

## Homosexual Lobby: Let the Christians Eat Cake



Sure, this would be getting the government on a technicality, but doesn't it use technicalities against us



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on September 6, 2013

all the time? For instance, many observers have alluded to the equality jackboots' double standard by asking what would be done if a Muslim caterer refused to serve pork. An even better example, however, would be a homosexual-owned bakery that refused to bake a cake bearing the Leviticus line, "If a man lies with a man as one lies with a woman, both of them have done what is detestable," for a traditional-virtues group. But liberals get around this — not that they care about enforcing laws consistently, anyway — by saying that pork lovers and traditionalists aren't "protected classes."

Of course, it follows then that these groups — along with some others — are unprotected classes. My, how do "protected" and "unprotected" classes look through your America glasses, comrade? There should be no such classifications in our nation.

But their existence brings us to an inescapable conclusion: Government has no intention of eliminating discrimination.

It would rather *control* discrimination.

Why? Because discrimination — people naturally making decisions regarding whom they'll associate with — has always been one of the ways to apply social pressure and control the culture. For example, if businesses won't hire cross-dressers and landlords won't rent to them, it helps discourage cross-dressing. And while seeking to prevent all discrimination would already alter the culture greatly, statist social engineers can effect the kind of focused and rapid social change they want only via the use of targeted discrimination. In other words, if you want to destroy traditionalism as quickly as possible, it's not enough to stop traditionalists from applying their social pressure via their discrimination; you also need to ensure they will be discriminated against. Ergo, protected and unprotected groups.

Yet the precedent for this tyranny was set long ago — when we accepted the trampling of freedom of association in the name of preventing racial and sex discrimination.

This is where even most conservatives will protest and say, "Wait a second! We can't allow people to discriminate based on race or ethnicity." Really? We do all the time.

That is to say, you're allowed to include in or exclude from your home whomever you please, based on race, sex, whether the person's a smoker, or just because you don't like his face. And you'd no doubt bristle at the idea of robbing people of this right in equality's name. Okay, but why then should a person lose his freedom of association simply because he decides to erect a few more tables and sell food or buy a larger oven and sell baked goods? It's still his private property.

Paid for with his own money.

Created by the sweat of his own brow.

And it's still tyranny when government dictates with whom you must associate.

Getting back to my club tactic, I don't claim it's a panacea. It's possible there's some legal technicality that would make it untenable, and if there isn't, you can bet the statists would institute one eventually. The ends justify the means to leftists, and their emotion-derived agenda is their god.

But even if the club idea wouldn't work, there are other ways to deal with activists wielding the government hammer. Were I a baker forced to make a cake for a lesbian couple, for instance, the trauma of such coercion just might induce a confused state in which I'd get the ratios of the batter ingredients all wrong. The activists would then learn that they can look for trouble and complain to the equality jackboots — but they cannot have their cake and eat it, too.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,  
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



**Subscribe**

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.