



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

Senator Ron Johnson Takes On the Covid Cartel

Senator Ron Johnson (R-Wis.) stands out among federal legislators for his commitment to investigating the Covid pandemic response, which inflicted heavy tolls on America in terms of economic devastation, freedoms curtailed, and lives lost. Below is an abridged version of an interview with Johnson at his U.S. Senate office on April 10 by Veronika Kyrylenko, senior editor for *The New American*. The [full interview](#) is available at [thenewamerican.com](#) and on the magazine's social-media accounts.



The New American: Senator Johnson, you've been extremely active in trying to alleviate people's suffering caused by the pandemic response. You have advocated for early treatment ... and opposed vaccine mandates. Can you talk about some of the factors that influenced your viewpoints on these issues, especially considering that your viewpoints radically deviated from the dominant narrative here in D.C.?

Senator Ron Johnson: Well, first of all, nothing in the response to Covid made sense to me. I held my first hearing as chairman of Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs with [former director of the FDA] Scott Gottlieb and [former director of the CDC] Julie Gerberding. In that hearing, I found out that we really don't produce the active pharmaceutical ingredients or the precursor chemicals for drugs, which is a huge vulnerability, and we've done nothing to address it. That was in February of 2020.

Then, when I started hearing about this 15-day shutdown to flatten the curve, I certainly questioned the wisdom of shutting down the American economy. I was actually on a phone conference with Anthony Fauci, and President Trump might have been on it, but members of his administration were. As a senator, you get to ask one question. The question I asked Dr. Fauci was, "Dr. Fauci, are you contemplating the economic devastation of what you're suggesting here in terms of economic shutdowns?" His reply to me was that economic concerns were somebody else's department, not his. I thought that was a rather callous and cavalier attitude.

So, when I went public and made the comment that we tragically lose tens of thousands of Americans on the highways every year, and we don't shut down the highways and our transportation system, we can't shut down the American economy. I made that comment, and then Anthony Fauci from the podium in a briefing room said all these comments were way out there, like I'm some kind of crazy man.

What I proposed was entirely reasonable. It was a very good analogy. Because they shut down the economy and so many governors took the power that they seized, I think they enjoyed that control over the population. And the economic devastation is just incalculable. I mean, people's lives were ruined and destroyed by the shutdowns. I knew they would be. So I was opposed to that.

But then, there was just fearmongering. We had very early on, with [physician-scientist] John Ioannidis,



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

a pretty good research project with the Diamond Princess Cruise, where they had an outbreak, and he analyzed the results of that, where if you were elderly, Covid was something you needed to be concerned about if you had certain comorbidities. But if you're young, if you're healthy, Covid was probably not going to be a very devastating disease for you. So right there, that eased my concerns.

And before that, I had the same fear as the rest of the population did. We saw the pictures coming out of China with the officials in moonsuits, doctors dying. And this was scary. I mean, I understand that. But once you start gathering information, that is my concern now that we had the experience of the Princess Cruise. So I held a hearing on May 6, 2020 with John Ioannidis and other experts. [Former advisor on the White House Coronavirus Task Force] Scott Atlas was part of that panel. Dr. Pierre Kory was talking about corticosteroids, but I think my main point there is that Covid was not going to be SARS, MERS, or Ebola. It might have a similar infection fatality rate as a bad flu season. The Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine of Oxford came out with infection case fatality rates far lower than SARS and MERS. So, again, I was trying to put things in perspective and hope that our government in the U.S. and globally wouldn't overreact to this. And unfortunately, I wasn't successful.

But also, as soon as I heard of a potential treatment for it, hydroxychloroquine, I hopped on that right away. What if it is an effective treatment? Will we have enough doses? I mean, do we have the manufacturing capability to just snuff this pandemic out with effective treatment? Of course, Donald Trump mentioned it, and all of a sudden, you couldn't even talk about hydroxychloroquine without being labeled a conspiracy theorist.

They sabotaged the use of hydroxychloroquine; they sabotaged the use of ivermectin. They vilified Pierre Kory [because of his endorsement of] corticosteroids until the study out of England said that, no, dexamethasone, which is a corticosteroid, actually does work. And so they kind of reversed course on that. But it didn't make sense. I mean, the whole point of early detection, and this is fundamental medicine, you detect a disease early so you can offer early treatment to have a better outcome.

That wasn't followed here. We did all this testing — for what purpose? But once you found out that you had Covid, you were just told to go home, do nothing, maybe take a Tylenol or two and hope you didn't get so sick and your lips turned blue, you'd have to go into the hospital and be abused in the hospital, have remdesivir pumped in your arm that had no scientific basis for being used whatsoever, or put on a ventilator. Ninety percent of people put on ventilators never got off them.

So, again, none of this made any sense whatsoever except in the context of the global movement toward vaccines, and a vaccine solution, which is what all this bioweapon research was justified on the basis of. We're going to create deadly viruses so that we can develop a countermeasure just in case somebody produces a deadly bioweapon. I mean, it's literally crazy, what has all been happening. So, again, there's so much that doesn't make sense other than in the context of this. There was bioweapon research going on. It's pretty obvious, I think, that this coronavirus was released from labs in some way, shape, or form, probably from Wuhan. Anthony Fauci obviously was covering up his involvement.

But you also have to understand: It wasn't just Anthony Fauci's NIAID [National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases] agency that was involved in funding this. Fauci's group at NIH [National Institutes of Health] provided about \$40 million of funding to Peter Daszak's EcoHealth Alliance. The Defense Department provided \$42 million. USAID — Bobby Kennedy calls it a CIA cutout, and I don't dispute that — [provided] \$53 million of funding. And it is interesting that the only federal agency that is



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

certainly clinging to this “sprang from nature” [narrative] is the one agency that probably knows more about bioweapons research, how we are funding it, what the implications could be, [would] be the CIA. And now we find out that six of the seven analysts who were tasked with determining if this [virus was] man-made or sprang from nature thought [it] was man-made, [that it was a] lab leak. And then they were bribed to change their opinion! So again, there’s just so much corruption that’s already been revealed, so much coverup that we’re already seeing. And the fact of the matter is that we’re just seeing the tip of the iceberg here. We’re just really scratching the surface of the corruption within these agencies.

TNA: When and why did you decide to hold hearings on Covid vaccine injuries, mechanisms of harm, and broader pandemic-related issues?

Johnson: Nobody else is doing it. We have the HELP committee, you know, Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions. You would think that the committee would have been holding hearings on what we could do to respond to the pandemic. You would have thought they were the ones to have been holding the hearings on how serious this disease was. What about molecules, current drugs, generic drugs that could be used to treat this? What are we doing to treat it? Why isn’t there Operation Warp Speed on treatment versus all of our efforts toward vaccines?

So, I held hearings on early treatments. I held a hearing on hydroxychloroquine because there was an MIT analysis by Harvey Risch, an epidemiologist from Yale. And Pierre Kory had his manuscript on ivermectin published a month later. The main analysis there was that this was effective. And I heard story after story of just miraculous turnarounds. People couldn’t breathe, and literally within hours their breathing restrictions were lifted. I’m thoroughly convinced that particularly [ivermectin] was pretty darn effective. I think all early treatments were sabotaged.

TNA: When did you become aware of the harms that the vaccines were causing?

Johnson: Because I was holding these hearings as chairman of Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs — this is really not an area that the committee would normally be delving into, but nobody else was doing it — but because of that, I was connected to this global network of immensely qualified doctors and medical researchers who had a completely different take on the coronavirus.

One of those individuals was Dr. Michael Yeadon, who worked for Pfizer for 30 years. And I talked to him pretty early on, I think probably by October 2020 was my first contact with him. He said, “You know, Ron, that there’s a long list of ingredients that we don’t put in injectables because they’re toxic to the body.” He said, “I know they’re lying to us.” And he’s got a list of all the lies, such as that it was going to stay in our arm. I mean, mRNA, which is modified RNA, circulates in the body for months. It was encapsulated in the lipid nanoparticle, which is designed to permeate barriers, like the blood-brain barrier. So, they knew, and they have studies on this with rats. The Japanese regulators through FOIA determined that the lipid nanoparticle [was] distributed all over the body and concentrated in organs like ovaries, the adrenal glands. We were told that the vaccine would stay right there in the arm, you’d create the antibodies and move on. And they [Pfizer] knew it was a lie.

[Yeadon] said he couldn’t believe the fact that his colleagues were going to produce an injection that is going to have the body produce its own toxin. That’s not a traditional vaccine. And that’s why we’re seeing all these harms. So again, I was just made aware of the dangers of the vaccine, and I was made aware of how our federal health officials, global health officials, were just bald-faced lying to the public



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

about this.

Bad press: Hydroxychloroquine was labeled ineffective by the medical establishment, although many doctors saw success when using it as part of a treatment protocol with their Covid patients. (AP Images)



TNA: Your website features a section allowing people to share their Covid vaccine-mandate story. What's the purpose of this feature, and what have you learned from these stories?

Johnson: Well, as chairman of Homeland Security, the Senate Oversight Committee, we encourage whistleblowers, and so we encourage people to tell their story. There are so many stories of people that are just being ignored. I mean, I've never, ever heard of the condition SADS. I've heard of SIDS [sudden infant death syndrome]. All of a sudden, in 2021, the sudden adult death syndrome [appeared.] And nobody's asking the question, did we do something different in 2021? Has something changed in public health that might have all of a sudden been the cause of these young, healthy people just dropping dead, on sports fields, in their sleep? Again, it's insane.

And then you've got safety surveillance systems from our federal agencies — that they were touting, by the way, in October of 2020, before they got the emergency use authorization. They're talking about this excellent safety surveillance system that if we start seeing signals, if somebody who's having a reaction to the vaccines within a couple of days, they were going to be on the phone and find out exactly what's happening. That was total BS. So, I was concerned about the vaccine. When VAERS [Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System] started screaming safety signals, I started asking questions. I talked to Francis Collins [the former director of the National Institutes of Health], I think in April 2021. And this is where there were a few thousand deaths, and 46 percent of those deaths were occurring on the day of vaccination or within two days. And obviously, VAERS doesn't prove causation, but that's a pretty alarming correlation. The other problem is it vastly understates the number of adverse events. I'm asking Francis Collins, what are you doing about this issue? "Senator, we've attributed six deaths to the J&J vaccine, but that's it. As for the other thousands of deaths — people die." Totally cavalier, totally brazen. And that continues to be the attitude of our federal health agencies, that it's safe and effective. They'll never stop saying that — of any of the vaccines.

TNA: You've been bombarding the HHS [Department of Health and Human Services] with your inquiries about two topics, the so-called hot lots of the vaccines, and the standard operational practices for analyzing adverse effects. Can you talk about how cooperative they've been?



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

Johnson: The short answer is, they've been completely uncooperative. We actually have evidence of an email we got through, I think, a FOIA request where they literally had a standard form response to one of my oversight letters. You know, that's how unseriously they're taking all this now, to the extent that they're covering it up. It's a joke. But I keep writing letters. Unfortunately, we don't have Democrats in the Senate that are particularly interested in doing oversight on this. I mean, quite honestly, even in the House, the subcommittee on the coronavirus pandemic, populated by a lot of doctors, part of the card-carrying members of the medical establishment, recommended the vaccine to their constituents.

They don't want to see that there's harm. They don't want to have to confront the possibility that what they recommended to their constituents or their patients might have harmed them or potentially resulted in their death. So that's what we're up against. We're up against the basic human tendency to never admit you're wrong. Plus, the body counts are way too high. They can't afford to admit they're wrong. Unfortunately, the Covid cartel — the Biden administration, federal health agencies thoroughly captured by Big Pharma, as well as the media and the social-media companies — they were the ones censoring people like myself. They've got the power to make it almost impossible to prove that they are wrong.



Mastermind: Dr. Anthony Fauci, director of the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases, was primarily responsible for the lockdowns and mask mandates implemented by state governors. His National Institutes of Health also funded coronavirus research in Wuhan, China. (AP Images)

TNA: Opening the most recent roundtable, you said, "The Covid pandemic has opened my eyes to the failure and corruption of the global elite and their institutions, including the government." Can you talk about the global elite, who they are and how they factored into the pandemic response here in America?

Johnson: Those are individuals who are running the World Health Organization and funding it. And then, highly influential [groups] like the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. It's Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum. I don't know exactly how they pull the strings, but what I will say is that they exert far too much influence over our lives, over our governments.

As you talk to medical researchers who couldn't get an independent review board to peer-review their studies, they couldn't get important papers published. They couldn't get published because our medical journals have been thoroughly corrupted as well.



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

Bill Gates is all about vaccines. He wants everybody vaccinated, I don't know how many times a year. There's a lot of money in vaccines, without liability. And that's part of the problem. I've never been an anti-vaxxer, but now that I've seen what has happened with Covid, it opened my eyes to the corruption of the rest of the vaccine industry and the impact of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, originally passed to absolve manufacturers from liability. If you can be absolved of any liability for bad practices or bad manufacturing techniques or whatever, you can have a pretty powerful product.

So you've seen this explosion on the childhood vaccine schedule. I'm 69 years old. I got three vaccines growing up. Now, children are getting more than 70 doses of I don't know how many different vaccines. And they're being injected with multiple vaccines at the same time. And nobody's asking the question, well, what could go wrong here?

We've seen chronic illnesses go up. Currently, the CDC says 60 percent of Americans suffer from a chronic disease. What are federal health officials doing looking into that? I think there's been total corruption of potential studies that might show harm by vaccines, but that's been suppressed. Why don't we have an Operation Warp Speed to determine what is causing all this ill health in America? They're not doing it because, again, I think our regulatory agencies are completely captured by Big Pharma, Big Ag, big whatever.

TNA: You suggested that the government is one of the institutions of the global elites. What is the American government right now and who does it serve? What is its purpose?

Johnson: The American government is a \$6.9 trillion entity, the largest in the world, and is completely out of control. "Don't worry about corruption. Don't worry about improper payments. We'll just continue to mortgage our children's future." So, it's completely out of control.

Playing God: Bill Gates has in recent years shifted from being a tech mogul to a population-control and mass-vaccination zealot. His Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation is spending billions of dollars to increase global vaccination rates. (AP Images)



TNA: Talking about corruption, it's been obvious that the influence of corporate interests on political decision-making has been an ongoing problem for many years, and the Covid pandemic has really taken it to a whole new level. Can you talk about the political philosophy of the global elite and the government and their long-term goals for America?



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

Johnson: It's about power and control. I'm as conservative as you. I know we need some government to secure our borders, for national defense, to create the rules of the road in terms of overall commerce, but a very limited government. And that's why I have the 10th Amendment up on my wall there.

I don't know why anybody would want to try and control somebody else's life. And I got enough on my hands with my own life. But that's not the viewpoint of the radical Left or liberals that want to grow government because they want to have power over other people's lives. That's just the bottom line here.

They think they're so smart. They're the better angels. The rest of us are a bunch of dumb country bumpkins, and we need to be told how to run our life. Like Schwab said, you'll own nothing, but you'll be happy. Yeah, well, that's not a formula that I want to abide by.

TNA: To me, one of the most unsettling aspects of their mindset is the belief that there are just too many people living on this planet. The UN, the WHO, the WEF, and major financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, they all say that it's such a huge issue. There are thousands of academic papers describing overpopulation as a threat. How do you feel about this theory and its practical application?

Johnson: Henry Kissinger was really part of the group back in the '70s that were promoting this population control. People like Paul Ehrlich talked about the way we're going to start a great famine. We could be unable to feed ourselves. And of course, he lost his most famous bets. You know, the best way to control population is to increase people's prosperity. People tend to have fewer children because they're able to survive. You don't have such high levels of infant mortality. You don't need children to work here at a subsistence-level farm. So, the best solution, if you're concerned about overpopulation, is to help people raise up their economies and have more opportunity, more prosperity.

But you've always had the Malthusians, and they've always been wrong. We've always been able to adapt. I don't know what level population the Earth can sustain. I know there are massive populations, primarily in Asia. Here in America, we still have a pretty sparse population. We have plenty of land. We feed the world. But now all these food plants have started catching fire. It's pretty bizarre.

TNA: Many of the experts that you have invited to testify share this very well-substantiated opinion that Covid policies were actually a crime against humanity. Do you share this perspective?

Johnson: Surely, people should be held accountable. We never should have mandated vaccines. After WWII, they developed codes of just medical conduct after what happened with the Nazis, which basically says that you cannot be forced to take medical treatment. Unfortunately, in U.S. law [*Jacobson v. Massachusetts*], and this really dates back to England and smallpox, where populations were forced to take vaccines.

Again, I can't really explain.... Well, first of all, I think it seems to be an elegant solution. If you can come up with something called a vaccine that prevented disease, how great would that be? The problem is, we've never been able to develop a vaccine for colds. You can argue that the flu vaccine is pretty ineffective against the flu. The viruses are mutating.

I would say the Covid vaccine, other than maybe very, very early on with vulnerable people, there might have been some impact before the effectiveness waned. And then as the virus mutated, which was predicted, by the way, by people like [virologist] Geert Vanden Bossche, the last thing you want to do is



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

mass vaccination in the midst of a pandemic because you'll just drive variations, which is a known, real possibility.

That's exactly what happened here. So, to force an experimental injection product on the population that has been proven now to cause harm, there's nobody that can dispute the fact that the vaccine has caused harm. And federal health agencies are admitting to myocarditis. But there's just such a host — I mean, you know, the chart I show, there's over 37,000 deaths associated with vaccines. Again, [there are] 1.6 million total adverse events. So, you compare that to ivermectin, hydroxychloroquine, flu vaccine. It's just orders of magnitude worse. I can understand why, you know, people use those terms [crimes against humanity]. [But] my role is to provide people the truth, to expose it as best I can. And I do it [by using] these really qualified experts who can speak with authority.

TNA: During the most recent roundtable [February 29, 2024], you asked Dr. Robert Malone a rather peculiar question. You said, I think one of the things that always bothers you is so much of what we're learning in terms of harms of these vaccines, were clearly known before they were rolled out. Do you think that at this point the evidence of intended harm is overwhelming?

Johnson: I think so. And, you know, set aside the specific medical indications, the fact that the FDA went to court to prevent the Pfizer documents on their trials from becoming public [for 75 years]. That wasn't Pfizer doing it. Pfizer allowed the FDA to do it on their behalf. The FDA should be all about transparency. But we had a good judge [Mark Pittman] who did not let them get away with that, we started to see these Pfizer docs, and the corruption of them, how they did not report a death when it occurred during a trial. And I think more will be revealed as time goes by.

TNA: During the previous interview with our magazine, you mentioned that if Republicans regain control over the Senate this November, then you'd become chairman of the Subcommittee on Investigation, which is a part of the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. How would you formulate the objectives of the investigation [of the pandemic response]?

Johnson: Read over 60 of my oversight letters. I'm laying the foundation for those oversight hearings you mentioned. Some of the more notable oversight letters have had to do with hot lots. That letter was really based on independent researchers that were taking a look at the VAERS system, and they compared 20-some thousand Covid lots to 27,000 flu vaccine lots. And the difference is stark. I don't have the numbers right off the top of my head, but I know one Covid vaccine lot had over 5,000 adverse events reported. The largest number of adverse events associated with one flu vaccine lot was over 137. And there were hundreds of lots of color-coded vaccine that had an excess of 100 adverse events.

So, to me, that signals a manufacturing process that is not in control. You know, I wrote this letter, they didn't respond for probably more than a year. And the response we got from the FDA was that we don't see any variation. I outlined it for you. You know, this independent research has shown you that there's variation in lots. It has been maddening from our standpoint.

But the other more notable bit of oversight is we became aware of the fact that the agencies had the standard operating procedure of how they were going to analyze their safety surveillance systems. You know, they ... first of all, denied that they did the analysis, proportional reporting ratios, or empirical Bayesian analysis.

We got the runaround for a couple of years.... Again, we fund these agencies, we pay these people's salaries, we fund these studies. This should be public information. Why are they hiding it? And that's ...



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

when ... my antennae are tingling, because none of this makes sense. They're hiding things they shouldn't be hiding. And the only reason to hide things is going to ... I think, probably be very incriminating for them that they knew of these harms. They knew them during the trial. They knew them early on, and they said nothing other than "safe and effective." Then they went ahead and mandated this.

We've known since the Princess Cruise that healthy children have virtually zero chance of any kind of serious harm from Covid. And yet we have these federal health agencies ... recommending the vaccine for children six months and older. That's a travesty. There can be no justification for that whatsoever. And they do it. They're still doing it. They added it to the childhood vaccine schedule.

I'm personally interested in the corruption that ... was revealed within our federal health agencies, within Big Pharma. You know, the vaccine mandates, the harms caused by the vaccines. The fact that the vaccine-injured are being gaslit. They can't get treatment because nobody's admitting that. Well, we're all blaming this now on "long Covid."

TNA: It's obvious that international organizations as well as business and political interests here in Washington, D.C. [that shaped the pandemic response] are the same ones that are causing or at least contributing to the other problems that we're facing right now. They're facilitating international migration. They are shaping public education. They are pushing insane climate-change policies and so many other destructive policies on the American people. Do you see any connections between these agendas?

Johnson: Yeah, it's all about power and control. I think they were disappointed that climate change hasn't been embraced by the public to give them as much power and control as they wanted. They found the pandemic allowed them to. Now, I oftentimes refer to Eisenhower's farewell address ... that relates to his warning about the military-industrial complex, which we've not taken seriously enough.

I think that's still driving a lot of harmful actions on our part. But there are three other warnings. The second warning was about the issue involved in public funding of basic science and research, saying that scientists, if it's funded by the public, are going to be more interested in getting a government grant than pursuing true science. And their science is going to be tainted by what the government wants. And so, we're going to end up with the scientific and technological elite driving public policy. And I think that's what we've witnessed through the pandemic.

He warned us not to plunder our children's future. But the last thing you want is somebody who didn't spend much time on this. But he said we cannot allow a global society to descend us into a state of dreadful fear and hate. That's how they drive all this. It's fear. If you talk about the military-industrial complex, I mean, you got to be afraid of all these tyrants, right? And again, I'm not saying that we don't have to be, but why don't we try and get along with them more than we do?

We never look back retrospectively and say, well, what did these policies result in? What did this foreign entanglement result in? What was the result of 50,000 Americans losing their life in Vietnam, what was the result in Afghanistan, in Iraq, in Ukraine, where we have fomented the "Revolution of Dignity"? Yeah, I'm all for people slipping the bonds of tyranny, of pursuing their individual liberty and freedom. But that revolution of dignity has now pretty well resulted in the destruction of Ukraine. We ought to ask these questions. And we simply don't. We ought to be asking the same questions in terms of how our pharmaceutical-industrial complex is driving our health.



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

I don't blame the companies. They're not the root cause. They're trying to produce products. They're trying to not be crushed by taxation, burdens of regulation. The problem is big government.... They go, "How can I avoid all this overregulation? Well, I'll capture it now and use it to my advantage." And of course, big companies are more capable of doing that at the expense of little companies. I'm a little-company guy.

As government grows, your freedom necessarily recedes, whether it's national government or global governance. So just beware of these entities [that] continue to grow, because as they grow, your freedom is going to continue to recede.

TNA: You said that the global elites maintain power by controlling information; they push their narrative while at the same time suppressing and marginalizing dissident voices. What steps do you think can be taken to shift public perception away from this manipulative narrative into a greater embrace of constitutional and republican principles?

Johnson: Well, there's a reason freedom of speech was in our First Amendment. It's just crucial. And I really think one of the most significant and impactful conservative victories was initiated by current Senator Eric Schmitt, who was attorney general of Missouri, and attorney general in Louisiana [Jeff Landry] that initiated the lawsuit that exposed the truth of government censorship. So, the only weapon we really have is the truth. But when you have the global elite in charge of the media, it's very difficult to get the truth out there. And, fortunately, we still do have free press. Unfortunately, most of the media are just lapdogs for the radical Left themselves. They're a bunch of leftists, they're Democrats. So unfortunately, most Americans get their news feeds from the mainstream media, and so they're not exposed to the truth. But they need to be. That's why we need more true journalists. We need more publications like yours that are willing to fearlessly convey the truth, and we need more people to read these and be exposed to them and then start thinking and be evangelists for the truth. And truth is powerful, but it has to be exposed.

We don't have much time left. I agree with Elon Musk, who said that unless there's a red wave in November, America is doomed. I'm not the most uplifting character. I'm not an optimist at this point in time, but I'm not giving up. I can't turn my back on this marvel of a country and what our Founders, what our ancestors, gave to us. We need to do everything we can to fight to preserve it.



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 4, 2024

Published in the June 24, 2024 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 40, No. 12

Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.