



# Conservatives & Liberals Aligned With Greedy Developers & Multinationals Against the Homeless

The hotter the housing market, the higher the homelessness ~ilana

The egalitarians have appropriated the anti-zoning argument. They now malign single-family neighborhoods in favor of promoting density, which is — wouldn't you know it? — more expensive and thus more lucrative ~ilana

Trust the late, much-missed Anthony
Bourdain, the Kerouac of cooking, to blurt
out the truth when nobody else would as to
the root causes of homelessness. Other than
libeling the poor as mental drug addicts, the
rest — conservatives and liberals in cahoots
— call for denser development (as greedy
developers lick their chops), and certainly
none of those dreamy picket fences for the
poor.



Ilana Mercer

Following his Jack Kerouac wanderlust, Bourdain had <u>arrived in Seattle</u> to spotlight the manner in which high-tech was changing the city, draining it of its character and of the many quirky characters that made Seattle what it *was*.

"Microsoft, Google, Twitter, Expedia, and Amazon are the big dogs in town," <u>mused</u> Bourdain. "A flood of them — tech industry workers, mostly male, derisively referred to as tech boys or tech bros — is rapidly changing the DNA of the city, rewiring it to satisfy their own newly-empowered nerdly appetites."

That the "tech boys" "are so dull," as members of a Seattle band say — and sing — in no way assuages their heated effect on the housing market. A street artist called "John Criscitello … told Bourdain how the high-tech influx has driven up housing costs and forced artists [like himself] out of the neighborhood."

Yes, Big Tech is exacerbating homelessness in Seattle and the surrounds. While correlation is not causation,

The ongoing and never-ending, annual importation of a sizable <u>feudal elite</u> from China and India must be factored into the homelessness equation.

"Buoyed by the city's thriving technology industry, Seattle has consistently been the <u>hottest housing</u> <u>market in the nation.</u>"



## Written by **Ilana Mercer** on March 30, 2023



Commensurate with the explosion in the number of Seattle neighborhoods in which homes cost \$1 million has been an explosion in the region's homeless population.

"Households must earn about \$140,000 a year to afford mortgage payments — nearly double the city's typical income," but on par with the "average base pay" of a software engineer in the Seattle area.

Some consciousness of guilt was evinced by Microsoft. The company threw money at a problem to which it has greatly contributed. In 2014, Microsoft allocated \$500 million toward low-income housing, because, somehow, working-class families who should be inching into middle-class were sliding into poverty, unable to afford homes in Seattle and its surrounding counties.

"There are more families with children than chronically homeless people" in the homeless encampments, revealed the *Seattle Times*. "Fewer than 50 percent of people without homes are addicts." Underlying homelessness are factors such as "loss of a job," "eviction," "medical bills and foreclosure," the last of which "destroys credit ratings, making former homeowners no longer eligible for loans or, in many cases, rentals."

Among her homeless acquaintances, local writer Lola Peters counts "[a] seamstress, her mortgage broker husband and their two children." "Several couples going through the breakup of long-term relationships. A nurse. The middle-aged couple who owned their home and two nearby rental houses. A woman, with a 4-year-old child, who lost her job shortly after moving to Seattle. A floral delivery worker. A painter."

"They are not alcoholics or drug addicts," <u>protests</u> Peters, an activist. "They are people who suffered as a result of political and economic decisions they had no part in." Indeed, data cobbled together in Aaron Carr's essay, "<u>Everything you think you know about homelessness is wrong</u>," very clearly expose the mental illness and drug addiction casual factors as so much libel.

And it's not only Seattle and its suburbs that are filled with suffering, marginalized Americans.

Via <u>CBS News</u> comes the unwelcome news that, "Even with rising wages and falling mortgage rates, Americans can't afford a home in more than 70 percent of the country. Out of 473 U.S. counties analyzed in a report, 335 listed median home prices more than what average wage earners could afford, according to a report from ATTOM Data Solutions."

Driven by an unending influx of immigrants, <u>realtor.com certainly revels</u> in the tight housing market created by immigration central-planners:

Swaths of America have seen local housing fundamentally altered by an influx of new immigrant groups. There are now about 42 million immigrants from just about every country in the world living in the U.S., making up about 13 percent of the overall population, according to the National Conference of State Legislatures.

"Immigrants are a big driving force for housing markets across the nation," <u>says</u> Kusum Mundra, an economics professor at Rutgers University, Newark. "Most want the American dream, which is to own a home."

With The World clamoring for the American Dream, the average home in the United States will soon cost half a million dollars. "Rents are rising much faster than income, while the median home price in some 200 cities is \$1 million."



## Written by **Ilana Mercer** on March 30, 2023



"Homelessness — perhaps the most extreme form of poverty — is a symptom, surging in high-cost cities," <u>notes</u> *The Economist*. "The problem," moreover, "is most acute in America's most-thriving cities, the ones governed by unabashed liberals. In 2018, New York estimated its homeless population to be over 79,000, or 48 percent more than in 2010.... California now accounts for one in four homeless Americans."

"Unabashed liberal" outfits like *The Economist*, the Brookings Institution, and the *Seattle Times* blame inadequate supply for the housing crisis, ignoring the demand side of the supply-and-demand housing equation whereby "Big Tech is permitted to petition The State for permission to import The World at a price heavily subsidized by the disenfranchised American taxpayer. Through government immigration policies, a ceaseless demand for housing has been generated."

In particular does The Economist implicate "local control over zoning" for "poor housing supply."

Zoning regulations, historically — whereby municipal governments decide "what parts of the city will be industrial, residential or commercial" — are indeed linked to high-cost housing. However, city planners have been determining where people live, work, and do business for close on a century. Pure market forces and the price system have been absent in city planning for a very long time.

It is, therefore, more edifying to survey the special interests *currently* involved in the anti-zoning debate. Tellingly, these are the grubby interests of the *developers*, the realtors and the municipalities, hunkering after more property taxes. All are, inadvertently, protesting the idea of the "Single-family home that offers people a chance at traditional, white-picket fence homeownership."

Development fiends all hunger for the revenues that come from "dense housing," namely "any housing that's attached to another unit, often in taller buildings: apartments, condos, town homes, row houses."

Against this background, it seems clear that *the egalitarians* have appropriated the anti-zoning argument. They now malign single-family neighborhoods in favor of promoting density, which is — wouldn't you know it? — more expensive and thus more lucrative. Dense living also jibes with the progressive climate agenda to corral as many people possible into <u>rent-a-bed</u>, <u>pod-living arrangements</u>.

To the working poor, the new cellblock units that replace single-family homes are worse than useless, as they cost so much more than the (often conveniently condemned) homes torn down.

No wonder *The Economist* clucks in outrage against "local control over zoning." As ruthless and reckless as it is, local government is more likely than state or federal governments to be responsive to the petitions of single-family homeowners against building "dense housing," to accommodate Jeff Bezos' H-1B visa arrivals.

The World is the American multinational's labor market. The housing market in the U.S. reflects that reality. Unburdened by brains, pundits and politicos remain incurious as to why supply and demand in housing can never be brought into a semblance of a balance in such a perversely globalized labor market.

READ PART I: "Slandering The Homeless: Mass Immigration, Not Mental Disease & Addiction"





## **Subscribe to the New American**

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



## **Subscribe**

#### What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.