New American

Written by <u>Staff</u> on November 19, 2021 Published in the December 13, 2021 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 37, No. 23



Letters to the Editor

A Bit of Satire

Having read the latest cases involving racial balance in admissions to Harvard College and Fairfax County's Thomas Jefferson High School, we, in our influential journal, have realized that what the United States needs is more power for progressives to change American society from a meritocracy, with its clear and obvious biases due to gross systemic racism, to a truly equitable society, where an outcome is successful only if it represents pre-determined thresholds of inclusiveness and diversity. I agree with the powers that be, who are trying to increase non-Asian entry into these institutions, that having a generation of science, engineering, and math experts who represent the exact proportion of racial and gender and sexual orientation differences in U.S. society trumps any goal to become the best country in the world for those fields of study, despite other countries surging ahead of America in objective markers of achievement.

Major league and college-level sports are the most egregious examples of the (so-called) best athletes succeeding while other athletes of more diverse backgrounds are not given the same outcomes. Why is it that players of Pacific Islander and African-American ancestry are over-represented in the NFL and the major college conferences? Why is it that players of African-American ancestry are over-represented in the NBA and college tournaments? Why is it that players of French-Canadian ancestry are over-represented in the NHL? Why is it that players with Hispanic heritage are over-represented in high-level baseball? Specifically, it is common knowledge that Samoans have a 56-times higher chance of playing in the NFL than non-Samoans. Yet, despite Asian-Americans representing at least 5.9 percent of the U.S. population, we do not see anywhere near 5.9 percent Asian representation in the NFL, MLB, NBA, or NHL.

I have heard the same tired, irrelevant, racist, and misogynistic arguments that baseball is followed religiously in many Latin countries, that children born to French-Canadians are usually taught to skate and shoot pucks from infancy, that Polynesians have the most strength and power to succeed in the explosive sport that is American football, and that basketball is the most popular sport in many urban centers. I have heard it said that Asian kids are good at math and science because their parents value education and encourage extracurricular tuition in academic areas, while non-Asian kids are "just being kids."

I submit a modest proposal here to gain outstanding equity of outcome in the most glaring example of meritocracy (which I, and many of my progressive Asian colleagues, believe to be a code-word for entrenched, systemic bias) in society. So, my proposal entails this simple plan: The federal government should set up a Department of Uniformity in Major Sports (DUMS) with set quotas on representation within teams. From my perspective as an advocate for my group, the Asian Journal of Kind Equity (AJoKE), this would ensure that every NFL offensive line has 5.9 percent Asians, that one in 17 NBA starters is Asian, that every 17th NHL goal tender is Asian, and that 5.9 percent of MLB first basepersons are Asian. (I chose to switch the gendered "baseman" to "baseperson," as, clearly, there would have to be gender parity in every single sports team, too.) The DUMS would naturally be funded by the most progressive of taxes — a wealth tax. Sports fans, like companies that hire science/math/engineering graduates, would be so grateful for being shown their inherent prejudices and having a benevolent, federal government agency to correct their mistaken thinking, that attendance



Written by <u>Staff</u> on November 19, 2021 Published in the December 13, 2021 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 37, No. 23



would be driven up at every game. The DUMS could even link in with the IRS to collect excise or duties on attendees that earn over a certain income level. My modest plan would not only be self-funding, but probably generate profit for the federal government to spend as it always does — efficiently and equitably.

Dr. Rabin Bhandari Adelaide, Australia



Written by <u>Staff</u> on November 19, 2021 Published in the December 13, 2021 issue of <u>the New American</u> magazine. Vol. 37, No. 23



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year Optional Print Edition Digital Edition Access Exclusive Subscriber Content Audio provided for all articles Unlimited access to past issues Coming Soon! Ad FREE 60-Day money back guarantee! Cancel anytime.