



Trump's Abortion Stance Is Convenient, But That Does Not Mean He's Wrong

"On abortion," The New York Times claims, former President Donald Trump "chose politics over principles." In reality, Trump's recent clarification of his abortion position is one of those rare instances when political expedience coincides with constitutional principles.

In a Truth Social video posted on Monday, Trump said each state should be free to regulate abortion as its legislators and voters see fit. The result, he conceded, would be a wide range of policies, from liberal regimes that allow nearly all abortions to strict bans.



Jacob Sullum

Through his Supreme Court appointments, Trump bragged, "I was proudly the person responsible for the ending of" *Roe v. Wade*, which for half a century overrode state policy choices by ruling out most abortion restrictions. With that obstacle removed, he said, "the will of the people" should prevail in each state.

That view jibes with what the late Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia, a longtime *Roe* foe, imagined would happen after the decision was overturned. Scalia complained that *Roe* "destroyed the compromises of the past, rendered compromise impossible for the future, and required the entire issue to be resolved uniformly, at the national level."

Trump's statement nevertheless provoked criticism from anti-abortion activists who want to renationalize the abortion issue in the opposite direction. Marjorie Dannenfelser, president of Susan B. Anthony Pro-Life America, was "deeply disappointed" by Trump's position, complaining that it "cedes the national debate to the Democrats who are working relentlessly to enact legislation mandating abortion throughout all nine months of pregnancy."

If those Democrats are successful, Dannenfelser warned, "they will wipe out states' rights." But the same could be said of Republicans who disregard Scalia's objection to *Roe* by trying to "uniformly" resolve the issue "at the national level."

In 2022, for example, Sen. Lindsey Graham (R-S.C.), who joined Dannenfelser in criticizing Trump's comments, proposed a federal ban on abortion after 15 weeks of gestation. Although such a law would cover only a small percentage of abortions, the bill dismayed many of Graham's Republican colleagues in the Senate.

Senate Minority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-Ky.) said most of them "prefer this be handled at the state level." Sen. John Cornyn (R-Texas) likewise said "my preference would be for those decisions to be made on a state-by-state basis."

Sen. Shelley Moore Capito (R-W.Va.) concurred. "I don't think there's an appetite for a national



Written by [Jacob Sullum](#) on April 10, 2024

platform here,” she said. “I’m not sure what [Graham is] thinking here. But I don’t think there will be a rallying around that concept.”

There was not. Graham’s bill, which attracted just nine co-sponsors, died in committee.

What McConnell et al. described as a matter of “preference” and “appetite” is actually mandatory, because the Constitution does not give Congress the authority to regulate abortion. Graham implausibly invoked the 14th Amendment’s guarantees of due process and equal protection, which apply to “any person,” a category that he said includes fetuses.

Although some abortion opponents have long favored that interpretation, the Supreme Court explicitly rejected it in *Roe* and so far has declined to revisit the issue. More commonly, members of Congress, including Democrats seeking to protect abortion rights as well as

Republicans trying to limit them, have relied on an absurdly broad understanding of the power to regulate interstate commerce that obliterates the constitutional distinction between state and federal authority.

Trump’s embrace of abortion federalism makes political as well as constitutional sense. Republicans are dealing with the electoral fallout from *Roe*’s reversal, including shifts in public opinion, rejection of stricter abortion policies by voters in red and purple states, and the surprisingly strong performance of Democrats who oppose those policies.

Given Trump’s history of asserting extraconstitutional powers, his abortion stance probably is motivated by partisan concerns rather than federalist principle. But that does not mean he is wrong.

President Joe Biden, meanwhile, favors constitutionally unauthorized legislation that would re-establish a federal right to abortion. On this issue, Trump has improbably managed to claim the high ground.

Jacob Sullum is a senior editor at Reason magazine. Follow him on Twitter: @jacobsullum. To find out more about Jacob Sullum and read features by other Creators Syndicate writers and cartoonists, visit the Creators Syndicate webpage at www.creators.com.

COPYRIGHT 2024 CREATORS.COM



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

[Subscribe](#)