

# The Freedom Index

*A Congressional Scorecard Based on the U.S. Constitution*

Our second look at the 119th Congress shows how every member of the House and Senate voted on key issues such as digital currencies, foreign aid (House only), oil and gas drilling, and Obama-Care subsidies (Senate only).

## House Vote Descriptions

**11 GENIUS Act.** S. 1582, the “Guiding and Establishing National Innovation for U.S. Stablecoins (GENIUS) Act,” would regulate cryptocurrency stablecoins, digital assets used as a means of payment redeemable for a fixed amount of currency. It requires stablecoin issuers to apply to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) to be a “permitted payment stablecoin issuer” and maintain 1:1 reserves in approved assets. Additionally, it empowers the Federal Reserve Board, FDIC, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and Department of the Treasury to oversee permitted stablecoin issuers.

The House passed S. 1582 on July 17, 2025 by a vote of 308 to 122 (Roll Call 200). We have assigned pluses to the nays because the GENIUS Act expands government surveillance and control over private



AP Photo/Richard Drew

**Genius bill?** The GENIUS Act, passed by Congress and signed into law by President Donald Trump, imposes federal regulations and oversight on stablecoins, and is a backdoor to a central bank digital currency.

financial activities, creating a backdoor to a central bank digital currency. Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution does not permit Congress to regulate the financial sector, and the Fourth Amendment protects individuals from unreasonable searches and unwarranted government surveillance.

**12 Central Bank Digital Currency.** H.R. 1919, the “Anti-CBDC Surveillance State Act,” would prohibit the Federal Reserve from issuing a central bank digital currency directly to individuals or through intermediaries, bar its use as a tool of monetary policy, and prevent

## About This Index

“The Freedom Index: A Congressional Scorecard Based on the U.S. Constitution” rates members of Congress based on their adherence to constitutional principles of limited government, fiscal responsibility, national sovereignty, and a traditional foreign policy of avoiding foreign entanglements. For information on the criteria we use to select the votes, go to [TheFreedomIndex.org/about](https://TheFreedomIndex.org/about).

To learn how any representative or senator voted on the key measures described herein, look him or her up in the vote charts. The scores are derived by dividing a congressman’s constitutional votes (pluses) by the total number he cast (pluses and minuses) and multiplying by 100. The average House score for this index is 38 percent (71 percent for the Republicans and five percent for the Democrats), and the average Senate

score is 40 percent (67 percent for the Republicans and nine percent for the Democrats). Ten representatives and just one senator (Rand Paul of Kentucky) earned 100 percent. We encourage readers to examine how their own legislators voted on each of the 10 key measures. We also encourage readers to commend legislators for their constitutional votes, and to urge improvement where needed.

This is our second index for the 119th Congress. Our first index for the current Congress (votes 1-10) appeared in our August 2025 issue. An online version of The Freedom Index is also available (click on Freedom Index at [TheNewAmerican.com](https://TheNewAmerican.com)), as are Congressional Scorecards on individual members of the House and Senate and Legislative Scorecards on state legislators (see ad on page 36). ■

# House Vote Scores ✓

	Votes:	11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
<b>ALABAMA</b>													
1 Moore (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	90%
2 Figures (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-		+	-	-	-	-	5%
3 Rogers (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
4 Aderholt (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
5 Strong (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
6 Palmer (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	80%
7 Sewell (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
<b>ALASKA</b>													
AL Begich (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	85%
<b>ARIZONA</b>													
1 Schweikert (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
2 Crane (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Ansari (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
4 Stanton (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+	5%
5 Biggs (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Ciscomani (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	58%
7 Grijalva (D)													
8 Hamadeh (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	80%
9 Gosar (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	95%
<b>ARKANSAS</b>													
1 Crawford (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
2 Hill (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	63%
3 Womack (R)	56%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	?	+	58%
4 Westerman (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>													
1 LaMalfa (R)	67%	-	+	-	-	+		+	?	+	+	+	63%
2 Huffman (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	?		-	-	-	-	-	6%
3 Kiley (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
4 Thompson (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
5 McClintock (R)	80%	-	+	+	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	85%
6 Bera (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
7 Matsui (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
8 Garamendi (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	?	-	6%
9 Harder (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
10 DeSaulnier (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	-		-	?	-	-	-	6%
11 Pelosi (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
12 Simon (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
13 Gray (D)	22%	-	-	-	-	-		+	-	?	-	+	26%
14 Swalwell (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	?		-	-	-	?	?	0%
15 Mullin (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	6%
16 Liccardo (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
17 Khanna (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
18 Lofgren (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
19 Panetta (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+	5%
20 Fong (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	63%
21 Costa (D)	20%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	+	+	15%
22 Valadao (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
23 Obernolte (R)	56%	-	?	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	58%
24 Carbajal (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
25 Ruiz (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
26 Brownley (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
27 Whitesides (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+	11%
28 Chu (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
29 Rivas (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
30 Friedman (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
31 Cisneros (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%

	Votes:	11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
32 Sherman (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
33 Aguilar (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
34 Gomez (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
35 Torres (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
36 Lieu (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
37 Kamlager-Dove (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
38 Sánchez (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
39 Takano (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
40 Kim (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
41 Calvert (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
42 Garcia (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
43 Waters (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
44 Barragán (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
45 Tran (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+	5%
46 Correa (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	6%
47 Min (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
48 Issa (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
49 Levin (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
50 Peters (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
51 Jacobs (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
52 Vargas (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>COLORADO</b>													
1 DeGette (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
2 Neguse (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
3 Hurd (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	63%
4 Boebert (R)	89%	-	+	+	+	+		?	+	+	+	+	89%
5 Crank (D)	80%	-	+	+	+	-		+	+	+	+	+	80%
6 Crow (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
7 Pettersen (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	?	0%
8 Evans (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	60%
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>													
1 Larson (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
2 Courtney (D)	20%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+	11%
3 DeLauro (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
4 Himes (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0%
5 Hayes (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>DELAWARE</b>													
AL McBride (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>FLORIDA</b>													
1 Patronis (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	79%
2 Dunn (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	63%
3 Cammack (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	85%
4 Bean (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	90%
5 Rutherford (R)	50%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	?	?	?	56%
6 Fine (R)	80%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	79%
7 Mills (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	80%
8 Haridopolos (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	65%
9 Soto (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+	5%
10 Frost (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
11 Webster (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	70%
12 Bilirakis (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	68%
13 Luna (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	83%
14 Castor (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	5%
15 Lee (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	56%
16 Buchanan (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	61%
17 Steube (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	95%
18 Franklin (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	68%

The scores are derived by dividing the constitutionally correct votes (pluses) by the total number of pluses and minuses and multiplying by 100. (A "?" means a representative did not vote. If a representative cast fewer than five votes in this index, a score is not assigned.) Match numbers at the top of the chart to House vote descriptions on pages 1, 3, and 5.

the creation of a federally controlled digital-dollar system that could enable financial surveillance, transaction censorship, and centralized control over Americans' economic lives.

The House passed H.R. 1919 on July 17, 2025 by a vote of 219 to 210 (Roll Call 201). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because Article I, Sections 8 and 10 of the Constitution state that only Congress has the power to "coin Money," referring to precious metals such as gold and silver. The Federal Reserve's fiat system already departs from these limits, and a central bank digital currency would further erode liberty by enabling constant tracking of transactions and unprecedented government surveillance and control over Americans' financial lives.

**13 Foreign Aid Programs.** During consideration of the fiscal 2026 defense appropriations bill (H.R. 4016), Representative Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-Ga.) offered an amendment to strike the language in the bill authorizing \$118 million for overseas humanitarian, disaster, and civic aid programs.

The House rejected Greene's amendment on July 18, 2025 by a vote of 63 to 365 (Roll Call 204). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because foreign aid, not being one of the enumerated powers granted to the federal government by the Constitution, is unconstitutional. Also, as Greene pointed out on the House floor, "The American people are \$37 trillion in debt. The Department of Defense's mission is to deter war and ensure our Nation's security. That is their mission, and that is exactly what their funding should be for."

**14 Ukraine Assistance.** During consideration of the fiscal 2026 defense appropriations bill (H.R. 4016), Representative Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-Ga.) offered an amendment stating: "None of the funds made available by this Act may be used for assistance to Ukraine."

The House rejected Greene's amendment on July 18, 2025 by a vote of 76 to 353 (Roll Call 209). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because the United States should not be interjecting itself into the Russia-Ukraine war by providing aid to one of the combatants, and

should instead follow a noninterventionist foreign policy that puts America first. Moreover, Congress has not declared war as required by the Constitution for military activity.

**15 Defund Renewable-energy Office.** During consideration of the fiscal 2026 energy-water appropriations bill (H.R. 4553), Representative Scott Perry (R-Pa.), acting for Representative Chip Roy (R-Texas), offered an amendment to strike all funding for the now-defunct Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

The House rejected Scott's amendment on September 4, 2025 by a vote of 127 to 297 (Roll Call 236). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because Congress should end all unconstitutional federal control over the domestic energy sector, in accordance with Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution. The push for a "green" or "renewable" economy is part of the UN's 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which seeks to implement extreme "climate change" policies. It is nothing other than a fanatical attempt by globalist elites to increase their taxing authority. Rather than undermining American sovereignty, energy independence, and free-market principles, Con-

gress must refrain from exercising regulatory powers that the 10th Amendment reserves to the "States respectively, or to the people."

**16 Taxpayer-funded Sex Changes.** During consideration of the fiscal 2026 National Defense Authorization Act (H.R. 3838), Representative Nancy Mace (R-S.C.) offered an amendment to prohibit the Department of Defense from covering or providing "gender-related medical treatment" under TRICARE.

The House adopted Mace's amendment on September 10, 2025 by a vote of 221 to 207 (Roll Call 246). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because Congress has every duty to forbid grossly illicit acts of sexual perversion in the armed forces. Members of the Military Health System have no right to undergo or perform "gender-affirming" treatment, let alone force taxpayers to pay for it through the federal TRICARE program. Sex mutilation is a grotesque practice that not only violates the "unalienable" right to life and limb, but absurdly conflates biological sex with fictional "gender identity" constructs. Since the Obama administration, "gender-neutral" policies have been used to socially engineer an effeminate and subversive culture in the armed forces,



**America First:** Foreign aid is unconstitutional and contradicts a traditional foreign policy of noninterventionism. Now-former U.S. Representative Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-Ga.) introduced multiple amendments to legislation that would have cut foreign aid.

	Votes:	11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
19 Donalds (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	85%
20 Cherfilus-McCormick (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
21 Mast (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	63%
22 Frankel (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	0%
23 Moskowitz (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
24 Wilson (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%
25 Wasserman Schultz (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
26 Diaz-Balart (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
27 Salazar (R)	67%	-	+	?	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	61%
28 Gimenez (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
<b>GEORGIA</b>													
1 Carter (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
2 Bishop (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%
3 Jack (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	75%
4 Johnson (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
5 Williams (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
6 McBeth (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
7 McCormick (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	75%
8 Scott (R)	80%	+	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	70%
9 Clyde (R)	80%	-	+	+	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	90%
10 Collins (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	85%
11 Loudermilk (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
12 Allen (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
13 Scott (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
14 Greene (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	?	95%
<b>HAWAII</b>													
1 Case (D)	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	15%
2 Tokuda (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>IDAHO</b>													
1 Fulcher (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	90%
2 Simpson (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	61%
<b>ILLINOIS</b>													
1 Jackson (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
2 Kelly (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
3 Ramirez (D)	13%	+	-	?	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%
4 García (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
5 Quigley (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
6 Casten (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
7 Davis (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%
8 Krishnamoorthi (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
9 Schakowsky (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
10 Schneider (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	0%
11 Foster (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
12 Bost (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	70%
13 Budzinski (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
14 Underwood (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
15 Miller (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	90%
16 LaHood (R)	63%	-	+	-	-	+		?	?	?	?	+	61%
17 Sorensen (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
<b>INDIANA</b>													
1 Mrvan (D)	22%	+	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	+	11%
2 Yakym (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
3 Stutzman (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	80%
4 Baird (D)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
5 Spartz (R)	78%	-	+	-	?	+		+	+	+	+	+	83%
6 Shreve (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
7 Carson (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
8 Messmer (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
9 Houchin (R)	78%	-	+	+	-	+		+	+	+	+	?	68%
<b>IOWA</b>													
1 Miller-Meeks (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	63%
2 Hinson (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%

	Votes:	11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
3 Nunn (R)	56%	-	+	-	-	-	-	?	+	+	+	+	61%
4 Feenstra (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
<b>KANSAS</b>													
1 Mann (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	80%
2 Schmidt (R)	80%	-	+	+	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	75%
3 Davids (D)	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 Estes (R)	67%	-	+	-	-	?		+	+	+	+	+	74%
<b>KENTUCKY</b>													
1 Comer (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	79%
2 Guthrie (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
3 McGarvey (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
4 Massie (R)	100%	?	?	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	100%
5 Rogers (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
6 Barr (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
<b>LOUISIANA</b>													
1 Scalise (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	68%
2 Carter (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
3 Higgins (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	89%
4 Johnson (R)	80%	-	+	?	?	?		?	?	?	?	+	71%
5 Letlow (R)	70%	-	+	-	+	-		+	+	+	+	+	74%
6 Fields (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>MAINE</b>													
1 Pingree (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
2 Golden (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	25%
<b>MARYLAND</b>													
1 Harris (R)	90%	+	+	+	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	85%
2 Olszewski (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
3 Elfreth (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
4 Ivey (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	5%
5 Hoyer (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
6 McClain Delaney (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
7 Mfume (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%
8 Raskin (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>													
1 Neal (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
2 McGovern (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
3 Trahan (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
4 Auchincloss (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
5 Clark (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
6 Moulton (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	0%
7 Pressley (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
8 Lynch (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
9 Keating (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	5%
<b>MICHIGAN</b>													
1 Bergman (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	58%
2 Moolenaar (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
3 Scholten (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
4 Huizenga (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
5 Walberg (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
6 Dingell (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
7 Barrett (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	65%
8 McDonald Rivet (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%
9 McClain (R)	67%	-	+	-	-	?		+	+	+	+	+	61%
10 James (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
11 Stevens (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
12 Tlaib (D)	22%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	?	16%
13 Thanedar (D)	10%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>MINNESOTA</b>													
1 Finstad (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	72%
2 Craig (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
3 Morrison (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%

The scores are derived by dividing the constitutionally correct votes (pluses) by the total number of pluses and minuses and multiplying by 100. (A “?” means a representative did not vote. If a representative cast fewer than five votes in this index, a score is not assigned.) Match numbers at the top of the chart to House vote descriptions on pages 1, 3, and 5.

resulting in homosexuals serving openly in the ranks, women in combat, and transgendered troops. Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution grants Congress the power to restore the order, discipline, and very purpose of the military.

**17 Free Speech.** During consideration of the fiscal 2026 National Defense Authorization Act (H.R. 3838), Representative Rich McCormick (R-Ga.) offered an amendment to prohibit the Defense Department (aka War Department) from, in its military recruitment advertising, entering into contracts with entities that “rate or rank news or information sources for the factual accuracy of their content.”

The House adopted McCormick’s amendment on September 10, 2025 by a vote of 219 to 211 (Roll Call 258). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because freedom of speech is a right enshrined in the First Amendment to the Constitution, and the government trespasses on this right when it contracts with entities that (as Congress.gov puts it in its description of the amendment) “perform fact-checking and information-grading services for purposes of censoring their political opponents.” McCormick noted on the House floor that his amendment is necessary because “the DOD, or now the Department of War, has contracted with organizations such as NewsGuard and the Global Disinformation Index,” whose ratings display a decidedly left-wing bias. He also said, “We should not be excluding large swaths of Americans [from military recruiting efforts] simply because they watch or read conservative news.”

**18 Presidential Appointment Powers.** H.R. 5125, the “District of Columbia Judicial Nominations Reform Act of 2025,” would abolish the District of Columbia Judicial Nomination Commission, allow the U.S. president to nominate D.C. judges without its involvement, and transfer authority to appoint chief judges from the commission to the president. Currently, the president can only nominate individuals recommended by the commission.

The House passed H.R. 5125 on September 17, 2025 by a vote of 218 to 211 (Roll Call 274). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because the District of Colum-

David W. Houseknecht/United States Geological Survey via AP, File



**Open for development:** Promoting oil and gas drilling is essential for achieving American energy independence. Congress voted to overturn Biden administration regulations that restricted drilling in the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska.

bia Judicial Nomination Commission infringes upon the president’s authority to “nominate” and “appoint ... Officers of the United States” under Article II, Section 2 of the Constitution. Article II, Section 2 checks the president’s appointment powers by requiring Senate confirmation for “Officers of the United States” and allowing Congress to “vest the Appointment of ... inferior Officers” in “Courts of Law” or “Heads of Departments,” but it does not permit the use of technocratic commissions to check the president’s authority.

**19 Alaska Petroleum Reserve Rule.** S. J. Res. 80 would overturn a 2022 Bureau of Land Management (BLM) rule for the 23-million-acre National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska (NPR-A). This disapproval restores a less-restrictive plan that opens more areas to development, and reverses the closure of 48 percent of the NPR-A.

The House passed S. J. Res. 80 on November 18, 2025 by a vote of 216 to 209 (Roll Call 296). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because Congress’ disapproval nullifies an unconstitutional regulation that imposed restrictive land management and burdened American energy production under the pretext of environmental protection. The BLM’s management of lands exceeds constitutional limits under Article I, Section 8; Article IV, Section 3; and the 10th Amendment. Additionally,

such environmentalist policies align with the UN’s Agenda 2030, undermining national sovereignty and individual liberty. Congress should reject such policies, abolish the BLM, and transfer lands to state or private ownership.

**20 China-funded Schools.** H.R. 1069, the “PROTECT Our Kids Act,” would prohibit federal education funds from being awarded to any elementary or secondary school that directly or indirectly receives support from the government of the People’s Republic of China. This includes partnerships with Confucius Institutes or Classrooms and other arrangements involving Chinese government-backed funding, materials, or personnel.

The House passed H.R. 1069 on December 4, 2025 by a vote of 247 to 164 (Roll Call 313). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution does not permit Congress to fund or legislate on education. Furthermore, federal funds should not subsidize foreign governments’ propaganda, especially adversarial communist regimes with a documented record of censorship and influence operations. Allowing China to finance curricula, personnel, or materials in American schools threatens national sovereignty, undermines parental authority, and exposes students to ideological indoctrination incompatible with American principles. ■

	Votes:	11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
4 McCollum (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
5 Omar (D)	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	11%
6 Emmer (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
7 Fischbach (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	79%
8 Stauber (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	75%
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>													
1 Kelly (R)	67%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	63%
2 Thompson (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
3 Guest (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
4 Ezell (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	79%
<b>MISSOURI</b>													
1 Bell (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
2 Wagner (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	63%
3 Onder (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
4 Alford (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	60%
5 Cleaver (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
6 Graves (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	68%
7 Burlison (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
8 Smith (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	70%
<b>MONTANA</b>													
1 Zinke (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
2 Downing (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
<b>NEBRASKA</b>													
1 Flood (R)	67%	-	+	?	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	63%
2 Bacon (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	60%
3 Smith (R)	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	?	+	+	+	+	61%
<b>NEVADA</b>													
1 Titus (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	-	-	0%
2 Amodei (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	55%
3 Lee (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
4 Horsford (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>													
1 Pappas (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
2 Goodlander (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>													
1 Norcross (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%
2 Van Drew (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
3 Conaway (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
4 Smith (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
5 Gottheimer (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	6%
6 Pallone (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	+	5%
7 Kean (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	60%
8 Menendez (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
9 Pou (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
10 McIver (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
11 Sherrill (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	?	?	?	-	?	Z	-	0%
12 Watson Coleman (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>													
1 Stansbury (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
2 Vasquez (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%
3 Leger Fernandez (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>NEW YORK</b>													
1 LaLota (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	60%
2 Garbarino (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	63%
3 Suozzi (D)	13%	-	-	?	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%
4 Gillen (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
5 Meeks (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
6 Meng (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
7 Velázquez (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
8 Jeffries (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
9 Clarke (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%

	Votes:	11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
10 Goldman (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	0%
11 Malliotakis (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	58%
12 Nadler (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	5%
13 Espaillat (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	0%
14 Ocasio-Cortez (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
15 Torres (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
16 Latimer (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
17 Lawler (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
18 Ryan (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
19 Riley (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
20 Tonko (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
21 Stefanik (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	56%
22 Mannion (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
23 Langworthy (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
24 Tenney (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
25 Morelle (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
26 Kennedy (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>													
1 Davis (D)	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	16%
2 Ross (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
3 Murphy (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
4 Foushee (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
5 Foxx (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	75%
6 McDowell (R)	70%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
7 Rouzer (R)	80%	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	70%
8 Harris (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%
9 Hudson (R)	70%	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
10 Harrigan (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%
11 Edwards (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	65%
12 Adams (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
13 Knott (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	85%
14 Moore (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>													
AL Fedorchak (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	70%
<b>OHIO</b>													
1 Landsman (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Taylor (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	70%
3 Beatty (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%
4 Jordan (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
5 Latta (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
6 Rulli (R)	67%	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	?	+	63%
7 Miller (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
8 Davidson (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%
9 Kaptur (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%
10 Turner (R)	50%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	53%
11 Brown (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
12 Balderson (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	70%
13 Sykes (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
14 Joyce (R)	56%	-	+	-	?	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	58%
15 Carey (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>													
1 Hern (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	75%
2 Brecheen (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%
3 Lucas (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
4 Cole (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
5 Bice (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	63%
<b>OREGON</b>													
1 Bonamici (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
2 Bentz (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
3 Dexter (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
4 Hoyle (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
5 Bynum (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
6 Salinas (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%

The scores are derived by dividing the constitutionally correct votes (pluses) by the total number of pluses and minuses and multiplying by 100. (A “?” means a representative did not vote. If a representative cast fewer than five votes in this index, a score is not assigned.) Match numbers at the top of the chart to House vote descriptions on pages 1, 3, and 5.

	Votes: 11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>												
1 Fitzpatrick (R)	50%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	45%
2 Boyle (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
3 Evans (D)	13%	+	-	-	-	-	?	?	-	-	-	6%
4 Dean (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
5 Scanlon (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	5%
6 Houlihan (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
7 Mackenzie (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
8 Bresnahan (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
9 Meuser (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	63%
10 Perry (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%
11 Smucker (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
12 Lee (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
13 Joyce (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
14 Reschenthaler (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
15 Thompson (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
16 Kelly (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	63%
17 Deluzio (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>												
1 Amo (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
2 Magaziner (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>												
1 Mace (R)	89%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	74%
2 Wilson (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	68%
3 Biggs (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%
4 Timmons (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
5 Norman (R)	89%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	95%
6 Clyburn (D)	11%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	5%
7 Fry (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>												
AL Johnson (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
<b>TENNESSEE</b>												
1 Harshbarger (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	89%
2 Burchett (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%
3 Fleischmann (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
4 Desjarlais (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	68%
5 Ogles (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	89%
6 Rose (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	67%
7 Van Epps (R)												+
8 Kustoff (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
9 Cohen (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>TEXAS</b>												
1 Moran (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
2 Crenshaw (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
3 Self (R)	80%	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%
4 Fallon (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	75%
5 Gooden (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	75%
6 Ellzey (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
7 Fletcher (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%
8 Luttrell (R)	70%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	75%
9 Green (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
10 McCaul (R)	56%	-	+	-	-	-	?	+	+	+	+	65%
11 Pfluger (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
12 Goldman (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
13 Jackson (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
14 Weber (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	70%
15 De La Cruz (R)	78%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	72%
16 Escobar (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
17 Sessions (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
18 Turner (D)												20%
19 Arrington (R)	89%	-	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	79%
20 Castro (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%

	Votes: 11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
21 Roy (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
22 Nehls (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	88%
23 Gonzales (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
24 Van Duyne (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	70%
25 Williams (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	63%
26 Gill (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%
27 Cloud (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%
28 Cuellar (D)	30%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	40%
29 Garcia (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
30 Crockett (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	0%
31 Carter (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
32 Johnson (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
33 Veasey (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
34 Gonzalez (D)	30%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	39%
35 Casar (D)	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	?	6%
36 Babin (R)	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	?	63%
37 Doggett (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
38 Hunt (R)	89%	-	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	82%
<b>UTAH</b>												
1 Moore (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
2 Maloy (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	65%
3 Kennedy (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	85%
4 Owens (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	70%
<b>VERMONT</b>												
AL Balint (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
<b>VIRGINIA</b>												
1 Wittman (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	65%
2 Kiggans (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	63%
3 Scott (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
4 McClellan (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
5 McGuire (R)	80%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	85%
6 Cline (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%
7 Vindman (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
8 Beyer (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
9 Griffith (R)	70%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	70%
10 Subramanyam (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
11 Walkinshaw (D)	0%											0%
<b>WASHINGTON</b>												
1 DelBene (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
2 Larsen (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
3 Perez (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	20%
4 Newhouse (R)	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	?	58%
5 Baumgartner (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	60%
6 Randall (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%
7 Jayapal (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%
8 Schrier (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
9 Smith (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
10 Strickland (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>												
1 Miller (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	68%
2 Moore (R)	80%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	85%
<b>WISCONSIN</b>												
1 Steil (R)	60%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	65%
2 Pocan (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
3 Van Orden (R)	70%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	65%
4 Moore (D)	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
5 Fitzgerald (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	79%
6 Grothman (R)	80%	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	68%
7 Tiffany (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%
8 Wied (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	75%
<b>WYOMING</b>												
AL Hageman (R)	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%

The scores are derived by dividing the constitutionally correct votes (pluses) by the total number of pluses and minuses and multiplying by 100. (A "?" means a representative did not vote. If a representative cast fewer than five votes in this index, a score is not assigned.) Match numbers at the top of the chart to House vote descriptions on pages 1, 3, and 5.

# How Can I Make a Difference?

GETTING STARTED IS AS EASY AS 1,2,3.

1

## Sign up for JBS news and action alerts

- Stay informed with free content
- Visit [www.JBS.org/e-newsletter](http://www.JBS.org/e-newsletter) to sign up now

2

## Contact your elected representatives

- Local, state, and federal officials represent you
- Visit [www.JBS.org/act-now](http://www.JBS.org/act-now) for contact information

3

## Join The John Birch Society

- National concerted action multiplies your impact
- Visit [www.JBS.org/join](http://www.JBS.org/join) to apply for membership today



Follow us on Social Media

## The John Birch Society



770 N. Westhill Blvd., Appleton, WI 54914 • (920) 749-3780 • [JBS.org](http://JBS.org)  
“Less government, more responsibility, and — with God’s help — a better world.”



# STAY INFORMED ON THE ISSUES THAT AFFECT FREEDOM!



# SUBSCRIBE TODAY!

## New American



Follow us on Social Media

[www.TheNewAmerican.com](http://www.TheNewAmerican.com)

# Senate Vote Descriptions

**11 Appliance Efficiency Regulations.** H. J. Res. 42 would overturn a Department of Energy rule imposing new efficiency-standard certification, labeling, and enforcement requirements for dozens of appliances, preventing the regulation from taking effect.

The Senate passed H. J. Res. 42 on April 30, 2025 by a vote of 52 to 46 (Roll Call 223). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because Congress — and unelected federal agencies — lacks constitutional authorization to dictate appliance standards, which drive up costs, reduce consumer choice, and micromanage private industry. Energy policy and consumer decisions are not among the enumerated powers of the federal government, and Congress should rein in bureaucratic rulemaking — driven by the false climate-change narrative promoted by Marxists and globalists — that substitutes centralized mandates for market freedom and individual judgment.

**12 GENIUS Act.** S. 1582, the “Guiding and Establishing National Innovation for U.S. Stablecoins (GENIUS) Act,” would regulate cryptocurrency stablecoins, digital assets used as a means of payment redeemable for a fixed amount of currency. It requires stablecoin issuers to apply to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) to be a “permitted payment stablecoin issuer” and maintain 1:1 reserves in approved assets. Additionally, it empowers the Federal Reserve Board, FDIC, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and Department of the Treasury to oversee permitted stablecoin issuers.

The Senate passed S. 1582 on June 17, 2025 by a vote of 68 to 30 (Roll Call 318). We have assigned pluses to the nays because the GENIUS Act expands government surveillance and control over private financial activities, creating a backdoor to a central bank digital currency. Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution does not permit Congress to regulate the financial sector, and the



**Rigged economy:** Government subsidies for wind and solar projects undermine the free market and create government favoritism for “green” energy. Senator Mike Lee (R-Utah) proposed an amendment to end those subsidies, but the Senate voted it down.

Fourth Amendment protects individuals from unreasonable searches and unwarranted government surveillance.

**13 Ending “Green” Subsidies.** During consideration of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (H.R. 1), Senator Mike Lee (R-Utah) offered an amendment to end federal tax credits for projects utilizing battery and energy-storage technologies that begin construction more than 60 days after the bill’s enactment, phasing out taxpayer-funded subsidies and curbing federal favoritism toward “green” energy. By contrast, the enacted law preserves longer-term subsidies for energy storage while ending tax credits for wind and solar projects placed in service after December 31, 2027.

The Senate rejected Lee’s amendment on July 1, 2025 by a vote of 21 to 79 (Roll Call 360). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because the amendment would have ended these subsidies almost immediately rather than allowing them to continue for years. By preserving “green” energy credits, Congress continues to advance policies aligned with the UN’s Agenda 2030, using federal tax law to manipulate the economy and impose globalist energy priorities that fall well outside the Constitution’s enumerated powers.

**14 Fiscal 2025 Rescissions.** H.R. 4, the “Rescissions Act of 2025,” would rescind \$9 billion in funding previously appropriated by Congress for fiscal 2025, including \$7.9 billion in

# Senate Vote Scores ✓

	Votes: 11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
<b>ALABAMA</b>												
Tuberville (R)	70%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	80%
Britt (R)	60%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	75%
<b>ALASKA</b>												
Murkowski (R)	33%	+	-	-	-	-	-	?	+	+	-	47%
Sullivan (R)	30%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	45%
<b>ARIZONA</b>												
Kelly (D)	11%	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
Gallego (D)	13%	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	?	+	-	6%
<b>ARKANSAS</b>												
Boozman (R)	40%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	55%
Cotton (R)	44%	+	?	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	63%
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>												
Padilla (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
Schiff (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
<b>COLORADO</b>												
Bennet (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Hickenlooper (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>												
Blumenthal (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Murphy (D)	30%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	15%
<b>DELAWARE</b>												
Coons (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Blunt Rochester (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
<b>FLORIDA</b>												
Scott (R)	80%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	85%
Moody (R)	60%	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	75%
<b>GEORGIA</b>												
Ossoff (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Warnock (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
<b>HAWAII</b>												
Schatz (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Hirono (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
<b>IDAHO</b>												
Crapo (R)	60%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	65%
Risch (R)	60%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	74%
<b>ILLINOIS</b>												
Durbin (D)	30%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	15%
Duckworth (D)	22%	-	+	-	-	?	-	-	-	+	-	11%
<b>INDIANA</b>												
Young (R)	40%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	60%
Banks (R)	70%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	75%
<b>IOWA</b>												
Grassley (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	60%
Ernst (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	70%
<b>KANSAS</b>												
Moran (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	60%
Marshall (R)	60%	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	70%
<b>KENTUCKY</b>												
McConnell (R)	38%	?	-	-	+	?	-	-	+	-	+	56%
Paul (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>LOUISIANA</b>												
Cassidy (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	?	?	-	+	-	+	67%
Kennedy (R)	70%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	80%

	Votes: 11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
<b>MAINE</b>												
Collins (R)	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	40%
King (I)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
<b>MARYLAND</b>												
Van Hollen (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	15%
Alsobrooks (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>												
Warren (D)	30%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	15%
Markey (D)	30%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	15%
<b>MICHIGAN</b>												
Peters (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Slotkin (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
<b>MINNESOTA</b>												
Klobuchar (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Smith (D)	22%	-	+	-	?	-	-	-	-	+	-	11%
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>												
Wicker (R)	33%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	?	-	+	53%
Hyde-Smith (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	60%
<b>MISSOURI</b>												
Hawley (R)	60%	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	65%
Schmitt (R)	67%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	?	-	+	79%
<b>MONTANA</b>												
Daines (R)	44%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	?	68%
Sheehy (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	70%
<b>NEBRASKA</b>												
Fischer (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	60%
Ricketts (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	60%
<b>NEVADA</b>												
Cortez Masto (D)	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	+	-	5%
Rosen (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>												
Shaheen (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Hassan (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>												
Booker (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
Kim (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>												
Heinrich (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
Luján (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
<b>NEW YORK</b>												
Schumer (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Gillibrand (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>												
Tillis (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	60%
Budd (R)	70%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	75%
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>												
Hoeven (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	60%
Cramer (R)	40%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	53%
<b>OHIO</b>												
Moreno (R)	60%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	75%
Husted (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	70%
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>												
Lankford (R)	60%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	65%
Mullin (R)	40%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	53%

	Votes: 11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
<b>OREGON</b>												
Wyden (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Merkley (D)	30%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	15%
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>												
Fetterman (D)	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	10%
McCormick (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	65%
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>												
Reed (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
Whitehouse (D)	22%	?	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	11%
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>												
Graham (R)	40%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	55%
Scott (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	60%
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>												
Thune (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	60%
Rounds (R)	40%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	55%
<b>TENNESSEE</b>												
Blackburn (R)	60%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	70%
Hagerty (R)	70%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	79%
<b>TEXAS</b>												
Cornyn (R)	60%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	70%
Cruz (R)	78%	+	-	+	+	+	+	?	+	-	+	84%

	Votes: 11-20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1-20
<b>UTAH</b>												
Lee (R)	67%	+	-	+	+	-	?	+	+	-	+	84%
Curtis (R)	60%	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	80%
<b>VERMONT</b>												
Sanders (I)	30%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	21%
Welch (D)	33%	-	+	-	-	?	-	+	-	+	-	17%
<b>VIRGINIA</b>												
Warner (D)	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%
Kaine (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
<b>WASHINGTON</b>												
Murray (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	11%
Cantwell (D)	30%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	15%
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>												
Capito (R)	40%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	53%
Justice (R)	40%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	65%
<b>WISCONSIN</b>												
Johnson (R)	70%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	80%
Baldwin (D)	20%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%
<b>WYOMING</b>												
Barrasso (R)	70%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	75%
Lummis (R)	80%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	84%

The scores are derived by dividing the constitutionally correct votes (pluses) by the total number of pluses and minuses and multiplying by 100. (A “?” means a senator did not vote. If a senator cast fewer than five votes in this index, a score is not assigned.) Match numbers at the top of the chart to Senate vote descriptions on pages 9, 11, and 12.

foreign aid and \$1.1 billion for the Corporation for Public Broadcasting. The foreign-aid rescissions include \$800 million for migration and refugee assistance, \$361 million for international peacekeeping operations, and \$169 million for international organizations such as the United Nations and World Health Organization.

The Senate passed H.R. 4 on July 17, 2025 by a vote of 51 to 48 (Roll Call 411). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution does not authorize Congress to establish or fund public media, or to send financial aid to foreign countries. Although \$9 billion is a small number compared to the total amount of federal expenditures, it is a good first step toward reining in unconstitutional, reckless spending.

**15 Reducing Agriculture Funding.** During consideration of the fiscal 2026 military-construction and agriculture appropriations bill (H.R. 3944), Senator John Kennedy (R-La.) offered an amendment to reduce discretionary spending in the agriculture appropriations component of the bill by two percent across the board.

This would not affect SNAP and EBT food stamps, which are funded as mandatory spending.

The Senate rejected Kennedy’s amendment on August 1, 2025 by a vote of 14 to 81 (Roll Call 476). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because the federal government needs to start reining in climbing federal spending (and deficits) somewhere in order to avert fiscal disaster.

**16 Budget Resolution.** Senator Rand Paul (R-Ky.) made a motion to proceed to Senate Concurrent Resolution 22, a budget resolution he introduced. On the Senate floor, Paul pleaded, “This year, the United States will spend \$6.7 trillion while bringing in about \$4.7 trillion. That is \$2 trillion more being spent than what is coming in.... Next year, it is going to be even worse. The budget that was passed in the spring said we would spend \$6.3 trillion next year, but it turns out it is going to be \$7.2 trillion next year.” Of his resolution, Paul said, “My budget, ... the Six Penny Plan budget, cuts 6 percent of all spending to rebalance in just 5 years.”

The Senate rejected Paul’s motion on September 16, 2025 by a vote of 36 to 62 (Roll Call 521). We have assigned pluses

to the yeas not only because most government spending falls outside the scope of constitutionally authorized federal powers, but also because the federal government needs to start reining in ballooning federal spending and debt in order to avert future fiscal disaster. Although six percent may not seem like much, modest cuts are still better than none at all.

**17 Federal Reserve Interest Payments.** During consideration of the fiscal 2026 National Defense Authorization Act (S. 2296), Senator Rand Paul (R-Ky.) offered an amendment to prohibit any Federal Reserve bank from paying interest on reserve balances that are maintained at a Federal Reserve bank by or on behalf of a big bank or another depository institution. Of the need for his amendment, Paul explained, “The Federal Reserve pays both foreign and domestic banks to simply park their money in Fed accounts — in other words, to not loan money at all. Over the past 5 years, the Fed’s big bank bailout amounts to over half a trillion dollars.... My amendment ends these subsidies.”

The Senate rejected Paul’s amendment on October 9, 2025 by a vote of 14 to 83

(Roll Call 562). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because the unconstitutional Federal Reserve should not bail out or subsidize banks at the expense of taxpayers.

**18 Alaska Petroleum Reserve Rule.** S. J. Res. 80 would overturn a 2022 Bureau of Land Management (BLM) rule for the 23-million-acre National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska (NPR-A). This disapproval restores a less-restrictive plan that opens more areas to development, and reverses the closure of 48 percent of the NPR-A.

The Senate passed S. J. Res. 80 on October 30, 2025 by a vote of 52 to 45 (Roll Call 599). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because Congress' disapproval nullifies an unconstitutional regulation that imposed restrictive land management and burdened American energy production under the pretext of environmental protection. The BLM's management of lands exceeds constitutional limits under Article I, Section 8; Article IV, Section 3; and the 10th Amendment. Additionally, such environmentalist policies align with

the UN's Agenda 2030, undermining national sovereignty and individual liberty. Congress should reject such policies, abolish the BLM, and transfer lands to state or private ownership.

**19 Venezuela.** S. J. Res. 90 would "direct the removal of United States Armed Forces from hostilities within or against Venezuela that have not been authorized by Congress."

On November 6, 2025, Senator Tim Kaine (D-Va.), who introduced S. J. Res. 90 along with Senators Adam Schiff (D-Calif.) and Rand Paul (R-Ky.), attempted to get his resolution out of committee and to the Senate floor by making a motion to discharge it. His motion was rejected by a vote of 49 to 51 (Roll Call 608). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because the Constitution delegates to Congress, not the president, the power to declare war, and because of the folly of foreign military interventionism. In his remarks on the Senate floor, Paul warned against "pursuing regime change in Venezuela," while also pointing out that "the President

simply does not have the authority to unilaterally launch wars."

**20 ObamaCare Subsidy Extension.** S. 3385 would extend enhanced ObamaCare subsidies, originally enacted under the 2021 American Rescue Plan Act and the 2022 Inflation Reduction Act, by an additional three years.

The Senate did not vote directly on S. 3385, but on a motion to invoke cloture (and thus limit debate) so the bill could be voted on. The motion to invoke cloture was rejected on December 11, 2025 by a vote of 51 to 48 (Roll Call 644; a three-fifths majority of the entire Senate is required to invoke cloture). We have assigned pluses to the nays because Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution does not authorize Congress to subsidize health insurance. ObamaCare reduced individual choice in the health-insurance market, increased costs for many Americans, and has been poorly run from the beginning, exactly what is to be expected when the federal government attempts to regulate and subsidize healthcare. ■

# FREEDOM INDEX ONLINE

## A perfect resource for the online activist!

The index you've used to track whether your congressman is voting according to the Constitution features cumulative scores online, as well as scores for former congressmen, at [TheNewAmerican.com/freedomindex](https://TheNewAmerican.com/freedomindex).

## Scorecard Display



GET YOURS NOW  
[shopJBS.org](https://shopJBS.org)

