



Written by [Jack Kerwick, Ph.D.](#) on December 2, 2011

## An Honest Look at Ron Paul

In this “An Honest Look At...” series, I have sought to show that in spite of their protestations to the contrary, each of the GOP presidential candidates exhibits a penchant for the ideology of Big Government. Each is either ignorant of or indifferent to the secret of American liberty, a secret that lies within the fact that our national government is supposed to be a *federal* government, a government to which our Constitution assigns but a few specific “powers.”



As this final edition of the series establishes, it is in the person of Ron Paul alone that the Republican Party’s rhetoric of liberty becomes incarnate.

Let’s begin by examining Paul’s positions on domestic policy.

### Domestic Policy

**Abortion:** Rick Santorum is widely heralded among the Republican Party faithful as a strong “social conservative.” For example, Santorum, we constantly hear, is as “principled” and stalwart a defender of the unborn as anyone in contemporary politics. But Ron Paul has proven himself a tireless champion of the unborn, not just in political life but, more tellingly, as an *obstetrician*. Over the span of decades, Dr. Paul delivered over 4,000 babies. Not once did he so much as entertain the possibility of performing an abortion, and he regularly assisted women in pursuing life-affirming alternatives — like adoption — to the life-denying choice of abortion.

If elected President, Paul would seek to pass a Sanctity of Life Act that would identify conception as the beginning of human life. He would also “effectively” repeal *Roe v. Wade* and introduce legislation that would prevent “activist judges from interfering with state decisions on life by removing abortion from federal jurisdiction.” Being the Jeffersonian that he is, Paul agrees with our third President that it is at once “sinful and tyrannical” for anyone, whether individuals or governments, to coerce another to subsidize “the propagation of opinions which he disbelieves and abhors.” So, in order to prevent this “sinful and tyrannical” coercion, Paul has expressed his desire to labor inexhaustibly to end *all* taxpayer-funded abortion services.

Paul, it should be obvious, is as committed — and consistent — an exponent of both “the right to life” and “the right to liberty.” In both word and deed, Paul has shown that the two are inseparable.

**The Economy:** Of his Republican competitors, not one forecasted the economic crisis of 2008. Ron Paul did. Moreover, Paul predicted as early as 2001 that the housing market was headed for a crash, an event that would have dire ramifications for our entire economy. Unlike some of the other GOP presidential candidates, Paul staunchly opposed TARP and “the bailouts” of which it consisted. He recognized — and insisted — that the government’s *response* to the economic crisis was an instance of



Written by [Jack Kerwick, Ph.D.](#) on December 2, 2011

precisely the sort of intervention in our economy that *fueled* it to begin with. Correctly, Paul also predicted that such intervention, far from abetting this crisis, would actually *exacerbate* it.

To begin repairing our economy and restoring our lost liberties, Paul suggests some measures that, as President, he promises to appropriate.

First, he will veto *any* and *all* unbalanced budgets that Congress sends to him.

Second, he will steadfastly refuse any proposed increases in the debt ceiling.

Third, upon insisting upon a “full” audit of the Federal Reserve, President Paul would set his sights on *abolishing* it.

Fourth, Paul would establish “sound money” so that our government could never again dream of dramatically debasing the dollar by printing money out of thin air, so speak.

Fifth, Paul advocates the elimination of the income tax, the death tax, and capital gains taxes. With a President Paul in the White House, Americans would be able to actually keep their legally acquired property.

Sixth, Paul would affect a drastic reduction in gas prices by way of a number of measures. He would allow offshore drilling, eliminate the highway motor fuel tax, increase the mileage reimbursement rates, and supply tax credits to those utilizing and producing natural-gas vehicles.

Seventh, as *Investor's Business Daily* has acknowledged, Paul is the only candidate in the GOP (or, for that matter, the Democratic) field who has shown seriousness regarding spending cuts. He has released a plan that would cut spending by *one trillion dollars*, not in 10 or 12 or 15 years, but in *one year*.

*Second Amendment:* Congressman Paul is as faithful a friend to Americans’ right to bear arms as any that it has ever had. He has made legislative proposals to repeal both the Brady Bill and the ban on “assault weapons.” Furthermore, Paul has sponsored legislation that would withdraw America from the United Nations, an international body that has sought to impose “gun control” plans — like “the Small Arms Treaty” — around the world. Paul, it would appear, has this peculiar notion that it is unconscionable that American taxpayers should be forced to subsidize such efforts. Finally, Paul authored a bill that would permit airline pilots and other “specially trained law enforcement personnel” to carry firearms aboard commercial airlines.

## **Foreign Policy**

Ron Paul is opposed to *all* foreign aid. He discerns no small measure of injustice in an arrangement under which Americans are made to part with their legally acquired earnings in order to fund foreign governments.

As far as national defense is concerned, the conventional wisdom among establishment Republicans is that Ron Paul is something on the order of an appeaser. However, we needn’t look far to see through this piece of reasoning for the folly that it is.

First, of the eight GOP presidential candidates, Ron Paul is the only one that has actually served in the military. Secondly, he routinely receives more in the way of monetary contributions from active-duty military personnel than all of the other candidates — *and* their Commander-In-Chief — combined. Third, Ronald Reagan, upon whom Paul’s Republican detractors look as a great “conservative” idol of a sort, once remarked of him: “Ron Paul is one of the outstanding leaders fighting for a stronger national



Written by [Jack Kerwick, Ph.D.](#) on December 2, 2011

---

defense. As a former Air Force officer, he knows well the needs of our armed forces, and he always puts them first. We need to keep him fighting for our country.”

But there is much more to show that not only is Paul not the appeaser that his Republican foes make him out to be; he is actually *more* serious — much more serious — than are they about national defense.

National defense is the one, the singularly most important, of the federal government’s responsibilities. This Ron Paul believes firmly. Thus, he finds it inexcusable that Americans are being compelled to invest billions of dollars annually into protecting the borders of *other* countries while the borders of their *own* country remain porous. Correcting this injustice and devoting the resources of the federal government back to where it belongs — America’s borders — Ron Paul considers *the* greatest of our nation’s priorities.

Paul voted in favor of America’s deployment of military force in order to capture or kill Osama bin Laden, as well as other targeted terrorists. Yet he adamantly rejects as a colossal waste in treasure and blood an interminable “War on Terror” consisting of “the democratization” of the Islamic world and beyond. “Nation building” is at once unconstitutional and immoral, to say nothing of foolish.

As President, Ron Paul *would* indeed continue in pursuit of those who would do America harm. But to this end he would employ only those means that our Constitution accommodates. This, in turn, implies that the Patriot Act would be put swiftly out to pasture. It also implies that America’s days of waging *undeclared* wars would just as rapidly come to an end.

## Conclusion

*If* Republicans, Tea Partiers, and self-avowed conservatives are truly serious about wanting a presidential candidate who is thoroughly committed to restoring and preserving liberty, then Ron Paul is their candidate — their *only* candidate.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,  
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



**Subscribe**

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.