





Inside Track

\$400B Stolen in COVID Unemployment Fraud



NoDerog/iStock/GettyImages Plus

According to recent reports, as much as half of unemployment benefits paid out as part of government-issued COVID relief funds may have been stolen through fraud. And allegedly much of that money has left U.S. shores through the scheming of international criminals. In total, America may have been cheated out of more than \$400 billion due to fraudulent claims.

The reports — from fraud-detection services <u>ID.me</u> and LexisNexis Risk Solutions — are both shocking and damning. Blake Hall, CEO of <u>ID.me</u>, told Axios June 10 that America has lost more than \$400 billion to fraudulent claims. As much as 50 percent of all unemployment monies might have been stolen, said Hall. And Haywood Talcove — CEO of LexisNexis Risk Solutions — estimates that at least 70 percent of the money was hijacked by impostors who ultimately left the country, much of the wealth ending up in the hands of criminal syndicates in China, Nigeria, Russia, and elsewhere. "These groups are definitely backed by the state," he said.

With long-term unemployment payments now being issued on a massive scale as a result of the government's COVID response, criminals are seeing an opportunity to cash in. Add to that the simple fact that — like nearly everything else related to government handling of COVID over the past year — states were unprepared for unemployment claims on a gigantic scale never before seen.

The sheer numbers of unemployment claims overwhelmed the system, and the typical checks and balances put in place to weed out fraud fell apart. The result was state-sponsored criminal organizations around the world cashing in on a financial stimulus plan Democrats told America we needed because of a financial crisis they created.

Government created a crisis then produced money out of thin air to "solve" the crisis. Par for the course, government could not be bothered to keep up with that newly created money.

Perhaps the real crime is not that \$400 billion in unemployment was stolen, but that it was created in the first place.

By C. Mitchell Shaw







Medical Journal: "Whiteness" Is a "Parasitic Pathology"



Liderina/iStock/GettyImagesPlus

The *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* has officially declared "whiteness" to be a parasitic pathology that has no known cure.

The May 27 article, titled "On Having Whiteness," was written by Dr. Donald Moss, a white man who is a faculty member of both the New York Psychoanalytic Institute and the San Francisco Center for Psychoanalysis.

Moss defines whiteness as a "condition one first acquires and then one has — a malignant, parasitic-like condition to which 'white' people have a particular susceptibility. The condition is foundational, generating characteristic ways of being in one's body, in one's mind, and in one's world."

"Parasitic Whiteness" writes Moss, "renders its hosts' appetites voracious, insatiable, and perverse. These deformed appetites particularly target nonwhite peoples. Once established, these appetites are nearly impossible to eliminate."

Moss offers some treatments for the condition, the most effective of which includes "a combination of psychic and social-historical interventions." Such interventions "reasonably aim only to reshape Whiteness's infiltrated appetites — to reduce their intensity, redistribute their aims, and occasionally turn those aims toward the work of reparation." Even then, Moss laments, there "is no guarantee against regression" and "there is not yet a permanent cure."

Moss's claims were so astounding that some observers speculated that the journal article was fictional and perhaps satirical of anti-white wokeness. But the peer-reviewed study is real, and the former New York University educator appears to have plenty of like-minded "experts" in mental-health circles.

By Veronika Kyrylenko

Dutch Politician Warns of Tyrannical, COVID-19-enabled Globalism









AP Images

Thanks to the reaction to COVID-19, the machinery for tyranny is more firmly in place. In fact, the so-called disease-mitigation efforts have "been a practice to train obedience," and now the "globalist plans can be carried out — and the next step towards mass surveillance and total control can be taken."

So said Dutch politician Thierry Baudet, founder and leader of the Netherlands' Forum for Democracy (FVD) party, in a viral video of a recent speech he gave before his nation's parliament. In his five-minute talk, he even cited "a 2010 Rockefeller Foundation Report that laid out the pandemic and its aftermath, that is eerily like what the world has just experienced," as the Gateway Pundit put it in a June 6 article.

Baudet certainly wasn't politically correct in his speech. As he stated at its conclusion: "I hope there will be a moment that we wake up. That we will realize this is a collective psychosis. That the locking down of the entire country, half the world for 1.5 years because of a flu variety is insane. That walking around with those silly useless masks is insanity.... This COVID phase has been a practice to train obedience.... The globalist plans can be carried out — and the next step towards mass surveillance and total control can be taken."

Earlier in his speech, Baudet had cited the aforementioned 2010 Rockefeller Foundation report. Relating this, Baudet said that national "leaders all over the world would strengthen their power with laws, rules, and restrictions, from the requirement to wear masks to body temperature checks on entering train stations, planes, buildings."

What's for certain is that the China virus situation is being used to advance political agendas. This claim is often called a "right-wing conspiracy theory," but note here that leftist Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau admitted this himself last September while speaking to the United Nations. "This pandemic has provided an opportunity for a reset," he unabashedly stated. "This is our chance to accelerate our pre-pandemic efforts to re-imagine economic systems."

By_Selwyn Duke

Missouri Nullifies Federal Gun Control With New Law









Bytmonas/iStock/GettyImagesPlus

On June 12, Missouri Governor Mike Parson signed into law H.B. 85, titled the Second Amendment Preservation Act, or SAPA. With the bill's enactment, Missouri joins the growing number of states and localities with "Second Amendment Sanctuary" laws nullifying gun-control laws that violate the Constitution.

Constitutional activists had been trying to pass SAPA since 2013, when the legislature passed the bill only to see it vetoed by then-Governor Jay Nixon, a Democrat. SAPA's enaction marks the culmination of these efforts. Indeed, Missouri's SAPA is one of the strongest and broadest "Second Amendment Sanctuary" laws in the country.

The law makes several declarations, including support for a limited federal government as stipulated in the 10th Amendment, the invalidity of federal powers not enumerated in the Constitution, and an elaboration of what policies the federal government and the Missouri state government can and cannot constitutionally enforce.

Next, the law gives a list of policies, which might be contained in "federal acts, laws, executive orders, administrative orders, court orders, rules, and regulations," that violate the U.S. Constitution's Second Amendment and Article I, Section 23, of Missouri's constitution. These include any tax that might discourage firearm purchases or ownership; gun confiscation laws; laws that prohibit law-abiding individuals from owning, using, or transferring firearms; and laws mandating the tracking and registration of firearms, gun owners, gun accessories, or ammunition.

Most importantly, the law nullifies both past and future unconstitutional firearm restrictions. Additionally, while SAPA does not name any specific federal laws, the Tenth Amendment Center reported June 12 that the 1934 National Firearms Act and the 1968 Gun Control Act are included among the nullified laws.

The rest of the law primarily ensures that government officials at the state and local levels do not enforce the listed unconstitutional federal gun-control policies and provides citizens with a means of redress if their self-defense rights are violated.

By Peter Rykowski







Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.