



Written by [Joe Wolverton, II, J.D.](#) on October 10, 2016

Published in the October 10, 2016 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 32, No. 19

Obama: U.S. Must “Accept Constraints” on Sovereignty

In what is likely his final address to the UN General Assembly, on September 20 President Obama offered what he called the “broad strokes” of a “course correction” necessary to achieve “global integration.”

In order to “to carry this progress forward,” the president said that he is “convinced that in the long run, giving up some freedom of action ... binding ourselves to international rules over the long term — enhances our security.”

Next, although not mentioning Republican presidential nominee Donald Trump by name, Obama warned of the dangers of pursuing an Americanist agenda. Speaking of the need for “global integration,” Obama warned that “aggressive nationalism” and “a crude populism” — a vision promoted by the “far right” — fails to embrace “our common humanity.”

“Today, a nation ringed by walls would only imprison itself,” the president added, in case it wasn’t crystal clear whose proposals he was attacking.

It wasn’t enough for the sitting president to take shots at the GOP presidential hopeful, however. He took on the market economy too. Sounding like a full-throated unabashed Marxist, Obama explained that when it comes to bridging the gap between rich and poor, we could not rely on “soulless capitalism,” but would need to increase the ability of government to respond to the demands for benefits.

Governments will achieve this new paradigm of economic leveling, Obama said, by “respecting the rights of workers” and guaranteeing that workers “can organize into independent unions and earn a living wage.” He then crowed that during his administration, the United States has “worked with many nations to curb the excesses of capitalism.”

In what amounts to a shot of top-shelf irony, Obama — a man who has declared that he “cannot wait” for Congress to act, so he has taken the power unto himself to rule autocratically with his phone and pen — said history teaches that “strongmen are then left with two paths: permanent crackdown, which sparks strife at home, or scapegoating enemies abroad, which can lead to war.”

Strife at home. Sound familiar?

Scapegoating enemies abroad. Sound familiar?

War. Sound familiar?

All of these consequences of rule by a “strongman” are every day more evident in the United States under the presidency of Barack Obama.

Although not mentioning Democratic presidential nominee Hillary Clinton by name, Obama described recent events in Ukraine that sound suspiciously like the government of the United States while Hillary Clinton was serving as secretary of state, selling access to the highest offices of American political power for “donations” to the Clinton Foundation.

“After all, the people of Ukraine did not take to the streets because of some plot imposed from abroad. They took to the streets because their leadership was for sale and they had no recourse,” Obama explained.



Written by [Joe Wolverton, II, J.D.](#) on October 10, 2016

Published in the October 10, 2016 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 32, No. 19

Next, taking shots at American society and belief in individual liberty, Obama said that he understands that “a traditional society may value unity and cohesion more than a diverse country like my own.”

In fact, President Obama is so devoted to progress and globalism that he suggested that the United States must abandon, among other things, “any forms of fundamentalism” that “makes [sic] our traditional identities irreconcilable with modernity.”

Obama then turned to one of his favorite pastimes: defending Islam: “It’s a truism that global integration has led to a collision of cultures; trade, migration, the Internet, all these things can challenge and unsettle our most cherished identities. We see liberal societies express opposition when women choose to cover themselves. We see protests responding to Western newspaper cartoons that caricature the Prophet Muhammad.”

Remarkably, President Obama admits, perhaps unwittingly, that his program of forced acceptance of relocated “refugees” in the United States will only lead to strife. “And if we are honest, we understand that no external power is going to be able to force different religious communities or ethnic communities to co-exist for long,” he said. Why, then, is he so doggedly pursuing the policy of settling thousands of Syrian refugees in American communities fearful of the effect such a forced integration will have on their neighborhoods?

At the end of his extended criticism of his own country, Obama returned to his opening thesis: America must relinquish some of its liberty in order to achieve global integration. “And we can only realize the promise of this institution’s [the United Nations’] founding ... if powerful nations like my own accept constraints.” American liberty, says the American president, must be sacrificed on the altar of accomplishing the UN’s goal of one-world government.



Written by [Joe Wolverton, II, J.D.](#) on October 10, 2016

Published in the October 10, 2016 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 32, No. 19

Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.