



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on June 29, 2022

## When a Conservative Is Asked “What Is a Woman?”

A common way to put Made-up Sexual Status (MUSS, aka “transgender”) activists on the spot is to ask them “What is a woman?” They’ll hem and haw because their current emotion-based creed dictates that the only possible definition is “anyone who identifies as a woman.” Some sexual devolutionaries, however, may come back with what a YouTube commenter under a very clever, topic-related Babylon Bee video claimed. “Let’s be real, conservatives do not have a tenable definition of woman,” he [stated](#). “[C]onservatives don’t talk about their definition of woman — they know it’s not good enough.” Okay, then, challenge accepted. I’ll talk about it:



Selwyn Duke

A woman is an adult member of the species *homo sapiens*; this means in principle that she has an XX chromosome configuration and is, consequently, genotypically and phenotypically female.

Yes, that’s a mouthful, because it’s precise. But the sexual devolutionaries would no doubt interject here, saying, “No, no! Some ‘women’ are not genotypically XX or wholly phenotypically [appearance-wise] female.”

Yet they’d have overlooked two key words in my definition: “in principle.” One learns in good philosophy, rarely taught today, that there’s a difference between something being true *in principle* and it being true *in the particular*.

For example, an apple in principle is something that doesn’t contain a worm; this definition isn’t negated by the fact that the occasional apple has a worm because the worm *isn’t integral to the apple*. There obviously are deviations among women from the genotypic and phenotypic female norm; it’s also obvious that they have no bearing on what a woman is in principle.

Not understanding this (not that they’d want to), sexual devolutionaries will didactically “explain” how there are more than just the two “XX” (female) and “XY” (male) genotypes, with others supposedly being the “intersex” varieties XXX, X0, XXY and XYY. While these configurations’ existence, again, has no bearing on what the two sexes are in principle, here’s what the sexual devolutionaries don’t say:

These are all abnormalities that afflict one sex or the other. Here’s the *science*, courtesy of WebMD (emphasis added by me):

- “[Triple X syndrome](#) (also called trisomy X syndrome, XXX syndrome, or 47,XXX) is a rare genetic condition where *females* inherit an extra X chromosome.”
- “[Turner syndrome](#) [X0] is a rare genetic disorder that’s found *only in girls*.”
- “[Klinefelter syndrome](#) [XXY] is a genetic condition in which a *boy* is born with an extra X chromosome.”
- “Although genetics are hereditary, a phenomenon in genetic alterations occurs when *male* babies receive an extra Y chromosome in each of their cells, resulting in [an XYY combination](#).”



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on June 29, 2022

---

And that's it. By the way, you can search the WebMD pages I linked to, and you *won't find the term "intersex" anywhere on them*. "Intersex" is not a scientific designation, but a social one. It's not reality, but fantasy. There are two sexes and abnormalities afflicting them, nothing more. This is much as how someone suffering with hypertrichosis (excessive hair growth. Example: "[Jo-Jo the Dog-Faced Boy](#)") isn't "inter-species," but a fully human person with a disorder.

What we're actually seeing here with the "intersex" illusion is the now-common desire to define abnormalities as either "lifestyle choices" or "normal variation." But as G.K. Chesterton put it, "A fallacy doesn't cease to be a fallacy because it becomes a fashion."

People enduring these chromosomal abnormalities certainly have crosses to bear and, assuming they haven't joined the sexual devolutionary phalanx of social engineers, deserve compassion. What no one deserves, ever, is to have all of society's grasp of reality altered to facilitate the lie that his abnormality doesn't exist as such because he can't accept the truth. Warping a civilization's sense of reality is dangerous and shouldn't be tolerated for a moment.

Anyway, there's the traditionalist answer to "What is a woman?" Your move, sexual devolutionaries. But I think that's checkmate.

[Contact Selwyn Duke](#), follow him on [MeWe](#), [Gettr](#) or [Parler](#), or log on to [SelwynDuke.com](#).



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.