



Written by [John and Nisha Whitehead](#) on August 25, 2023

We're All Suspects in a DNA Lineup, Waiting to Be Matched With a Crime

*“Make no mistake about it...your DNA can be taken and entered into a national DNA database if you are ever arrested, rightly or wrongly, and for whatever reason... I doubt that the proud men who wrote the charter of our liberties would have been so eager to open their mouths for royal inspection.”—Justice Antonin Scalia dissenting in *Maryland v. King**



John Whitehead
John Whitehead

Be warned: the [DNA detectives are on the prowl](#).

Whatever skeletons may be lurking on your family tree or in your closet, whatever crimes you may have committed, whatever associations you may have with those on the government's most wanted lists: the police state is determined to ferret them out.

In an age of overcriminalization, round-the-clock surveillance, and a police state eager to flex its muscles in a show of power, we are all guilty of some transgression or other.

No longer can we consider ourselves innocent until proven guilty.

Now we are all suspects in a DNA lineup, waiting to be matched up with a crime.

Suspect State, meet the [Genetic Panopticon](#).

[DNA technology in the hands of government officials will complete our transition to a Surveillance State](#) in which prison walls are disguised within the seemingly benevolent trappings of technological and scientific progress, national security and the need to guard against terrorists, pandemics, civil unrest, etc.

By accessing your DNA, the [government will soon know everything else about you that they don't already know](#): your family chart, your ancestry, what you look like, your health history, your inclination to follow orders or chart your own course, etc.

It's getting harder to hide, even if you think you've got nothing to hide.

Armed with unprecedented access to DNA databases amassed by the FBI and Ancestry website, as well as hospital [newborn screening programs](#), police are using [forensic genealogy](#), which allows police to match up an unknown suspect's crime scene DNA with that of any family members in a genealogy database, [to solve cold cases](#) that have remained unsolved for decades.

As reported by *The Intercept*, forensic genetic genealogists are "[combing through the genetic](#)



Written by [John and Nisha Whitehead](#) on August 25, 2023

[information of hundreds of thousands of innocent people](#) in search of a perpetrator.”

By submitting your DNA to a genealogical database such as Ancestry and 23andMe, you’re giving the police access to the [genetic makeup, relationships and health profiles of every relative](#)—past, present and future—in your family, whether or not *you* or *they* ever agreed to be part of such a database.

Indeed, relying on a loophole in a commercial database called GEDmatch, genetic genealogists are able to [sidestep privacy rules](#) that allow people to opt out of sharing their genetic information with police. The end result? Police are now able to identify and target those very individuals who explicitly asked to keep their DNA results private.

In this way, merely choosing to exercise your right to privacy makes you a suspect and puts you in the police state’s crosshairs.

It no longer even matters if you’re among the tens of [millions of people who have added their DNA to ancestry databases](#). As Brian Resnick [reports](#), public DNA databases have grown so massive that they can be used to find you even if you’ve never shared your own DNA.

That simple transaction—a spit sample or a cheek swab in exchange for getting to learn everything about one’s ancestral makeup, where one came from, and who is part of one’s extended family—is [the price of entry into the Suspect State](#) for all of us.

After all, a DNA print reveals everything about “who we are, where we come from, and who we will be.” It can also be used to [predict the physical appearance](#) of potential suspects.

It’s what police like to refer to as a “[modern fingerprint](#).”

Whereas fingerprint technology created a watershed moment for police in their ability to “crack” a case, DNA technology is now being hailed by law enforcement agencies as the [magic bullet in crime solving](#), especially when it helps them crack cold cases of serial murderers and rapists.

After all, who wouldn’t want to get psychopaths and serial rapists off the streets and safely behind bars, right?

At least, that’s the [argument being used by law enforcement](#) to support their unrestricted access to these genealogy databases, and they’ve got the [success stories](#) to prove it.

For instance, a 68-year-old Pennsylvania man was arrested and charged with the brutal rape and murder of a young woman almost 50 years earlier. Relying on genealogical research suggesting that the killer had ancestors who hailed from a small town in Italy, [investigators narrowed their findings down to one man](#) whose DNA, obtained from a discarded coffee cup, matched the killer’s.

In another cold case investigation, a 76-year-old man was arrested for two decades-old murders after his [DNA was collected from a breathalyzer during an unrelated traffic stop](#).

Yet it’s not just psychopaths and serial rapists who are getting [caught up in the investigative dragnet](#). In the police state’s pursuit of criminals, anyone who comes up as a possible DNA match—including distant family members—suddenly becomes part of [a circle of suspects that must be tracked, investigated and ruled out](#).

In this way, “guilt by association” has taken on new connotations in a technological age in which one is [just a DNA sample away](#) from being considered a person of interest in a police investigation. As Jessica Cussins warns in *Psychology Today*, “The fundamental fight—that data from potentially innocent people should not be used to connect them to unrelated crimes—[has been lost](#).”



Written by [John and Nisha Whitehead](#) on August 25, 2023

Until recently, the government was required to at least observe some basic restrictions on when, where and how it could access someone's DNA. That was turned on its head by various U.S. Supreme Court rulings that heralded the loss of privacy on a cellular level.

For instance, the [U.S. Supreme Court ruled in *Maryland v. King*](#) that taking DNA samples from a suspect doesn't violate the Fourth Amendment. The Court's subsequent decision to let stand the [Maryland Court of Appeals' ruling in *Raynor v. Maryland*](#), which essentially determined that individuals do not have a right to privacy when it comes to their DNA, made Americans even more vulnerable to the government accessing, analyzing and storing their DNA without their knowledge or permission.

It's all been downhill since then.

Indeed, the government has been relentless in its efforts to get hold of our DNA, either through mandatory programs carried out in connection with law enforcement and corporate America, by warrantlessly accessing our familial [DNA shared with genealogical services](#) such as [Ancestry](#) and [23andMe](#), or through the collection of our "shed" or "touch" DNA.

Get ready, folks, because the government has [embarked on a diabolical campaign to create a nation of suspects predicated on a massive national DNA database](#).

This has been helped along by Congress (which adopted legislation allowing police to collect and test DNA immediately following arrests), President Trump (who signed [the Rapid DNA Act](#) into law), the courts (which have [ruled](#) that police can routinely take DNA samples from people who are arrested but not yet convicted of a crime), and local police agencies (which are chomping at the bit to acquire this new crime-fighting gadget).

For example, Rapid DNA machines—portable, about the size of a desktop printer, highly unregulated, [far from fool-proof](#), and so fast that they can produce DNA profiles in less than two hours—allow police to go on fishing expeditions for any hint of *possible* misconduct using DNA samples.

Journalist Heather Murphy explains: "As police agencies build out their local DNA databases, they are collecting DNA not only from people who have been charged with major crimes but also, increasingly, [from people who are merely deemed suspicious, permanently linking their genetic identities to criminal databases](#)."

All 50 states now maintain their own DNA government databases, although the protocols for collection differ from state to state. Increasingly, many of the data from local databanks are being uploaded to CODIS, the FBI's massive DNA database, which has become a de facto way to identify and track the American people from birth to death.

Even hospitals have gotten in on the game by taking and storing newborn babies' DNA, often without their parents' knowledge or consent. It's part of the [government's mandatory genetic screening of newborns](#). In many states, the DNA is stored indefinitely. There's already a move underway to carry out [whole genome sequencing on newborns](#), ostensibly to help diagnose rare diseases earlier and improve health later in life, which constitutes an ethical minefield all by itself.

What this means for those being born today is inclusion in a government database that contains intimate information about who they are, their ancestry, and what awaits them in the future, [including their inclinations to be followers, leaders or troublemakers](#).

For example, police in New Jersey [accessed the DNA from a nine-year-old blood sample of a newborn baby](#) in order to identify the child's father as a suspect in a decades-old sexual assault.



Written by [John and Nisha Whitehead](#) on August 25, 2023

The ramifications of this kind of DNA profiling are [far-reaching](#).

At a minimum, these DNA databases do away with any semblance of [privacy](#) or [anonymity](#).

These genetic databases and genomic technology also make us that much more vulnerable to creeps and [cyberstalkers](#), [genetic profiling](#), and those who would [weaponize](#) the technology against us.

Unfortunately, the debate over genetic privacy—and when one’s DNA becomes a [public commodity](#) outside the protection of the Fourth Amendment’s prohibition on warrantless searches and seizures—continues to lag far behind the government and Corporate America’s encroachments on our rights.

Moreover, while much of the public debate, legislative efforts and legal challenges in recent years have focused on the protocols surrounding when police can legally collect a suspect’s DNA (with or without a search warrant and whether upon arrest or conviction), the question of how to handle “shed” or “touch” DNA has largely slipped through without much debate or opposition.

As scientist Leslie A. Pray [notes](#):

We all shed DNA, leaving traces of our identity practically everywhere we go... In fact, the garbage you leave for curbside pickup is a potential gold mine of this sort of material. All of this shed or so-called abandoned DNA is free for the taking by local police investigators hoping to crack unsolvable cases... shed DNA is also free for inclusion in a secret universal DNA databank.

What this means is that if you have the misfortune to leave your DNA traces anywhere a crime has been committed, you’ve already got a file somewhere in some state or federal database—albeit it may be a file without a name.

As the dissenting opinion to the Maryland Court of Appeals’ shed DNA ruling in *Raynor* rightly warned, [“A person can no longer vote, participate in a jury, or obtain a driver’s license, without opening up his genetic material for state collection](#) and codification.”

It’s just a matter of time before government agents will know everywhere we’ve been and how long we were at each place by following our shed DNA. After all, scientists can already [track salmon across hundreds of square miles of streams and rivers](#) using DNA.

Today, helped along by robotics and automation, DNA processing, analysis and reporting takes far less time and can bring forth all manner of information, right down to a person’s eye color and relatives. Incredibly, one company specializes in creating “mug shots” for police based on DNA samples from unknown “suspects” which are then compared to individuals with similar genetic profiles.

Of course, none of these technologies are [infallible](#).

DNA evidence can be wrong, either through human error, [tampering](#), or even outright [fabrication](#), and it happens [more often](#) than we are told.

What this amounts to is a scenario in which we have little to no defense against charges of wrongdoing, especially when “convicted” by technology, and even less protection against the government sweeping up our DNA in much the same way it sweeps up our phone calls, emails and text messages.

As I make clear in my book [Battlefield America: The War on the American People](#) and in its fictional counterpart [The Erik Blair Diaries](#), it’s only a matter of time before the police state’s pursuit of



Written by [John and Nisha Whitehead](#) on August 25, 2023

criminals from the past expands into [genetic profiling](#) and a [preemptive hunt for criminals of the future](#).

ABOUT JOHN & NISHA WHITEHEAD:

Constitutional attorney and author John W. Whitehead is founder and president of The Rutherford Institute. His book [Battlefield America: The War on the American People](#) is available at www.amazon.com. Whitehead can be contacted at johnw@rutherford.org. Nisha Whitehead is the Executive Director of The Rutherford Institute. Information about The Rutherford Institute is available at www.rutherford.org.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

[Subscribe](#)