



Is Israel Racist? An Anti-Semite Asks & Is Answered (Part 1)

Prejudice — here used as the right to pre-judge — is a concept more fittingly attached to the Jewish ethno-state, not racism. The idea of rejecting some and welcoming others into the fold, as Israel most certainly does, is an extension of an individual's right as a sovereign, discerning human being.



Ilana Mercer

Some time ago, a gentleman who pens anti-Semitic tracts approached me for an interview. I agreed. Being a naïve methodological individualist, I never generalize about individuals. That my interlocutor writes crude anti-Semitic boilerplate did not mean I would not give him a chance to reveal himself as someone other than a crude anti-Semite.

After I had already answered his written questions in full, however, he bailed.

Here, then, is my reply to one of many loaded and leading questions I was asked and had answered in good faith.

A leading question is one that suggests an answer. Since I am Jewish, I was considered *a priori* guilty. Of what? Well, you know: “nudge nudge, wink wink, say no more,” as goes the [Monty Python skit](#).

In his case, the fact that I married gentiles twice was not enough to clear me from charges of “Jewish supremacy.” I was pelted with uncouth, inappropriate, bias-confirming questions such as, “Do you think that marrying a non-Jew was a mistake and you should only marry another Jew?”

One of the less-flighty questions was, “Do you believe Israel is a racist state?”

At worst, racism is a worldview, a state of mind — often spoken or written, and entirely the prerogative of a free people, just so long as no corporeal aggression is committed.

Gleaned from the gentleman's own enthusiastically affirmative reply to his question — it was clear that he had failed to draw the distinctions made in the column, “[Was The Cop's Knee On George Floyd's Neck 'Racism'? No!](#)”

That distinction is, very plainly, that we have no way of divining what's in a person's mind — in this case Officer Chauvin's, as he pressed down on Floyd's neck, for eight minutes and 46 seconds. All we know is that it was wrong, and that there are laws that address unprovoked or excessive violence.

To extrapolate to Israel: To the extent Israeli soldiers or law enforcement act with depraved indifference or commit felony crimes against innocent Palestinian civilians — to that extent they should



Written by [Ilana Mercer](#) on January 12, 2023

be punished and, I venture, are punished.

When all is said and done, however, there is no credible way of inferring racism from Israeli acts of depraved indifference, or from Chauvin's.

Nor should we, given that "racism" is mostly a mindset.

Thought crimes are nobody's business in free societies. In free societies, the role of law enforcement agencies is to police corporeal crime — the kind that injures property and person. Thought crimes, when voiced, hurt *only* feelings.

Anyone who partakes in weaponizing the nebulous racism construct is a progressive — and certainly a bad person, in my book.

As noted above, prejudice — here used as the right to pre-judge — is a concept more fittingly attached to the Jewish ethno-state, not racism. The idea of rejecting some and welcoming others into the fold, as Israel most certainly does, is an extension of an individual's right as a sovereign, discerning human being.

So long as no real violence and aggression are involved, the right to *pre-judge* and, consequently, to associate or dissociate in accordance with one's prejudgments — this is the prerogative of a free person, and, by extension, of a free group of people, living in voluntary association.

Is freedom of association racist? Is exclusion racist? Only if you are of the progressive Left. Both vicious and violent, the progressive Left believes that one is compelled by egalitarian, humanistic *dogma* to accept everyone into your midst on pain of punishment.

The freedom to exclude is not racist. Rather, it is the inherent right of free individuals, living [severally](#) or collectively.

The freedom to exclude is a libertarian tenet of liberty. This, *not racism*, is the reason many libertarian-minded conservatives were with Senator Barry Goldwater (R-Ariz.) in opposing the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as it dictated with whom people may associate or dissociate from — whom they employ, serve, sell to, bake for, or rent to.

Free people associate and dissociate at will.

To wit, say a retail store selling Nazi insignia and memorabilia opens its doors in my neighborhood. I enter in search of the yellow Star of David Jews were forced to wear during the Third Reich. The proprietor, decked out in Nazi regalia, says, "I'm sorry, we don't serve Jews."

"Don't be like that," I reply. "Where else can I find a pair of clip-on, yellow, Star-of-David earrings?" (My ears aren't pierced.) The Nazi sympathizer is polite but persistent: "Ma'am, I mean no disrespect, but back in the Old Country, Jews murdered my great grandfather's cousin and used his blood in the leavening of the Passover matzah."

"Yeah," I reply. "I'm familiar with that anti-Semitic blood libel. I assure you my own mother's matzo balls were free of the blood of brats, gentile or Jewish. No matter. I can see where you're coming from. Your loss. Good luck."

There! Did my courtesy to the man's oddball "sensitivity" hurt me? No, it didn't. It enhanced his freedom and hurt me not at all. (There's Etsy.com for those earrings.)

It is with the same courtesy and good cheer that I had agreed to reply to my interviewer's loaded and



Written by [Ilana Mercer](#) on January 12, 2023

leading questions. Alas...



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.