



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

Stopping WHO's Power Grab

Under the leadership of a Communist Chinese-backed “former” Marxist terror leader, the UN World Health Organization (WHO) and the Biden administration are plotting an unprecedented power grab to build a planetary biomedical police state. Think Shanghai during lockdown, but worldwide. Leading experts argue that this is truly the emergence of the “New World Order” discussed by Biden and others.



Getty Images Plus

Already, the WHO claims all sorts of draconian authorities, including powers to work with UN member states in quarantining villages or nations, locking down societies, forcing medical “treatments” such as vaccines, and generally crushing medical freedom. When Ebola was spreading, for instance, the Obama administration sent thousands of U.S. troops to help the UN enforce medical martial law in the Ivory Coast.

Under changes proposed to the WHO's “International Health Regulations” by the Biden administration that were considered at the World Health Assembly's 75th meeting, the dictator-friendly global “health” body would have gained the power to carry out its wishes without even the approval or consent of the targeted nation. Not surprisingly, neither the WHO nor the Biden administration planned to consult the U.S. Senate on the matter.

“The fifth priority is to urgently strengthen WHO as the leading and directing authority on global health, at the center of the global health architecture,” declared WHO Director-General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus while outlining his plans to the WHO Executive Committee on January 24, 2022. “We are one world, we have one health, we are one WHO.”

When considering all of the issues that have been declared a “public health crisis” by governments, the unlimited scope of the power grab becomes even more daunting. U.S. Centers for Disease Control boss Dr. Rochelle Walensky, for example, recently declared that “gun violence” was a public health crisis. Climate change, alleged by the UN and tax-funded scientists to be caused by human emissions of CO₂, has also been repeatedly cited as the world's most “urgent” public health crisis. And since 2020, numerous American states and governments have even declared racism to be a public health crisis.

In short, virtually anything and everything could fall under the jurisdiction of global “health” bureaucrats if the agenda proceeds. But despite the enormity of the usurpation, and the drastic implications for freedom and self-government around the world, the establishment media have been largely silent on the issue. Still, concern grew quickly as more and more experts and activists spoke out,



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

culminating with multiple U.S. lawmakers, governors, and top cable television hosts speaking out.

In an interview with *The New American*, mRNA scientist and pioneer Dr. Robert Malone called the Biden administration's involvement an "impeachable offense." International law professor Francis Boyle, meanwhile, told *The New American* that this unconstitutional power grab by predatory "criminals" needed to be resisted at all levels.

Because the word spread quickly, and opposition was so intense, globalist forces seeking to ram the amendments through under cover of darkness were not as successful as they had hoped, early reports suggest. In fact, multiple governments — especially the anti-communist government of Brazilian President Jair Bolsonaro — blasted the Biden-backed scheme. And so, activists opposed to the WHO power grab were able to hold back some of the agenda — for now.

However, experts say it is only a matter of time before the effort is resurrected. Indeed, in September, governments will have an opportunity to submit proposed amendments that will be considered at a November WHO meeting, journalists covering the proceedings said. And the entire process is so opaque, as are other workings of the WHO, that conflicting reports from Reuters and other sources do not even make clear what exact changes were made to the amendments prior to them being approved at the end of May.

There were originally two key routes of attack being pursued by the WHO and its allies. In June (after this magazine goes to press), a dangerous "pandemic treaty" is set to be considered, giving the WHO new "teeth" to enforce its dictates. In a public statement about its plans for the International Pandemic Treaty, the Council of the European Union explained that further empowering the WHO was the objective.

Among other goals, the decision-making EU body called for the international treaty to ensure "a stronger international health framework with the WHO as the coordinating authority on global health matters." The regional government ruling over the formerly sovereign nations of Europe also said the objective of the global agreement was to cement "the 'One Health' approach, connecting the health of humans, animals and our planet." Sanctions on noncompliant nations were also a major goal.

Even before that, the Biden administration and others were seeking to prepare the way with a series of 13 controversial amendments to the WHO's so-called International Health Regulations (IHR). The details of the amendments were only released publicly — and very quietly — a couple of months before they were set to be considered. Reports suggest the amendments that ended up being approved were less extreme than the originals sought by the Biden administration, but the truth remains murky.

The health regime created under the IHR, which was approved by the World Health Assembly in 2005 (the original was adopted in 1969), is already draconian in its current state. Indeed, these regulations are what supposedly empowered the disgraced global organization to "recommend" the totalitarian policies it deployed worldwide in response to Covid. Much of the leadership in the global war on freedom over the last two years came from the WHO and the puppet masters behind the scenes — Bill Gates and the Communist Party of China.

But under the amendments proposed by the Biden administration and considered in late May, it would have gone from bad to catastrophic. Among other concerns, the WHO would have acquired new powers to impose its will on nations and peoples against their will. Indeed, under the original language, the WHO would be able to declare a "health emergency" in any nation, with virtually no limits, going far



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

beyond even actual pandemics, as long as it “could present significant harm to humans.” In short, a blank check for the WHO.

One of the most significant changes would remove language from the WHO’s international health agreement requiring a government to consent to the WHO’s determinations before action is taken. Under the new language, all that would be necessary is for the WHO boss to claim there is “a public health emergency of international concern” (PHEIC). Even a claimed suspicion of such an “emergency” would be enough to get the gears of tyranny turning.



WHO critic: Dr. Peter Breggin has acknowledged that he once dismissed as “conspiracy theory” the globalist power grab he is now warning against.

Once it declares an emergency, the WHO would be authorized to partner with a dizzying array of global agencies and organizations such as the UN and more under the guise of protecting “health.” That includes UN agencies overseeing food, agriculture, aviation, the environment, and much more. In short, a full-on assault on a nation by a range of would-be global government agencies is in the cards for defiance of the WHO.

The power grab would also accelerate the process of turning the head of the WHO into a global health dictator, numerous critics say. That is especially troubling considering that WHO chief Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, installed by the regime in Beijing, is a former politburo member of the Tigray People’s Liberation Front, an Ethiopian ethno-Marxist terror group designated a terrorist organization by governments around the world. Countless critics have called for him to be prosecuted for ghastly crimes perpetrated by the terror group he helped lead, and later the brutal Ethiopian regime he served at a high level.

Leading Psychiatrist Speaks Out

Ahead of the World Health Assembly’s meetings that considered the amendments, a veritable battery of experts spoke out. One of those critics, Dr. Peter Breggin, a leading medical voice sounding the alarm about the power grab, told *The New American* that just a few years ago he would have viewed this all as a “conspiracy theory.” Then he investigated the global elites and wrote the bestselling book *Covid-19 and the Global Predators: We Are the Prey*.

Dr. Breggin, a Harvard-trained psychiatrist known as the “Conscience of Psychiatry” for his efforts to



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

rein in abuses in the industry, said the World Health Organization power grab is a threat to America. “If passed, the Biden administration’s proposed amendments will, by their very existence and their intention, drastically compromise the independence and the sovereignty of the United States,” he warned.

According to Dr. Breggin, the same threat applies to the sovereignty and self-government of all of the UN’s 193 member states, representing virtually the entire global population. In an interview with *The New American*, he suggested this was a major step in the direction of global government, led by a global “predator class.” The proposed changes would put “enormous new powers” in the hands of “unelected technocrats” — powers that “would be exercised whether the target nation agreed or not,” he explained.

“The amendments would give WHO the right to take important steps to collaborate with other nations and other organizations worldwide to deal with any nation’s alleged health crisis, even against its stated wishes,” warned Dr. Breggin, adding that these measures could include economic and financial attacks orchestrated by the WHO and its partners. Many of those ideas are being pursued in the International Pandemic Treaty, too.

But these attacks would hardly be limited to genuine pandemics. “Under WHO’s approach, it would be difficult to find any important national issue that was not a potential health problem,” warned Dr. Breggin, pointing out that the Communist Chinese regime and Bill Gates were the largest influences at the WHO. Under the Biden-backed amendments, the “WHO will have free rein for using these expansive definitions of health to call a crisis over anything it wishes in any nation it desires.”

If the WHO ultimately succeeds in advancing its agenda, he added, the most important use of these arbitrary authorities would be against the United States — at least if the American people were ever to elect another anti-globalist government such as the Trump administration — so that the WHO could bring the nation to heel. Under Trump, the U.S. government announced its exit from the WHO and defunded it, though the Biden administration promptly reversed that upon taking office.

“We need to face that these American-sponsored amendments are a great step toward America voluntarily forfeiting its sovereignty to the New World Order or Great Reset,” added Dr. Breggin. “Our success or failure in stopping the ratification of these amendments will establish the pattern for the future, including WHO’s ongoing effort to make legally-binding treaties that rob nations of their sovereignty.”

While it seems that some of the most extreme usurpations were defeated, for now, experts told *The New American* that the same forces behind those amendments would be seeking to get them approved in the upcoming pandemic treaty or some other instrument. But it is even worse than a loss of sovereignty. Instead, it amounts to handing power over all of humanity to a global class of predatory elites interested not in health, but in power and money, Dr. Breggin said.

“In reality, they will be forfeiting their sovereign powers to the global predators who rule the UN and WHO, including the Chinese Communist Party and supporters of the Great Reset, like Bill Gates, World Economic Forum founder Klaus Schwab, and giant foundations and corporations — all of whom benefit from weakening or destroying the sovereignty of the Western nations,” continued Breggin. “Western civilization, and mainly the United States, is all that stands in strong opposition to the globalist takeover of the world, called the New World Order or the Great Reset.”



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

Already, the WHO and its allies — and the global predators behind the whole machine — have shown their awesome powers. During the Covid crisis, the global agency was the key mechanism for unleashing and coordinating the unprecedented assaults on freedom around the world, Breggin explained.

“WHO was highly effective during Covid-19 in implementing the aims of the global predators, led by the groups around Bill Gates and the Chinese Communist Party, in their organized assault and terror campaign against the Western democracies,” Dr. Breggin said. “This purposely resulted in the vast weakening of any potentially anti-globalist, freedom-oriented, patriotic nations, including the U.S., Great Britain, Australia, Canada, and others. That success may explain why the global predators chose WHO to now deliver a major and potentially lethal death blow to the sovereignty of the world’s nations.”

If not stopped, the ongoing power grabs would make all of it orders of magnitude worse, he said.

WHO Insider Speaks Out

In an interview with *The New American*, Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger, a prominent epidemiologist and international health scientist in Geneva and a former WHO official turned whistleblower, also warned that the WHO was the leading force behind the tyranny that enveloped the globe during Covid.

In defiance of its own IHR, which Dr. Stuckelberger taught at the university level, the WHO used fearmongering to “issue directives that were totally not commensurate to the situation,” she said. If the amendments eventually pass, or if they are adopted in the looming treaty, it will get even worse. It all shows that the WHO and its backers such as Gates “have moved now, clearly, into global governance,” Dr. Stuckelberger said. “The way they are handling WHO is not like coordinating member states that can decide for themselves.”

The global model truly came into view over the last two years. “Before, in the IHR, we trained [students] that everything should be customized to countries,” she said. But now, “they have brought everybody to obey one governance, to obey a single standard.” “WHO is now more and more taking power of the world together as the only organization to be able ... to direct any epidemic preparedness plan or pandemic intervention plan,” she added.

The dystopian developments are moving from rhetoric to reality. At the WHO’s extraordinary World Health Assembly meeting late last year, member governments were given a document headlined “A Guide to a Pandemic Treaty.” Member states voted to accept the procedures to develop that treaty, which is now underway.

“They are so sneaky,” Stuckelberger said just before the recent meeting. “They are going to take the instrument that will be adopted the quickest, and the instrument likely to be adopted the quickest is the International Health Regulations.” Plan A, the amendments, did not succeed fully, it seems. But the battle is far from finished.

The WHO “Constitution” — something governments have — is likely in place to help turn the agency into a true global authority with governmental powers, Stuckelberger said. And the outfit’s constitution purports to enshrine a “fundamental right” to the “highest attainable standard of health.” It also calls for the “fullest cooperation of individuals and States.” Again, this is basically a blank check for intervention in people’s lives.



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

In Article 21 of the WHO Constitution, the World Health Assembly is empowered to “adopt regulations” on everything from “sanitary and quarantine requirements” to “standards” for diagnosing diseases. This is what made possible the global tyranny that enveloped the world in early 2020, as well as the unreliable PCR Covid tests that fed the narrative, said Dr. Stuckelberger, who served as president of the WHO’s Geneva International Network on Ageing.

“We have to be liberated from the United Nations,” said Stuckelberger before urging everyone to contact their elected officials, attorneys, and others in a bid to derail the WHO power grab.

Seeking Even More Powers

With the WHO power grab in late May having been dealt a blow, the next major WHO threat to medical freedom, national sovereignty, and self-government is approaching fast. It comes from the proposed “International Pandemic Treaty” being worked on now behind the scenes.

Under the guise of controlling future pandemics, this global agreement would also hand vast new powers to the global “health” organization. Multiple experts say the worst of the controversial amendments will be resurrected as the treaty is negotiated. And advocates of the treaty are openly proposing to turn the WHO into a sort of global health ministry with vast powers over every person and government on Earth.

Even actual sanctions on nations whose governments defy their would-be WHO overlords are now being peddled. For instance, WHO boss Tedros claimed that “maybe exploring the sanctions may be important.” Meanwhile, German Health Minister Jens Spahn argued “that countries that fail to follow up on their commitments to the WHO should face sanctions.” This sentiment is widespread among global elites. Basically, nations will submit to the WHO, or face the wrath of the world.



WHO whistleblower: Epidemiologist Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger, a former WHO official, is sounding the alarm about how WHO is using fear-mongering to impose global governance.

Indeed, less than a year ago, an “independent” UN panel of high-level globalists convened by the WHO chief claimed the health agency “needs to be empowered — financially, and politically.” This empowering should include making the WHO more independent, creating new “capacities” (powers) at the global level, and establishing a “new international system for surveillance.”



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

The UN report, which suggests Covid damage could have been mitigated with more global tyranny, also seeks to bring in the “precautionary principle.” Similar to the “climate” narrative, the precautionary principle involves implementing policies — in this case medical tyranny — as a precaution against potential dangers rather than in response to a proven threat. Ironically, that same WHO repeatedly claimed Covid was not transmissible between humans, just long enough for it to spread around the world.

Offering broad insight into the objectives, the report celebrated regimes such as the Communist Chinese dictatorship for their authoritarian response to Covid. Numerous globalist bigwigs working with the WHO and Beijing such as Gates and Schwab have repeatedly praised the barbaric Covid response by Beijing while condemning jurisdictions that respected individual rights and the rule of law. This was all foreseen as far back as 2010 in the Rockefeller Foundation’s “scenario,” dubbed Lockstep, involving a hypothetical future pandemic that featured incredible similarities with the response to Covid.

Even as these battles are raging, totalitarians are already scheming on even more draconian powers over basic rights such as free speech. The WHO itself has been working to silence what it considers “misinformation,” “disinformation,” and “conspiracy theories” for years. “We’re not just battling the virus,” said WHO Director-General Tedros in the summer of 2020. “We’re also battling the trolls and conspiracy theorists that push misinformation and undermine the outbreak response.”

The WHO was “working closely” with Big Tech firms to censor the web, it boasted. In fact, the CEO of YouTube bragged about it, too. “Anything that would go against World Health Organization recommendations would be a violation of our policy,” YouTube CEO Susan Wojcicki told CNN in April of 2020, early in the Covid pandemic. “And so ‘remove’ is another really important part of our policy.” Ironically, the WHO has urged health professionals to peddle demonstrable lies to parents in order to promote vaccines.

Opposition Grows as Legal Questions Swirl

In a memo to the WHO, U.S. Health and Human Services Assistant Secretary for Global Affairs Loyce Pace cited almost 50 other governments that support the Biden administration’s proposed amendments. Those include the member governments of the European Union, along with numerous other governments including those in India, Australia, Japan, Canada, the United Kingdom, and more.

However, massive global opposition, including a threat to withdraw from the WHO by Brazilian authorities, led to a temporary and limited retreat — this time. A coalition of almost 50 African governments also argued that the amendments were being rushed too quickly and without adequate consultation with their governments. In the end, a series of watered-down “replacement” amendments were reportedly approved, despite policy stating that they must be made available months in advance. But the threat of a major power grab being enshrined in “international law” still looms large.

Because of the existing international agreement updated by WHO member states almost two decades ago, the UN WHO considers any new updates to its International Health Regulations to be binding on all nations and all of humanity, legal experts say. Similar machinations were used to impose UN “climate” schemes on the planet without ratification by the U.S. Senate. And a similar process may be attempted to impose the “treaty” being worked on now.



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

However, there are several constitutional issues at hand as far as the United States is concerned. For one, the states that created the U.S. government never delegated these types of powers over “health” to the government they established. If the U.S. government lacks a specific authority or power, it certainly cannot hand a power it does not rightfully possess over to another body such as the WHO — at least not without a properly ratified constitutional amendment.

In fact, the U.S. Supreme Court confirmed as recently as 1957 in the case *Reid v. Covert* that the U.S. government could not grant new powers to itself — or delegate those powers to other bodies — merely by adopting international agreements. Thomas Jefferson, a key architect of America’s constitutional system, understood that as well. “I say the same as to the opinion of those who consider the grant of the treaty-making power as boundless,” he explained in 1803. “If it is, then we have no Constitution.”

Neither the WHO nor the Biden administration’s Department of Health and Human Services responded to requests for comment.

In an interview with *The New American*, journalist and commentator James Rogusky, among the first to sound the alarm on the amendments and a leading source of the global opposition, called on people to resist the WHO agenda while it is still possible. “The time to speak out and stand for your rights is now,” he explained. He argued that the defeat of almost all the originally proposed amendments was a “stunning defeat” for the globalist agenda. But of course, the war is far from over.

In an effort to derail the WHO’s power grab, The John Birch Society, the parent organization of this magazine, launched a grassroots effort encouraging state lawmakers across America to nullify the schemes at the state level. “Rather than sitting back and being complacent, state legislators must take bold action to nullify any WHO agreement,” the Society said in a mass email to its legislative-alert subscribers.

“Nullification of the WHO’s pandemic treaty wouldn’t be the first time the states have taken such bold action,” the organization’s alert continued. “Among multiple other examples, Alabama in 2012 enacted a strong law banning the implementation of the UN’s Agenda 21 (now Agenda 2030) in the state. Multiple other state legislative chambers passed similar bans.”

Urging activists to contact their lawmakers, the group, which has chapters nationwide, called for strong laws to prohibit implementation and enforcement of the WHO scheme. This could include ensuring that no state or local officials can participate in the enforcement of any actions originating from the WHO or under the authority of its illegitimate agreements.

Multiple lawmakers responded to the opposition. In Kansas, for example, the state Senate adopted a resolution warning that the WHO power grabs “are strongly disapproved as they will ultimately hand over the United States’ national sovereignty and authority to the WHO and place our democratic nation in the control of an unelected international organization that is wholly unaccountable to the people of this country.”

At the federal level, lawmakers also moved quickly. For instance, a dozen lawmakers joined as cosponsors of H.R. 419, known as the No Taxpayer Funding for the World Health Organization Act, to defund the controversial global body. In late May, Senators Steve Daines (R-Mont.) and Tom Cotton (R-Ark.) urged the administration to remove the U.S. government from the WHO due to its “abysmal lack of competence.” The House Freedom Caucus also called on Biden to immediately resume the U.S. withdrawal or “at the very least” take aggressive action against its corruption and stop trying to



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

empower it.



Not mincing words: In an interview with *The New American*, Dr. Robert Malone called President Joe Biden's role in trying to cede U.S. sovereign powers to the WHO regime an "impeachable offense." (Photo credit: AP Images)

In an interview on Fox News, Senator Rand Paul (R-Ky.) lashed out at the scheme to impose a "world government" under the guise of "health." "The WHO has announced that they are forming a treaty, it's going to be this treaty for the next pandemic, but in the next pandemic, it's not going to be a Washington-based mandate on vaccines, or a Washington-based social distancing or masks, it's going to be an international one," he said.

It gets even creepier, Senator Paul warned. "And they actually want to track everybody with a QRS code," he said, suggesting jokingly that the WHO wanted to put it on the back of peoples' necks. "But it's no laughing matter. It is very worrisome. Whenever they talk about it, they have absolutely no concern for privacy, they have no concern for the individual."

U.S. Senator Rick Scott (R-Fla.), who recently was interviewed by *The New American* about his plan to stop socialism in America, introduced a bill ensuring that public-health policy is made domestically and not by the WHO and Communist China. "The WHO's radical 'pandemic treaty' is a dangerous glob-alist overreach. The United States of America must never give more power to the WHO. The WHO is a puppet for Xi Jinping, controlled by the Chinese Communist Party, and helped Beijing cover up the origins of COVID-19," Scott said. "We must quickly pass this bill to ensure that public health matters in the country remain in the hands of Americans, not globalist puppets working for Communist China."

In an interview with *The New American* magazine about a bill to cut ties to the entire UN and not just the WHO, Representative Thomas Massie (R-Ky.) expressed support for getting out of the UN entirely. "It's full of dictators, and it's also something that I don't think our sovereign government should defer to," he explained. Legislation to do just that, the American Sovereignty Restoration Act, has been regularly introduced in Congress for decades and is currently pending as H.R. 7806.

Derailing the WHO's draconian power grabs — especially the looming pandemic treaty — would be a good step in the direction of neutralizing the ever-increasing threat of globalism and international tyranny. However, over the long term, small victories in battles such as this one will not be enough. A full restoration of sovereignty must be the ultimate objective — and this massive attack on humanity by



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

the WHO may be the perfect catalyst to supercharge the movement to stop globalism for good.

Alex Newman is a senior editor for The New American



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on June 7, 2022

Published in the June 27, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 12

Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.