



Written by [Gary Benoit](#) on March 4, 2009

The Inflation Tax

If the American taxpayers knew how much the federal government was actually costing them, there is no doubt that it would be much smaller than it is today. But the true burden of the federal government on the taxpayer is obscured in many ways.

For example, the federal government does not send American workers a bill for the income taxes they are expected to pay. Instead, those taxes are automatically withdrawn from workers' paychecks by employers who double as tax collectors for the federal government. With workers never actually seeing the money withheld for the federal government, it is easy for them to lose sight of the fact that this money is part of what they earned. Just imagine the reality check to taxpayers if they actually received this money in their paychecks and then were billed by Washington for it!



The collection of FICA and Medicare taxes is much more obscure. These taxes are also withheld by employers for the federal government, but employers must match the FICA and Medicare taxes their employees pay. Consequently, the amounts shown on worker paycheck stubs for these taxes represent only half of what workers are effectively paying. After all, when an employer hires a worker, the cost of hiring that worker includes not only the worker's salary and benefits but also the employer's share of the FICA and Medicare taxes.

{modulepos inner_text_ad}

The federal excise tax on gasoline is undoubtedly a tax most consumers do not think about when they put gasoline into their cars. Even more obscure to consumers are the various taxes manufacturers must pay and build into the prices of their products, from widgets to cars.

But even if taxpayers were to receive an annual itemized statement documenting every penny they paid in taxes over the course of the year, it would still fall short of what the federal government is actually costing them. The reason: taxation is only one means by which the federal government collects money from the American people to pay for its programs; the other means is inflation.



Because the federal government does not collect enough tax revenue to pay for its programs, it must borrow money to make up for the shortfall. When the Federal Reserve expands the money supply (inflation) in order to finance this debt with newly created dollars, the result is the devaluation of already existing dollars and higher prices for goods and services.

But those higher prices for goods and services are also a tax of sorts — albeit an extremely hidden tax. If, for example, the money supply were inflated 10 percent in order to pay for federal programs that were not funded through direct taxation, then the federal government would have effectively imposed a 10-percent tax on every American consumer. But how many American consumers would connect the resulting higher prices at grocery stores with government and Fed actions?

In fact, the federal government, with its ability to create any amount of money it wants out of thin air through the Fed, could dispense with taxes altogether and pay for the entire cost of the federal government through inflation alone. This very point was made many years ago by Beardsley Ruml, the chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, in an article entitled “Taxes for Revenue Are Obsolete” in the January 1946 issue of *American Affairs*. But Ruml, who came up with the withholding-tax scheme, did not say that taxes are obsolete. He wrote that a principal reason for continuing to levy taxes is “to attain more equality of wealth and of income than would result from economic forces alone.”

Of course, inflation also has its advantages from the point of view of big-spending politicians who’d like to make the cost of big government far more obscure than is possible through coercing employers to withhold taxes from workers’ paychecks, or through taxing the providers of goods and services who must then pass those costs along to consumers. And so, as the size and cost of government has grown, so too have the national debt and the creation of money out of thin air to finance that debt.

The Obama administration inherited a deficit of more than \$1 trillion, and that deficit will grow significantly larger because of the new federal programs President Obama is championing. A provision in the new \$787 billion American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (also known as the “stimulus” bill) makes very transparent the extent to which the federal government *is actually planning* for this eventuality. That provision increased the national debt ceiling by \$789 billion, from \$11.315 trillion to \$12.104 trillion.

The bottom line is that inflation will almost certainly be a more heavily emphasized tool for financing the federal government than in the past, despite President Obama’s recent claims that he will cut the deficits in half *in the future*. The way to end deficit spending — and the inflation tax — is to cut



Written by [Gary Benoit](#) on March 4, 2009

government spending. But that's not what President Obama or most congressmen have in mind.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.