



Written by [Staff](#) on October 1, 2021

Published in the October 18, 2021 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 37, No. 20

Inside Track

New Hampshire Lawmaker Wants State to Consider Secession



Feverpitched/iStock/Getty Images Plus

A New Hampshire legislator is attempting to get Granite State voters to consider declaring their independence from the United States. On September 13, State Representative Mike Sylvia (R-Belmont) introduced an amendment calling for a public referendum on the matter, which would be on the ballot for the 2022 general election.

Sylvia cited the Biden administration's draconian COVID-19 orders such as the CDC's eviction ban and Biden's vaccine mandates as main reasons to consider secession from the Union.

"There are hundreds of examples of the federal government overstepping its authority," Sylvia said. "Enough is enough. We should put this before the voters to decide."

Should 60 percent of both the state House and Senate approve the measure, it would be placed on the ballot for the statewide election in November of 2022. The GOP controls both houses of the legislature in New Hampshire, but its margin in each chamber falls short of 60 percent. Governor Chris Sununu, a Republican, would not have to approve the referendum to get it on the ballot.

If the long-shot measure were to clear those hurdles, the referendum would read: "New Hampshire peaceably declares independence from the United States and immediately proceeds as a sovereign nation. All other references to the United States in this constitution, state statutes, and regulations are nullified." In order to change New Hampshire's constitution, 66 percent of voters would have to vote for the measure.

The referendum is strongly backed by an organization called Liberty Block. Liberty Block believes it's past time for New Hampshire residents to reconsider their initial allegiance to the United States, especially considering what it refers to as the "corona fascism" of the past two years. Liberty Block feels so strongly about the issue that it published a list of 77 specific reasons that New Hampshire citizens should seriously consider voting to secede.

by James Murphy



Written by [Staff](#) on October 1, 2021

Published in the October 18, 2021 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 37, No. 20

Virginia Tech Instructor Apologizes for Her Whiteness



Crystal Duncan Lane

teaching.vt.edu

Crystal Duncan Lane, Ph.D., a professor who teaches in Virginia Tech University's Department of Human Development and Family Science, has been pushing students to tackle "racism" and "inherent bias." She also issued an über-woke *mea culpa* in her Human Development 1134 syllabus in which she apologized to "students of color" for her whiteness and sex normalcy and stated that her privileged life is an "injustice" she didn't ask for.

Lane's apology appeared in a "Who I Am" section in her syllabus. It reads in full: "I am a Caucasian cisgender female and first-generation college student from Appalachia who is of Scottish, British, and Norwegian heritage. I am married to a cisgender male, and we are middle class. While I did not 'ask' for the many privileges in my life: I have benefitted from them and will continue to benefit from them whether I like it or not. This is injustice. I am and will continue to work on a daily basis to be antiracist and confront the innate racism within myself that is the reality and history of white people. I want to be better: Every day. I will transform: Every day. This work terrifies me: Every day. I invite my white students to join me on this journey. And to my students of color: I apologize for the inexcusable horrors within our shared history."

One could note that if there can be collective guilt, there can also be collective credit. As to this, "inexcusable horrors" aren't unique in man's history; they're the norm. Truly anomalous are the luxuries, comforts, safety, rights, and privileges Western Civilization offers all its citizens (and even non-citizens).

Campus Reform had linked to the professor's apology at the Virginia Tech website, but that page has now gone "poof!" It currently reads, "Oh no, you've found 404 Error page! Sorry, but the page you are looking for doesn't seem to exist."

Actually, the real error was what that page displayed before it was scrubbed (and the current error is preferable).

by Selwyn Duke



Written by [Staff](#) on October 1, 2021

Published in the October 18, 2021 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 37, No. 20

People Called Mentally Ill for Opposing COVID Policy



eclipse_images/iStock/Getty Images Plus

People are increasingly being labeled “mentally ill” and being compelled to see psychiatrists for opposing irrational COVID-19 mask mandates. In fact, one law student was reportedly likened to a mass shooter, threatened with permanent legal-profession exclusion, and told by a school dean, “We don’t know if you’re psychologically capable of following the rules.”

Fox News commentator Tucker Carlson reported September 21 on a student at a prestigious law school who dared question his institution’s irrational mask mandate, which didn’t apply to professors.

As Carlson related, “Administrators suspended this student from school immediately; then they threatened to call states’ bar associations to prevent him from becoming a lawyer. And then the school accused him of being mentally ill. As one dean put it, ‘We don’t know if you’re psychologically capable of following the rules.’ So as a condition of readmission, the school required the student to submit to a psychological assessment test and then meet with a psychiatrist for a counseling session to see if he was mentally ill. Before doing any of that, the school’s deans also required the student to waive his right to medical confidentiality so if the school chose, his results could be made public.”

“That’s shocking, but it’s happening in a lot of other places as well,” Carlson continued. “We’ve just spoken to a former member of the army who was referred to psychiatrists and booted out of the military because he refused to wear a mask.”

What all this serves to do is create a society run by compliant ideologues. Refusal to abide by SARS-CoV-2 regulations is already being used as a pretext to purge the military and medical profession of dissidents. Note here that those opposing the vaccines and least likely to get them are more conversant with the issues, more patriotic, more Republican, and more “conservative” than average. In other words, foisting vaccine mandates on government and other institutions ensures they’ll become even more left-wing.

by Selwyn Duke

FDA Purchased Aborted Baby Parts, Demanded “Fresh and



Written by [Staff](#) on October 1, 2021

Published in the October 18, 2021 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 37, No. 20

Never Frozen”



nopow/iStock/Getty Images Plus

Records reveal that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration was purchasing babies’ heads and other body parts for its “humanized mice” project.

The gory details are found in hundreds of pages of records acquired under a Freedom of Information Act case brought by watchdog group Judicial Watch. The government even demanded that the body parts be “fresh and never frozen.” What’s more, a court has found that the FDA’s actions very well might have violated federal law.

Judicial Watch announced September 14 “that it received 198 pages of records and communications from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) involving ‘humanized mice’ research with human fetal heads, organs and tissue, including communications and contracts with human fetal tissue provider Advanced Bioscience Resources (ABR).”

Judicial Watch informs that while the initial ABR contract was for \$12,000, the total estimated amount for the requisition was \$60,000.

“The court also found ‘there is reason to question’ whether the transactions violate federal law barring the sale of fetal organs,” Judicial Watch informs. “Documents previously uncovered in this lawsuit show that the federal government demanded the purchased fetal organs be ‘fresh and never frozen.’”

“Chopping up aborted human beings for their organs and tissue is a moral and legal outrage,” said Judicial Watch President Tom Fitton, stating the obvious. “This issue should be front and center in any debate about America’s barbaric abortion industry.”

Further illustrating the outrage is what was admitted by ABR itself during a 2016 U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee investigation. ABR said “that its procurement technicians work inside the abortion facilities, packaging and shipping the aborted baby body parts ‘on the day they are procured,’” reported LifeNews earlier this year. “This means that within a few hours, an unborn baby is aborted, handed over to ABR technicians for dissection and processing and then shipped to researchers, according to the investigation.”

“Fresh and never frozen,” remember?



Written by [Staff](#) on October 1, 2021

Published in the October 18, 2021 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 37, No. 20

by Selwyn Duke



Written by [Staff](#) on October 1, 2021

Published in the October 18, 2021 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 37, No. 20

Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.