A publication of *The New American*

Inside this issue:

- Trump Seabed Mining EO Causes Globalist Meltdown
- Law of the Sea: UN Treaty Dangers Now Blatantly Obvious
- No, Treaties Are Not Supreme if They Violate the Constitution
- David Horowitz, RIP
- Vietnam and the Fall of Saigon: The Final Betrayal, 50 Years Later
- *Background to Betrayal*, Hilaire du Berrier's Magnum Opus on the Vietnam War
- The Vietnam War: Unraveling the Myths and Lies
- War Between India and Pakistan: Going Nuclear?
- JBS Unveils New CO₂ Documentary as S.D. Rejects Carbon Pipeline — AGAIN!
- BRICS Conference in Brazil Falls Apart
- Legislation to *Get US Out!* of the WTO Introduced
- Putin Rehabilitates Stalin, Renames Volgograd Airport for Communist Mass-murderer
- Former Representative Curt Weldon Rips Mask Off 9/11 Commission: Massive Fedgov Coverup, Conspiracy
- CFR Praises Pope Francis's Foreign Policy, Betrayal of Church in China
- Digital Control Grid: Is It Racing Forward Under Trump?

Trump Seabed Mining EO Causes Globalist Meltdown

On April 24, President Donald Trump signed an executive order that was titled <u>"UNLEASHING</u>"

Edited by William F. Jasper

AMERICA'S OFFSHORE CRITICAL MINERALS

AND RESOURCES" on the White House website. The executive order (EO) is a long-overdue emergency effort aimed at reversing a decades-long trend, under both Democratic and Republican administrations. It is a suicidal trend that has all but destroyed domestic American mining operations for rare earth elements and critical minerals, and that has made us dangerously dependent on our leading enemy, the communist regime in China, for these vitally needed materials. *The New American* has been warning of this treachery and exposing it for decades (see, for instance, <u>"Engineered Extinction"</u> and <u>"U.S. Hostage to China for Rare Earth Minerals"</u>).

So, naturally, Communist China could be expected to denounce this U.S. action. Which, of course, they promptly did. *The New York Times* reported on April 25 Beijing's response under the title, "China Says Trump's Order on Seabed Mining Violates International Law."

"China's foreign ministry said on Friday," reported the *Times*, "that an executive order President Trump signed a day earlier to <u>accelerate the permitting process for</u> <u>seabed mining in international waters</u> 'violates international law and harms the overall interests of the international community.""

"With the notable exception of the United States, nearly every country in the world is party to a treaty on marine and maritime activities that went into force in 1994, called the Law of the Sea Convention."

The *Times*, ever the apologist for globalist and communist ambitions, warns: "Dozens of countries have called for a moratorium on seabed mining, and even

Contributors: Gary Benoit • Steve Bonta • Paul Dragu • Christian Gomez

William F. Jasper • John T. Larabell • Alex Newman • Peter Rykowski • Rebecca Terrell • Joe Wolverton II, J.D.

those, like China, who have been keen to see seabed mining take place, have urged restraint until the <u>International Seabed Authority</u>, an agency created under the treaty, agrees on rules for how companies can go about extracting minerals from the deep sea." Ah yes, the International Seabed Authority, another UN agency where China, Russia, Cuba, and other mass-murdering dictatorships and their Third World lackey regimes can exercise a veto on our sovereign rights and domestic policies. How has that worked out for us at the WTO, WHO, IOM, IMF, etc.?

The *Times* further warns that "Many scientists see deepsea mining as environmentally risky." Oh yes, more on that in a moment.

Similarly, a *Washington Post* headlined blared: "Trump moves to open seabed to mining, unnerving other nations." His independent action, "going rogue" so to speak, is causing chaos and threatening "world order," we are led to believe. The *Post* and the *Times* are the twin pillars of the <u>Mockingbird Media</u>, the globalist mouthpieces of the CFR/CIA legacy media mob.

"The president's directive," says the *Post*, "could unleash a method of seabed scraping that oceanographers warn carries grave risks." The *Times*, *Post*, and their media cohorts are echoing the alarms of the radical enviro-Leninists who have been waging lawfare against virtually every American natural-resource industry in the name of protecting Mother Earth.

"This executive order is a life raft for an untested, opaque industry that science is telling us poses enormous threats to ocean ecosystems for little gain," declares an <u>Earthjustice press release</u>. "It is yet another bid to give away our nation's public lands and waters, this time coupled with an attempt to circumvent international law to exploit our shared global oceans for corporate profits."

Earthjustice knows a few things about "profits," since its 2024 annual report shows that this "non-profit" pulled in \$171,455,509 for its "green" lawfare efforts over the past year. Earthjustice, formerly the Sierra Club Legal Defense Fund (it changed its name in 1997) employs an army of lawyers who represent hundreds of enviro-activist "client" NGOs that can be counted on to bring costly lawsuits against every essential industry: farming,



ranching, manufacturing, mining, logging, refining, processing, fishing, and more.

Moreover, <u>Earthjustice is an aggressive litigator against</u> the U.S. Defense Department and our military services, filing lawsuits to block essential weapons systems and defense capabilities under the pretext of protecting endangered species and other environmental concerns. Russia, the CCP, and other adversaries of America don't have a stronger comrade than Earthjustice.

Want to know what the Trump critical minerals EO says that has the CCP, the CFR, and enviro-Leninists all horrorstruck? <u>Here</u> is the opening paragraph:

The United States has a core national security and economic interest in maintaining leadership in deep sea science and technology and seabed mineral resources. The United States faces unprecedented economic and national security challenges in securing reliable supplies of critical minerals independent of foreign adversary control. Vast offshore seabed areas hold critical minerals and energy resources. These resources are key to strengthening our economy, securing our energy future, and reducing dependence on foreign suppliers for critical minerals. The United States also controls seabed mineral resources in one of the largest ocean areas of the world. Our Nation can, through the exercise of existing authorities and by establishing international partnerships, access potentially vast resources in seabed polymetallic nodules; other subsea geologic structures; and coastal deposits containing strategic minerals such as nickel, cobalt, copper, manganese, titanium, and rare earth elements, which are vital to our national security and economic prosperity.

"Our Nation must take immediate action," it continues, "to accelerate the responsible development of seabed mineral resources, quantify the Nation's endowment of



seabed minerals, reinvigorate American leadership in associated extraction and processing technologies, and ensure secure supply chains for our defense, infrastructure, and energy sectors."

A White House Fact Sheet on Offshore Critical Minerals provides additional information on the administration's efforts, including permitting for the Ambler Access Project, a 211-mile industrial road through the Brooks Range foothills that enables commercial mining for copper, zinc, and other materials in a remote Arctic area in Northwest Alaska. Pretty sensible, no? — William F. Jasper

Law of the Sea: UN Treaty Dangers Now Blatantly Obvious

Veteran readers of *The New American* will remember the many articles we have published stretching back to the 1980s exposing and opposing the UN Law of the Sea (LOST) for the massive global power grab it is. Formally known as the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), the treaty would give UN agencies authority over planet Earth's entire marine environment. For a LOST refresher, see these articles from *The New American*:

LOST: Law of the Sea Treaty (2005)

CFR Pushes Law of the Sea Treaty (LOST) (2009)

Will Our Freedoms Be LOST at Sea? (2012)

<u>UN Grabs for Control of Oceans With Revised Law of</u> <u>the Sea Treaty</u> (2016)

Not surprisingly, the UN sees the LOST/UNCLOS as integral to Agenda 2030, categorizing the objectives of the sea treaty under <u>Goal 14 of its Sustainable</u> <u>Development Goals</u>.

As with virtually every other scheme aimed at propelling the United States into the arms of a world government, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) has been the driving force behind UNCLOS. In a 2007 <u>CFR</u> <u>Backgrounder</u>, Maggie Goodlander reports that "the Bush administration is urging the U.S. Senate to ratify the <u>Convention of the Law of the Sea</u>." "Proponents of the convention," she notes, "including President Bush, say it will enhance U.S. security on the high seas." As we documented repeatedly, the administration of George W. Bush, like that of his father, was essentially a CFR brain trust. Ditto for the Obama and Biden admins which, likewise, promoted UNCLOS. Biden's Secretary of State <u>Antony Blinken</u> (CFR) was the latest U.S. official to press the matter, again making the ludicrous claim that it is essential for our national security. More recently, CFR Senior Fellows James M. Lindsay and Esther Brimmer sat down for a <u>CFR podcast on "The</u> U.N. High Seas Treaty" — William F. Jasper

No, Treaties Are Not Supreme if They Violate the Constitution

For far too long, globalists and their boot-licking enablers in Washington, D.C., have been peddling the pernicious lie that any treaty ratified by the Senate automatically becomes "the supreme Law of the Land." They point to Article VI of the Constitution as if it's some magic talisman that grants the federal government unlimited power — so long as it comes wrapped in the trappings of diplomacy.

But a closer look — indeed, even a fair look — at Article VI exposes their con.

Here's what the supremacy clause actually says:

This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land.

There it is: Treaties are only supreme if they are made pursuant to the Constitution. If they violate the Constitution — if they confer powers never delegated to the federal government — they are not valid. They are not binding. They are not law.

No treaty can create a power the Constitution denies. The Constitution is the source and scope of federal power. Treaties are contracts — not magic. They cannot grant Congress powers it does not possess, nor can they repeal the Bill of Rights.

Thomas Jefferson warned us plainly:

If [the treaty power] is boundless, then we have no Constitution.

Amen. If a treaty can override the Second Amendment, or transfer sovereignty to a foreign court, or bind Americans to regulations not passed by Congress, then the Constitution is dead — and we live under a globalist oligarchy.

Jefferson also reminded us: "A treaty is a law only of those who are parties to it. It does not make law but only contracts."

And a contract to do something unconstitutional is void from the beginning.

The Founders anticipated the Trick. Even Alexander Hamilton, no enemy of federal power, wrote in *The Federalist*, No. 33:

Acts of the large society which are not pursuant to its constitutional powers ... will be merely acts of usurpation, and will deserve to be treated as such.

That includes treaties. And James Madison affirmed the same principle: All federal powers, whether exercised by legislation or by treaty, must fall within the four corners of the Constitution. If they don't, they are null and void.

The Constitution comes first. Note the order of supremacy in Article VI:

- 1. This Constitution
- 2. Laws made pursuant to it
- 3. Treaties made under its authority

There's no ambiguity. The Constitution is supreme not Congress, not the president, and certainly not some treaty negotiated in secret and rubber-stamped by a complicit Senate.

Nullify the null and void. When the federal government ratifies a treaty that attempts to do what it is not authorized to do, every state has a duty to nullify it.

Thomas Jefferson, in the Kentucky Resolutions of 1798, said it best: "Where powers are assumed which have not



been delegated, a nullification of the act is the rightful remedy."

That applies to treaties as much as to statutes. We must reject any attempt to smuggle tyranny into our country under the false flag of treaty supremacy. No international agreement can disarm Americans, regulate our land, or subject us to foreign courts — not unless we willingly throw the Constitution on the ash heap of history.

The time to resist is now. Treaties that violate the Constitution are not just illegitimate — they are dangerous. They are the preferred vehicle of modern tyrants who seek to bind us to rules we never voted for, written by bureaucrats we never elected, in bodies that mock our sovereignty and our liberty.

It must stop. The Constitution is not optional. It cannot be overridden by global governance. No matter what the UN says. No matter what the Senate signs. No matter what the president pretends.

We are a sovereign people. And treaties made in defiance of our supreme law deserve not obedience, but open and organized resistance.

Let it be said, let it be remembered, and let it be enforced: No treaty can override the Constitution. And any treaty that attempts to do so is not law, but lawlessness. — Joe Wolverton II, J.D.

David Horowitz, RIP

On April 29, David Horowitz died. Horowitz, a professed "red diaper baby" raised by communists, became a prominent leftist campus radical and Marxist intellectual. He became close friends with Huey Newton, the founder of the Black Panthers. But in the 1980s, Horowitz had a change of heart and eventually rejected leftism and socialism, even publishing an article in The Village Voice in 1986 entitled "Why I Am No Longer a Leftist." Less well-known in recent years, Horowitz was a prominent and strident anti-communist in the '80s and '90s, and also one of the most vocal public voices against what was then called "political correctness" ---now known as "wokeism." A defender of gun rights and supporter of Donald Trump, Horowitz never overcame his leftist impulses entirely, describing himself as a "moderate" on abortion and as sympathetic with "gay rights." Nevertheless, his renunciation of his radical roots and denunciation of communist subversion are reminiscent of a penitent ex-leftist of an earlier era, Whittaker Chambers. Horowitz succumbed to cancer at age 86. — Steve Bonta

Vietnam and the Fall of Saigon: The Final Betrayal, 50 Years Later

The 50th anniversary of the communist takeover of Vietnam is ushering in a new wave of the propaganda, myths, lies, confusion, and disinformation concerning that war, which is still ongoing, albeit in a new phase. To cut through the chaos and deception, please make full strategic use of our package of Vietnam War articles in the April 28 issue of *The New American*:

"Americans Are Still Paying for the Vietnam War";

"The Tragedy of Southeast Asia";

"The John Birch Society on Vietnam";

"Vietnam's Godly Grunt"; and

"Betrayal and Tragedy"

Background to Betrayal, Hilaire du Berrier's Magnum Opus on the Vietnam War

As we have announced previously in the *Insider Report*, Hilaire du Berrier's magnificent <u>Background to</u> <u>Betrayal: The Tragedy of Vietnam</u>, which was long out of print, is now available once again, and in a new printing in a larger format. For a full review of this important work from our recent Vietnam War issue of *The New American*, click <u>here</u>. — William F. Jasper

The Vietnam War: Unraveling the Myths and Lies

"In war-time," said Winston Churchill, "truth is so precious that she should always be attended by a bodyguard of lies." In our time, particularly regarding the Vietnam War, the would-be tyrants who intend to conquer and rule us consider their falsehoods and deceptions to be so essential as to always require a "bodyguard of lies." But we can demolish that shield of deceit with the truth. We have provided nearly 200 articles — taken from *The New American, American Opinion, The Review of the News,* and *The John Birch Society Bulletin* to do just that. Check them out at our reconstructed <u>Vietnam War webpage</u>. — William F. Jasper

War Between India and Pakistan: Going Nuclear?

Nuclear war might be closer than anyone imagines, with nuclear-armed foes India and Pakistan on the very brink of war, and other countries, including the United States, frantically trying to talk the longtime rivals away from the precipice. At issue is a horrendous terrorist attack in Indian Kashmir in which terrorists slaughtered dozens of Indian tourists at the idyllic resort town of Pahalgam. A group unimaginatively named The Resistance Front (TRF), believed to be connected to Pakistani terror group Lashkar-e-Taiba, has taken responsibility for the April 22 attack, the first major incident in combustible Kashmir after several years of comparative calm.

Lashkar-e-Taiba, be it remembered, was responsible for the massacre of hundreds of civilians in the terror attacks in Mumbai in 2008, and has a reputation in South Asia similar to al-Qaeda and ISIS in the Middle East. Although this attack was not on the scale of the 2008 atrocities, much has changed in India since then. See here and here for U.S. Deep State ties (DEA and CIA) to Pakistan's ISI intelligence service and ISI sponsoring of terrorism. For one thing, India's popular prime minister, Narendra Modi, who has been in power since 2014, is a strong Indian and Hindu nationalist. For another, both countries have been steadily amassing significant stockpiles of nuclear weapons, and are estimated to have hundreds of warheads between them. Although



Islamabad has already promised not to use nukes except as a last resort, any all-out war between the two countries would be the first such between nuclear-armed foes, and predicting an outcome would be difficult.

India has already taken the extraordinary step of suspending the Indus Waters Treaty, which dates from 1960 and has weathered several wars between the two countries. The Indus River, which is absolutely critical to Pakistan's survival, since it is the only major water source for Pakistan's densely populated heartland that includes both Lahore and Karachi, flows through India's Ladakh before entering Pakistan, and is susceptible to diversion and damming by India. Pakistan, already suffering from significant drought, cannot afford to lose the waters of the Indus, and is calling India's action an act of war.

Should India and Pakistan come to nuclear blows, the atomic genie would be released for the first time since World War II, and would for the first time involve the long-feared exchange of nuclear weapons. In addition to the horrors that would be visited on the peoples of India and Pakistan (both of which countries this writer has visited, and in which he has many friends), the longtime taboo against the use of nukes will be shattered, leading in all likelihood to bad actors such as Putin and Xi contemplating their use.

The last time the two countries were this close to war was in 2019, when a suicide bomber in Kashmir killed 40 Indian soldiers. India retaliated with bombing raids against Pakistan, but no one on the ground was killed. Pakistan even downed one Indian jet — and promptly repatriated its captured pilot. Thus placated, both sides were able to claim victory and a major war was averted.

Kashmir, India's only Muslim-majority state, has long had a vexed relationship with India, a stressor Pakistan has been happy to encourage. Many Kashmiris seem to want independence from both India and Pakistan, but that does not seem a likely outcome. To add to the problem, Ladakh, a Tibetan region formerly part of Kashmir, was granted a sort of autonomy in 2019 as a union territory. Ladakhis are Tibetan Buddhists and are fiercely loyal to India, because they see how their fellow Tibetan Buddhists are treated by neighboring China. They also resent the discrimination they received under Muslim Kashmiris.

Kashmir, on the other hand, has found itself under an ever-stricter security protocol, with police and military checkpoints everywhere — a stark contrast to the relatively free-wheeling vibe elsewhere in India.

In previous major wars, India has bested Pakistan because of its larger size and better weapons. This time, however, Pakistan may have a powerful ally, its "iron brother" China, which has territorial claims of its own against India (including aforementioned Ladakh). In the 2019 crisis, the United States worked hard to avert a war, since we still had troops in neighboring Afghanistan. Now, though, that presence is gone, so the Trump administration probably has less interest in getting involved in a conflict that, however awful, is ultimately probably none of our business. — Steve Bonta

JBS Unveils New CO₂ Documentary as S.D. Rejects Carbon Pipeline — AGAIN!

Good news! South Dakota's landowners are celebrating, as the state's Public Utilities Commission (PUC) has handed them a major victory over the Net Zero agenda.

The PUC rejected a second attempt by Summit Carbon Solutions to obtain a permit for its planned carboncapture pipeline. Commissioners also denied Summit's request for an extension of the hearing process.

The decision came on Earth Day, 2025. The John Birch Society's Michael Boyle was present for the hearing and captured crucial moments on video, which you can view <u>here</u>. Later the same day, JBS premiered its documentary, *UNearthing the CO2 Pipeline*, which tells the plight of landowners on Summit's route and the landgrabbing agenda behind projects like this. The video is free to view until May 21 at StandYourGround.watch. Please help spread it far and wide!

The S.D. rejection could have implications in Iowa, which is also in Summit's path. Commissioners in the Hawkeye State have approved Summit's application on



the condition that the other states involved do likewise. They are Nebraska, North Dakota, and Minnesota.

South Dakota's rejection throws a <u>wrench</u> in Summit's plans for a 2,100-mile pipeline, the "Midwest Carbon Express." Company officials plan to refile an application for a shorter route in South Dakota.

But without government handouts, projects such as this would not be profitable. That is why several groups are collecting signatures on petitions to end the IRS 45Q tax credit for carbon-capture pipelines, which offers private companies a direct-pay loophole to obtain billions in taxpayer dollars for these wasteful, dangerous boondoggles. You can sign these petitions at the following:

https://sign.moveon.org/petitions/dear-members-ofcongress-oppose-dangerous-carbon-capture-subsidies-1

https://noillinoisco2pipelines.org/action-alert/askcongress-to-cut-dangerous-ccs-subsidies/

https://actionnetwork.org/letters/tell-congress-repeal-the-45q-tax-credit-for-carbon-capture/

- Rebecca Terrell

BRICS Conference in Brazil Falls Apart

The two-day BRICS conference in Brazil was supposed to showcase a united front against the wicked Trump and his abominable new tariffs. Instead, the meeting ended without the issuance of any consensus document, the proceedings effectively torpedoed by recalcitrant new members Egypt and Ethiopia, who resented a bid by three of the strongest BRICS countries - Brazil, India, and South Africa - for permanent UN Security Council seats. The leadership of BRICS is full-throated in support of the UN and multilateralism, especially in countering the influence of the United States. But after dramatically enlarging their membership to include the likes of Iran, the UAE, and Saudi Arabia, in addition to Egypt and Ethiopia, the organization's leaders are finding it more and more difficult to arm-twist members into unity. In this writer's view, the clout of BRICS has been greatly exaggerated, and will be greatly diminished by Trump's new bilateral approach to tariffs and other negotiations. BRICS' most powerful member, China, is



now seriously threatened by Trump's tariffs, and may find its economic clout greatly diminished as a result. — Steve Bonta

Legislation to Get US Out! of the WTO Introduced

U.S. Representative Tom Tiffany (R-Wis.) has introduced legislation to *Get US Out!* of the World Trade Organization (WTO), a long-overdue move to help restore U.S. sovereignty.

House Joint Resolution 93 (<u>H. J. Res. 93</u>), titled "Withdrawing approval of the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization," is co-sponsored by Representatives Andy Biggs (R-Ariz.), Thomas Massie (R-Ky.), and Barry Moore (R-Ala.). Legislation to *Get US Out!* of the WTO was previously introduced by Senator Josh Hawley (R-Mo.) in 2020.

In a <u>press release</u> announcing H. J. Res. 93, Tiffany declared:

The WTO has repeatedly overlooked China's unfair trade practices and human rights abuses, undermined American farmers and manufacturers, and eroded our national sovereignty. American trade policies should be made by American officials who are elected by American voters and accountable to American workers, not dictated by unelected international bureaucrats in Geneva. It's time to pursue a better approach to trade — one that puts American industry, jobs, and economic independence first.

"It has been two decades since Congress last voted on whether to continue our membership in this deeply



Showers & Lavatories

Custom Made in Kansas, USA Since 1985 800-669-9867 • www.onyxcollection.com



flawed organization," the press release continues. "The American people deserve to know if their elected officials stand with them, or with China's allies in the WTO."

Breitbart News <u>summarizes</u> the history of U.S. accession to the WTO:

The WTO was <u>founded</u> in 1995; America joined as one of its founding members following years of negotiations known as the "Uruguay Round," and belongs to the group as a result of Congressional approval through the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. Rep. Tiffany's bill <u>reads</u>, in its entirety: "Congress withdraws its approval, provided under section 101(a) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, of the WTO Agreement as defined in section 2(9) of that Act."

WTO membership has been detrimental to U.S. sovereignty. It effectively <u>functions</u> as the "global trade ministry" of the United Nations, and has repeatedly ruled against U.S. policies, even occasionally <u>forcing</u> Congress to change domestic law to comply with "international law."

H. J. Res. 93 would be a major step toward restoring U.S. sovereignty. Contact your U.S. representative, and urge him or her to co-sponsor this resolution, and urge your U.S. senators to introduce a companion resolution. — Peter Rykowski

Putin Rehabilitates Stalin, Renames Volgograd Airport for Communist Mass-murderer

The *Moscow Times* reported on April 29 that "<u>Putin</u> <u>Renames Volgograd Airport 'Stalingrad' to Honor</u> <u>WWII Battle</u>." The website reports:

> Volgograd, named Stalingrad in 1925 after Soviet dictator Josef Stalin, was the site of the pivotal 1942-43 battle in which Soviet troops repelled the invading German army. The city

was renamed Volgograd in 1961 as part of the U.S.S.R.'s de-Stalinization campaign.

Putin's decree, issued after his visit to Volgograd on Tuesday, states the renaming applies only to the airport and "does not entail a change to the name of the city itself."

The symbolic move comes after years of public resistance to proposals by some officials to permanently restore the city's Soviet-era name.

No one should be surprised; Putin has been rehabilitating Stalin (see <u>here</u> and <u>here</u>) and communist-era symbols for years now. Most striking heretofore was his <u>rehabilitation of Felix Dzerzhinsky</u> ("Iron Felix") the notorious terror chief of the Cheka, predecessor of the KGB (now rebranded as the FSB/SVR). The giant statue of Dzerzhinsky in Moscow's Lubyanka Square was torn down by Russians in 1991. Gradually, however, Putin began restoring the murderous Chekist's standing in the Soviet pantheon.

As we reported in 2002, Putin's restoration of important communist symbols includes:

• the Red Star, as Russia's official military emblem;

• the Red Banner, as Russia's military flag;

• the music of the old Soviet anthem, albeit with new lyrics;

And, if you watch Russia's military parades in Red Square and the May Day rallies, you will notice plenty of communist hammer-and-sickle emblems, red flags, red banners, and red stars, along with portraits of Stalin and Lenin. (See videos here and here). — William F. Jasper

Former Representative Curt Weldon Rips Mask Off 9/11 Commission: Massive Fedgov Coverup, Conspiracy

Former Representative Curt Weldon delivered a dynamite exposé of the 9/11 Commission and the completely fraudulent official narrative about the 2001

KEEP THEM INDEPENDENT! SUPPORT YOUR LOCAL POLICE





terror attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon in an extensive <u>interview with Tucker Carlson</u> on April 15. Weldon, a Pennsylvania Republican, served 20 years in Congress and was vice-chairman of the Armed Services Committee and the Homeland Security Committee. For daring to challenge and expose the lies and coverups of the 9/11 terror attacks, the Bush Deep State machine attacked Weldon and his daughter with sensational and unsubstantiated charges of foreign lobbying and corruption. The attacks, made during an election campaign, cost Weldon his congressional seat. Now he's speaking out.

Some of the many articles we published on the 9/11 conspiracy include:

"Did We Know What Was Coming?";

"Unleashing a Terrorist";

"Conspiracy Realities"

"Agents Challenge 9/11 Commission"

"Massaoui Statement Renews Suspicions of Saudi Involvement in 9/11 Attacks"

"Pentagon Destroys Books for 'National Security"

"False Flags & Agents Provocateurs"

However, even before the 9/11 terror attacks, Weldon had made himself a target by publicly calling out the treason of President Bill Clinton in his dealings with China, as we reported in 1998 (<u>"The Spectre of</u> <u>Treason</u>)." And yes, he used the word "treason." — William F. Jasper

CFR Praises Pope Francis's Foreign Policy, Betrayal of Church in China

It was to be expected that Pope Francis's passing would elicit rhapsodic eulogies from the liberal-left chattering class operatives who normally cannot contain their hatred for the Catholic Church. Since Francis's pontificate pushed forward a thoroughly subversive revolution in the Church for the past 12 years, he has been the darling of the anti-Christian media and far-left politicians. <u>Rachel Maddow</u>, <u>Whoopi Goldberg and the</u> "ladies" of *The View*, <u>Representative Rashida Tlaib</u>, <u>CNN</u>, *The New York Times*, <u>*The Guardian*</u>, the <u>Communist Party USA</u>, Nancy Pelosi, Joe Biden, Chuck Schumer, etc., all praised his "progressive" policies, especially on sexual and environmental issues, Covid vaccine mandates, the Great Reset, capitalism, and migration. Even the Freemason's Grand Lodge of Italy, traditionally an implacable enemy of the Catholic Church, praised Francis's pontificate.

An April 25 piece for Foreign Affairs, the flagship journal Council on Foreign Relations, focused on Pope Francis's 2018 secretive agreement with the communist regime in China. Titled "The Pope's Foreign Policy: How Francis Extended and Transformed the Vatican's Global Reach," it was written by Victor Gaetan, a correspondent for the National Catholic Register. Cardinal Joseph Zen, the heroic Bishop Emeritus of Hong Kong who has suffered persecution under the CCP, has rightfully denounced the agreement as "an incredible betrayal and a complete surrender" to the communists. But Gaetan and the CFR globalists see the Vatican-CCP bargain as a good thing. That bargain includes allowing the CCP's phony "Patriotic Catholic Church" to appoint the bishops for the Catholic Church in China. In so doing, Pope Francis is ignoring the fact that the CCP has been imprisoning, torturing, and killing Catholic bishops, priests, and lay faithful ever since the communists took over China in 1949. And they continue to imprison, torture, and kill not only Catholics, but also Christians of all denominations, as well as Muslims, Buddhists, Falun Gong, and other religious groups. - William F. Jasper

Digital Control Grid: Is It Racing Forward Under Trump?

Is our nation rapidly headed toward adopting the totalitarian digitized "social credit system" of communist China, a 24/7/365 total surveillance system in which your every transaction, not to mention your every move and every word, is monitored and where if you say or do anything that meets with government disapproval, you may find that your entire savings account is locked or "disappeared," and where your access to food, water, electricity, transportation, medical care, employment are contingent upon your social credit score? Maybe under Obama or Biden, but surely not on President Trump's watch. Are you sure about that?

Financial expert and whistleblower Catherine Austin Fitts was famous for uncovering massive government fraud and waste long before Elon Musk's DOGE came along. In her April 16, 2025 blog for the <u>Solari Report</u> titled "The Fast-Approaching Digital Control Grid," she provides extensive and alarming details about the oncoming juggernaut of digital control that could, if allowed to continue, end "all human rights and liberties." One of the many indicators is the Trump administration's jump-starting of the long-delayed (since 2005) digital REAL ID Act. After languishing for two decades due to widespread opposition, the Department of Homeland Security is now pushing it forward and requiring a REAL ID by May 7 in order to fly or enter federal buildings. — William F. Jasper

More Important News From The New American

"WEF Founder Klaus Schwab Under Investigation for Corruption"

"Canadians Elect Central Banker, Former WEF Young Global Leader Mark Carney as PM"

"UN Global Tax Advances to Fuel Global Tyranny"

"New Court Doc Ties Deported Illegal Alien to Terror Gang"

"Wis. High Court Suspends Judge Who Tried to Help Illegal Alien Escape Arrest"

[END]