterer" and a sometimes "callous" abuser of women and a plagiarizer of "part" of his doctoral thesis.

That, among other points, conveniently overlooks pertinent facts involving national security — including King's subversive affiliations and his activities and relationships with Soviet agents. King's true record was known widely within the government, to the point that even President Kennedy took MLK into the White House's Rose Garden and warned him of his leading associates, saying, "They're Communists. You've got to get rid of them." King would not. Perhaps we'll see a revision in a subsequent edition.

In his epilogue, amid a discussion about the Covid crisis, Hanson does point to the "baleful wages of globalism" involving Communist China and overseas pharmaceutical ingredients. Also noted is how the United Nations and World Health Organization "sent out not just false information but also data supplied by the Chinese government known to be inaccurate but useful for political purposes." That's no surprise either.

The author gives Mark Twain the opening lines in his book. We'll echo them as an apt denouement: "Citizenship is what makes a republic; monarchies can get along without it. What keeps a republic on its legs is good citizenship."

By William P. Hoar

Attacking JBS

A Conspiratorial Life, by Edward H. Miller, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2021, 456 pages, hardcover.

The cover jacket of Robert H. Miller's *A Conspiratorial Life* is black.

What other color would it be if it is a story of Robert Welch and The John Birch Society? It immediately



Written by [Staff](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

puts the potential reader in a dark mood before reading about the life of Robert Welch and the organization he founded.

The author, Northeastern University Professor Edward H. Miller, has a sense of humor. He must have one, to be able to write in the manner he does about Robert Welch, belittling the man while at the same time telling of his importance and influence within the anti-communist and conservative movement.

On March 8, 2021, *The New Republic* published an article Miller co-authored titled “The John Birch Society Never Left: Why it’s foolish to think the modern GOP will ever break with its lunatic fringe.”

Reading this book is much like listening to a State of the Union speech by Joe Biden, incredulous and full of twists and turns.

The only reason *The New American* has bothered to review this book is that it has gained some attention within the media as a means to besmirch the political future of Donald Trump, saying that Trump’s campaign positions are essentially those of Robert Welch and The John Birch Society.

Certainly, there is some truth to this, not because of a conscious effort on the part of Trump, but due to the obvious fact that more and more people are realizing there are problems in this country, and that they exist due to what has become popularly known as the Deep State or Swamp.

The jacket of the book states, “As his book makes chillingly clear, regardless of whether you know his name or what he created, it’s hard to deny that we now live in Robert Welch’s America.”

While Miller makes the point that much of the thinking of the American people now reflects that of Robert Welch, he does all he can to denigrate Welch.

One of the techniques he uses to do this is planting thoughts in the mind of the reader all throughout the book that somehow Robert Welch was a racist. He starts this off by saying that the county in which Welch was born and grew up, Chowan County, North Carolina, at the beginning of our country had a very large percentage of slaves in the population. He claims that the great-grandfather of Welch owned slaves, but that even before the Civil War the branch of the Welch family Robert came from did not own slaves. Nonetheless, the idea is planted in the mind of the reader that somehow this affected the attitude of Robert Welch about black Americans.

While trying to convince the reader that Robert Welch probably harbored anti-Semitic ideas, on page 71 Miller states, “Welch probably did not voice such epithets, at least publicly, as he worked closely with many in the Jewish community.”

Without a shred of evidence that Welch harbored anti-Semitic ideas, Miller goes on to say that Welch needed to work with Jewish people in his industry, implying that he could not express his true feelings because of this. He doesn’t point out that Robert Welch asked a man of the Jewish faith to serve on the National Council of the Society.

Further into the narrative, the author makes the claim in Chapter 21 that Welch allowed anti-Semitic members to remain in The John Birch Society, and even allowed them to join. Anyone who has worked in the Society, as has this reviewer, knows that they were never allowed to recruit anti-Semites into the organization, and that people who did become known to the Society as anti-Semites had their membership revoked.

Shortly after this attempt to tar-brush Welch, the author calls Ronald Reagan wacky, to give the reader



Written by [Staff](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

an idea of his political feelings.

On page 195, when discussing the founding meeting of the Society, Miller states, “Robert also explained how the John Birch Society would function. Critically, it was not a secret society, like the Ku Klux Klan; it was, rather, an anonymous society.”

In this manner, Miller plants the idea in the mind of the reader that somehow The John Birch Society could be compared to the KKK, and that it is, at least, semi-secret.

While detailing the path Welch took to found the Society and maintain it against all odds, Miller shows the men Welch supported in Republican politics, many of whom supported Welch in building the Society. In every case, when discussing the Republican personalities, Miller does so in a manner that disparages their personality or political positions.

In almost every case, he tries to create doubt about or challenge the thinking of those who were concerned about the growing influence of Marxists in America and around the world.

In belittling Welch, though, Miller says that his influence was so great that we are now living in Robert Welch’s America. But by giving Welch that much credit (and Welch is deserving of much credit!), how can he totally negate any positive influence of the man in the reader’s mind? What he apparently attempts to do is prevent the reader from ever becoming involved in The John Birch Society because, in his mind, the organization is racist. Indeed, that is the theme of the Left today — disagree with us, and you are a racist — without offering any proof whatsoever.

For readers who know more than the average person about the history of Robert Welch and The John Birch Society, Miller’s book is a very difficult read, since all too often the facts are bent and distorted to present an image quite different from reality.

By Arthur R. Thompson




Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.