



Written by [Larry Elder](#) on March 7, 2024

## SCOTUS's Unanimous Decision and the Pandemic of Trump Derangement Syndrome

In December 2023, the Colorado Supreme Court, in a 4-3 decision based on the “insurrection” clause of the 14th Amendment, ruled former President Donald Trump ineligible to appear on Colorado’s 2024 presidential primary ballot. Never mind that Trump has never been indicted for, let alone convicted of insurrection.

Immediately after this jaw-dropping decision, I tweeted: “Even 3 out of 7 Democrat appointed Colorado Supreme Court justices said using the 14th Amendment’s ‘insurrection’ clause to keep Trump off the ballot is absurd. Imagine how many SCOTUS justices strike down the ridiculous Colorado decision. It could even be 9-0.”



Larry Elder

This is exactly what happened. On March 4, the U.S. Supreme Court overturned the Colorado court 9-0. Before the Supreme Court decision, about 30 states agreed with Colorado, making the same “Trump is an insurrectionist” argument. They, too, considered Trump ineligible to appear on the ballot in their states. This means more than half the country disagreed with all nine SCOTUS justices, including left-wing Justices Sonia Sotomayor, Elena Kagan and Ketanji Brown Jackson.

And there certainly is no love lost between Trump and Sotomayor. In her scathing dissent in the 5-4 decision upholding the legality of the Trump travel restrictions, often inaccurately referred to as a “Muslim ban,” Sotomayor flat out called Trump a bigot. She wrote: “Taking all the relevant evidence together, a reasonable observer would conclude that the Proclamation was driven primarily by anti-Muslim animus, rather than by the Government’s asserted national-security justifications. Even before being sworn into office, then-candidate Trump stated that ‘Islam hates us,’ warned that ‘[w]e’re having problems with the Muslims, and we’re having problems with Muslims coming into the country,’ promised to enact a ‘total and complete shut down of Muslims entering the United States,’ and instructed one of his advisers to find a ‘lega[l]’ way to enact a Muslim ban. The President continued to make similar statements well after his inauguration, as detailed above.”

Don’t expect Trump and Sotomayor to exchange Christmas cards anytime soon. Yet even Sotomayor said “no” to Colorado.

After the Colorado Supreme Court issued its decision, former federal judge J. Michael Luttig, generally described as “conservative,” applauded the ruling in an appearance on MSNBC. Luttig said, “Yesterday’s decision by the Colorado Supreme Court was masterful. It was brilliant, and it is an unassailable interpretation of the 14th Amendment.” He added: “This is the most pressing constitutional question of our time. And it will be a test of America’s commitment to its democracy, to



Written by [Larry Elder](#) on March 7, 2024

---

its Constitution and to the rule of law for all the reasons that are coming to the forefront this morning.” “Masterful”? “Brilliant”? “Unassailable”? Tell that to the nine justices. Consider the consequences had the Supreme Court ruled against Trump.

For starters, how many Republican state attorneys general believe President Joe Biden engaged in treason or insurrection by reversing the Trump border policies to allow nearly 8 million illegal aliens to enter the country in the last three years? How many Republican state attorneys general believe that Biden violated the Constitution by reintroducing another student loan debt forgiveness plan after the 6-3 Supreme Court case striking down the administration’s previous program?

How many Republican state attorneys general believe Biden has been compromised by the money Biden family members received from foreign actors? True, Biden has not been charged with bribery. But Trump, as mentioned, was not charged with insurrection. Yet 30 states consider him an insurrectionist.

What’s to stop Republican state attorneys general from refusing to allow Biden to appear on their state ballot based on their belief that Biden has been compromised? What’s to stop Republican state attorneys general from refusing to allow Biden on their ballot by invoking the presidential disability amendment to claim Biden unfit given his obvious mental decline and his refusal to take a cognitive test?

How many state attorneys general consider Biden in violation of the Constitution based on what they perceive as a two-tiered justice system? Biden, they could contend, illegally possessed documents in clear violation of the law. Yet Biden, unlike Trump, escaped criminal charges.

That things could get ugly and fast explains why it was imperative for the Supreme Court to rule as it did — and to do so unanimously.

*Larry Elder is a bestselling author and nationally syndicated radio talk-show host. To find out more about Larry Elder, or become an “Elderado,” visit [www.LarryElder.com](http://www.LarryElder.com). Follow Larry on Twitter @larryelder. To read features by other Creators Syndicate writers and cartoonists, visit the Creators Syndicate webpage at [www.creators.com](http://www.creators.com).*

COPYRIGHT 2024 LAURENCE A. ELDER

DISTRIBUTED BY CREATORS.COM



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

[Subscribe](#)